Date: November 26, 2014

To: The Honorable Civil Service Commission

Through: Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director

From: Cynthia Avakian, AIR
William Lee, ECD
Parveen Boparai/Cynthia Hamada, MTA
Genie Wong, POL
Sean McFadden, REC
Jolie Gines, TIS
Jacquie Hale, DPH
Shamica Jackson/Stacey Lo, PUC

Subject: Personal Services Contracts Approval Request

This report contains twelve (12) personal services contracts (PSCs) in accordance with the revised Civil Service Commission (CSC) procedures for processing PSCs that became effective on October 25, 2013.

The services proposed by these contracts have been reviewed by Department of Human Resources (DHR) staff to evaluate whether the requesting departments have complied with City policy and procedures regarding PSCs. The proposed PSCs have been posted on the DHR website for seven (7) calendar days. CSC procedures for processing PSCs require that any appeal of these contracts be filed in the office of the CSC, Executive Officer during the posting period.

No timely appeals have been filed regarding the PSCs contained in this report. These proposed PSCs are being submitted to the CSC for ratification/approval.

DHR has prepared the following cost summary for personal services contracts that have been processed through the Department of Human Resources to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$242,021,900</td>
<td>$28,436,838</td>
<td>$747,275,043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# POSTING FOR

**December 15, 2014**

## PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS – REGULAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commission Hearing Date</th>
<th>PSC No</th>
<th>Dept Designation</th>
<th>PSC Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>PSC Estimated Start Date</th>
<th>PSC Estimated End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-12-15</td>
<td>43672</td>
<td>AIRPORT COMMISSION</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>To provide San Francisco International Airport (SFO) with general investment advisory services on an as-needed basis. This includes review of the investment policy and best practice recommendations for $680 million of debt service reserve funds; advisory service on portfolio structure, security selection and periodic re-balancing of the portfolio; and preparation of detailed holding, activity or market condition reports on a daily, monthly or quarterly basis.</td>
<td>December 15, 2014</td>
<td>June 30, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44959</td>
<td>AIRPORT COMMISSION</td>
<td>$200,000,000.00</td>
<td>Project Management Support Services (PMSS) and Design Build (DB) service teams with hotel and transportation experience are required to manage the design and construction of the Airport Hotel Program. Services to be provided include project controls, scheduling, document control, design management, contract management, and architectural and engineering design services. The Airport Hotel Program encompasses three separate projects which require planning and coordination which are: the Airport Hotel, Air Train Station, and the Roadway Realignment project. The Airport Hotel and Air Train Station will require PMSS and DB services. The Roadway Realignment Project will require PMSS services only and will be overseen by Airport Civil Engineering staff. The Roadway Realignment project will relocate South McDonnell Road, relocate underground utilities, and create a new road leading to the Airport hotel.</td>
<td>January 1, 2015</td>
<td>June 30, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45026</td>
<td>AIRPORT COMMISSION</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>Provide customer survey data regarding the quality of the San Francisco International Airport's (SFO) Food and Beverage and Retail Services, Parking, and other facilities and services, using the global survey product and methodology associated with the Airport Service Quality (ASQ) Program. ASQ is the only airport survey and services benchmarking program with global reach. The ASQ Program will include conducting 5,600 annual (1,400 quarterly) on-site customer interviews. Contractor will use the ASQ Program to produce, execute, and analyze the data, producing results and recommendations to SFO.</td>
<td>January 1, 2015</td>
<td>June 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41436</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>The selected vendor will perform required psychological evaluations and provide written summary and assessment reports for all selected candidates as part of their final selection process for hiring positions in the Department of Emergency Management’s Division of Emergency Communications (DEC).</td>
<td>January 1, 2015</td>
<td>June 30, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44337</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>Contractor will provide web design services to support the execution of an upgraded website to better promote the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) program and its regional projects. The enhanced website will be easy to navigate, provide for a grants management system for partner jurisdictions to perform fiscal grants management activities, and have enough capacity to allow for the addition of audio/video presentations, webinars, and other multi-media needs.</td>
<td>January 15, 2015</td>
<td>December 31, 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                         | 43620   | MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY | $2,000,000.00   | The contractor(s) will provide financial planning, debt management, financial analysis and other financial services on an as-needed basis including, but not limited to, the following:  
  - Review and update the SPMTA's financial plan for future financing transactions after a thorough review and analyses of the existing financial resources, cash flows, bond indebtedness and legal structure of the SPMTA.  
  - Provide as-needed financial advice regarding market conditions and trends, | January 13, 2015         | January 13, 2020      |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No</th>
<th>Dept. Designation</th>
<th>PSC Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>PSC Estimated Start Date</th>
<th>PSC Estimated End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47260 - 14/15 POLICE</td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td>The vendor will provide the San Francisco Police Department with psychological evaluations of final entry level police officer candidates and refinement of predictive validity assessment procedures.</td>
<td>July 1, 2015</td>
<td>June 30, 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40207 - 14/15 RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>The Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grant will fund park planning for 900 Innes, a property recently acquired by the City, which is adjacent to India Basin Shoreline Park and India Basin Shoreline Open Space. 900 Innes consists of 3.9 acres, 1.9 of which are on land. Innes Avenue and neighboring areas have been included for planning purposes in the Bayview Hunters Point Area Plan and the India Basin Shoreline Subarea Plan, In addition to the San Francisco Bay Trail Plan and the Port of San Francisco’s 13-mile Blue Greenway Plan. On this site, the Recreation and Park Department (RPD) plans to develop a new full-service park for the community, providing residents local access to open space and parkland resources as well as new recreational opportunities. RPD will contract with a consultant to do the following: public outreach to the community and stakeholders; site analysis and preparation of environmental studies and documents for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and a conceptual park plan with cost estimates and strategies for the implementation future park improvements.</td>
<td>January 1, 2015</td>
<td>January 1, 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$2,800,000.00</td>
<td>The primary objective of this project is to implement a citywide Web Content Management (WCM) solution that is sufficiently scalable to address the growing needs of the City’s websites while offering the flexibility required to satisfy City departments’ unique needs. The project envisions the vendor working with City staff to setup and support the WCM cloud environment that will enable the City to build custom websites using a more sophisticated software development system, the Drupal system. This more sophisticated and flexible solution will replace the City’s current low-cost solution offered by our incumbent Web content management vendor, Vision Internet, a vendor procured through the Technology Store.</td>
<td>July 1, 2015</td>
<td>June 30, 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL AMOUNT $208,350,000
# Posting For December 15, 2014

## Proposed Modifications to Personal Services Contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC Number</th>
<th>Commission Hearing Date</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Additional Amount</th>
<th>Cumulative Total</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Approval Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4059-13/14 - MODIFICATIONS</td>
<td>December 15, 2014</td>
<td>PUBLIC HEALTH - DPH</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
<td>Contractor will provide specialized sexual reassignment surgeries (SRS), such as genital-related surgeries, not provided at San Francisco General Hospital for uninsured male-to-female and female-to-male transgender clients eligible for the Department's Sliding Scale and Healthy San Francisco programs.</td>
<td>01/01/2015</td>
<td>12/31/2018</td>
<td>REGULAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4072-07/09 - MODIFICATIONS</td>
<td>December 15, 2014</td>
<td>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - PUC</td>
<td>$32,000,000</td>
<td>$70,000,000</td>
<td>Provide professional construction management services to oversee the Water System Improvement Program (WSIP) construction project of the Calaveras Dam Replacement Project on behalf of the SFPUC. The work includes inspection oversight and enforcement of contract requirements for construction protocols, seismic safety design and environmental mitigation measures. See Supplemental Attachment A.</td>
<td>08/03/2016</td>
<td>05/24/2019</td>
<td>REGULAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4054-10/11 - MODIFICATIONS</td>
<td>December 15, 2014</td>
<td>POLICE - POL</td>
<td>$671,900</td>
<td>$1,019,100</td>
<td>Contractor will provide maintenance on the Level II Message Switching Computer system. Modification is to renew maintenance contract for five years (3/10/15-3/9/20) and expand coverage for a total of 2500 licenses. Previous maintenance contract covered 3 years (3/10/12-3/9/15) of service for 1500 licenses.</td>
<td>03/10/2015</td>
<td>03/09/2020</td>
<td>REGULAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL AMOUNT $33,671,900**
Regular/Continuing/Annual
Personal Services Contracts
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: AIRPORT COMMISSION -- AIR
Dept. Code: AIR

Type of Request: ☑ Initial ☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # ________)

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited ☑ Regular (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Investment Advisory Services

Funding Source: Airport Operating Funds
PSC Amount: $1,000,000
PSC Duration: 5 years 28 weeks
PSC Est. Start Date: 12/15/2014 PSC Est. End Date: 06/30/2020

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
      To provide San Francisco International Airport (SFO) with general investment advisory services on an as-needed basis. This includes review of the investment policy and best practice recommendations for $680 million of debt service reserve funds; advisory service on portfolio structure, security selection and periodic re-balancing of the portfolio; and preparation of detailed holding, activity or market condition reports on a daily, monthly or quarterly basis.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
      These services are necessary to ensure that bonds and other financial instruments are issued or refunded at the lowest possible interest cost and to create a market among investors. Denial of this service will prevent the successful sale(s) of these bonds and jeopardize the implementation of the Airport's future capital improvement plans.

   C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC. This work was previously perform under PSC 4098-09/10.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes, if there continues to be a need for this service.

2. Union Notification: On 10/20/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Prof & Tech Eng, Local 21, Municipal Executive Association.

***********************************************
FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 43672 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation:
Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      The contractor must be a registered Investment Advisor by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).
      They must work for a nationally known portfolio management firm with demonstrated experience providing
      investment advisory services for a large portfolio of municipal bond reserve funds.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      1117, 9255, 9258, 0931,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Existing civil service classifications will be working closely with the Investment Advisor; however, the Advisor
      needs to be registered with the SEC and have the resources of a large Investment Firm to manage the portfolio of
      a large municipal bond reserve funds. Additionally the work is on an as-needed basis.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. The investment advisory services require registration with the SEC, and will be required only on an
      as-needed basis.

5. Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)
   YES NO
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?
      □   □
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      N/A.
      □   □
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      □   □
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of
      contractual services?
      □   □
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective
      way to provide this service?
      □   □
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC
      contract with your department?
      □   □

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
ON 11/17/2014 BY:

Name: Cynthia Avakian                      Phone: 650-821-2014   Email: cynthia.avakian@flysfo.com
Address: P.O. Box 8097                     San Francisco, CA 94128
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 43672 - 14/15 more than $100k

The AIRPORT COMMISSION – AIR has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 43672 - 14/15 for $1,000,000 for Initial Request services for the period 12/15/2014 – 06/30/2020. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrrnmpal/node/4034 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended.
Additional Attachment(s)
The contracts will be for a five (5) year term to insure consistency in Investment Advisory services.
PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: February 5, 2010
DEPARTMENT NAME: AIRPORT COMMISSION
DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 27

TYPE OF APPROVAL: [X] REGULAR (OMIT POSTING ___)
[ ] EXPEDITED [ ] ANNUAL
[ ] CONTINUING

TYPE OF REQUEST: [X] INITIAL REQUEST [ ] MODIFICATION

TYPE OF SERVICE: Financial Advisory Services for the Airport's Capital Financing Program

FUNDING SOURCE: Combination of Revenue Bonds and Airport Operating Funds

PSC AMOUNT: $12,500,000 ($2,500,000/yr)
PSC DURATION: July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2015

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK
   A. Concise description of proposed work:
      Financial advisory services to manage San Francisco International Airport's capital financing program, including a $4.1 billion debt portfolio. Services include but are not limited to, financial, investment, swap and rebate advisory services. These services have saved over $145 million through successful refinancing of some of the Airport's bonds during the past two years.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
      These services are required to ensure that bonds and other financial instruments are issued or refunded at the lowest possible interest cost and to create a market among investors. A portion of the proposed PSC amount, up to $1.3 million per year, or $6.5 million, will only be paid if bonds or debt instruments are sold in the financial markets, and this amount comes out of the bond proceeds. Denial of this service will prevent the successful sale(s) of these bonds and jeopardize the implementation of the Airport's future capital improvement plans.

   C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number):
      Financial advisory services have been contracted out, most recently under the approval of PSC # 4060-04/05.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes; it is anticipated that the need for such services will continue.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedure):

   IFPTE Local 21
   Union Names
   Cynthia P. Avakian
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form
   FEB 5 2010
   Date

   RFP sent to: IFPTE Local 21
   Union Name
   January 25, 2010
   Date
   Signature

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC # 4098-09/10
STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:

Approved 3/15/10
City and County of San Francisco

3. **DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE**

   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
   
   An excellent national reputation is needed to provide assurance and access to investors in the financial markets. The consultants must have knowledge and expertise in evaluating airport facility debt, identifying and analyzing financing alternatives, and developing financing plans for capital programs, taking into consideration the Airport's current debt structure, the impact on the Airport's rates and charges, the timing and cash flow requirements of projects, changes in tax law, and the conditions in the capital markets.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?
   
   None. Small parts of the work may be done by the following classifications:
   
   5105, Manager, Finance & Administration, "...is responsible for developing and managing the capital project financial plan; participating in issuance of bonds and maximizing non-bond revenue..."  
   
   5186, Financial Manager, "...directs department input into revenue bond authorizations and sales and general obligation bond authorizations and sales, including the development of relationships with rating agencies, underwriting firms, bond counsel services, and bond trustees; may manage debt issuances."  
   
   9255, Airport Economic Planner, "...analyzes, recommends, coordinates, and where applicable, negotiates the financings of new Airport facilities and assesses the financial impact of the Airport's capital program on the debt service costs..." and  
   
   9258, Airport Assistant Deputy Director, Business & Finance, "...supervises the maintenance of a financial plan for capital project funding; coordinates the sale of airport bond issues, ... and performs rate analysis for the optimal negotiation of rates, bond financing and budgeting with airlines and tenants..."

   These classifications are responsible for analyzing and determining the appropriate alternatives in issuing or managing debt but not the provision of assurance to investors in the financial markets, nor the development of new financing instruments to take advantage of changes in interest rates.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
   
   No.

4. **WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM**

   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
   
   An objective evaluation of the Airport's financial standing by an outside entity is required by potential investors and the financial community before bonds or refinancing instruments can be accepted. Advice and financial services will only be needed if interest rates move in a direction that is advantageous for the Airport.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
   
   No; please see answer to Question 4A above.

5. **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** (if "yes," attach explanation)

   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?  
   
   Yes No  
   
   ☑  

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
   
   ☑  

   - Describe the training and indicate approximate number of hours.
   
   - Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (e.g., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate numbers to be trained.

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
   
   Yes No  
   
   ☑  

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
   
   Yes No  
   
   ☑  

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?  
   
   Attached is Airport Commission Resolution #09-0219.  
   
   ☑  

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department? A RFP has been issued and it is unknown at this time the results of the competitive process.
   
   Yes No  
   
   ☑  

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

[Signature]

Cynthia P. Avakian

Print or Type Name

Airport Commission, Contracts Administration Unit

P.O. Box 8097, San Francisco, CA 94128

(850) 821-2014

Telephone Number
March 15, 2010 Regular Meeting

Civil Service Commission - March 15, 2010

MINUTES
Regular Meeting
March 15, 2010
2:00 p.m.
ROOM 400, CITY HALL
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

CALL TO ORDER

2:19 p.m.

ROLL CALL

President Morgan R. Gorrono Present
Vice President E. Dennis Normandy Present (Left at 3:25 p.m. Missed items 8-19)
Commissioner Donald A. Casper Present
Commissioner Mary Y. Jung Present
President Morgan R. Gorrono presided.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS APPEARING ON THE AGENDA

(1) Daniel Boreen requested to see the viewing file.

(2) Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 requested that PSC #s 4092-09/10, 4096-09/10, 4097-09/10, 4100-09/10 and 4103-09/10 be severed.

(3) Wesley Wakeford, Attorney for Stephen Kloster commented on Item #12 and urged the Commission to objectively review all materials submitted.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Regular Meeting of February 1, 2010

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Action: Approve. (Vote of 4 to 0)

Regular Meeting of March 1, 2010

Action: Approve. (Vote of 4 to 0)
Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contracts.
(Item No. 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4082-09/10</td>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>$47,400,000</td>
<td>Provide separate professional parking garage management services at the Moscone Center Garage, North Beach/Vallejo Garage, Performing Arts Garage, and Polk/Bush Garage. Services include: oversight of collection, reconciliation and deposit of all parking &amp; non-parking revenue; repair &amp; maintenance of facilities and revenue control equipment; compliance with insurance &amp; bond requirements; providing valet or valet-assisted parking services during special events; providing personnel for cashiering, janitorial &amp; security.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>4/24/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,569,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/29/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Provide services for elder abuse victims that include: outreach & support to victims of elder abuse; community presentations to educate regarding elder abuse and legal resources; consultation to prosecution team regarding legal issues in prosecuting financial, physical, emotional, or verbal abuse of elders.

(1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4082-09/10. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 2 to 1; Commissioner Gorrono dissents.)
Continue to the meeting of March 1, 2010. (Three votes are needed for Commission action.)

(2) Postpone PSC #4085-09/10 to the meeting of March 1, 2010.

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers: Sheila Arcelona and Allen Kennedy, District Attorney's Office spoke on PSC #4085-09/10.

Action: Approve request for proposed personal services contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)
0098-10-8  Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract.
(Item No. 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4093-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>Provide pre-employment polygraph screening on an as-needed basis for entry level police officers, police reserve officers, police cadets and police service aides. Such screening shall include questions regarding prior police history, criminal activity, illegal drug use, drinking habits and incidents of domestic violence. Services also include providing a business site with adequate interrogation facilities divided by one-way mirrors, and equipped with one-way sound devices, video and audio recording equipment.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4094-09/10</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>Provide as-needed and after-hours security guard services, and as-needed guard/driver services for the Community Health Network, specifically for the Substance Abuse Services Unit of Ward 93, Opiate Treatment Outpatient Program (OTOP) at San Francisco General Hospital, the Silver Avenue Health Center Methadone/Office based opiate treatment program, Building 80/90 adjacent to the OTOP program, and armed guard/driver services for the operation of the Mobile Methadone Van(s).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4095-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>Provide architectural services on an as-needed basis, for public building projects including but not limited to master plans, environmental reviews, programming and planning studies, assessments of existing facilities, green building evaluations, utility studies, design drawings, specifications, cost estimates, project schedules, adaptive re-use of existing facilities, modifications to life safety systems and other infrastructure, and new facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project No.</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4096-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>The Materials Testing &amp; Special Inspection (MTSI) Team will provide specialized materials testing &amp; special inspection services for the SFPUC Head-quarters Building Project located at 525 Golden Gate Ave. Consultant will be City's representative leading &amp; coordinating the materials testing &amp; special inspection activities for the Project to ensure compliance with all requirements of state &amp; local regulatory agencies including the International Building Code, California Building Code, SF Dept. of Building Inspection, Special Inspection &amp; Structural Observation, and Contract Documents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4097-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Provide additional services to continue as Executive Preservation Architect, &amp; provide architectural &amp; engineering design services for the final phase of the Palace of Fine Arts, which includes full consultant coordination. The scope of work includes lagoon stabilization on the western portion of the lagoon, coordinating new paving along the colonnade pathway &amp; Rotunda, new lighting design, re-grading of the site, new sidewalk curbs, installation of new metal doors, landscaping &amp; irrigation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4098-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$12,500,000</td>
<td>Provide financial advisory services to manage San Francisco International Airport's capital financing program, including a $4.1 billion debt portfolio. Services include but are not limited to, financial, investment, swap and rebate advisory services.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4099-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>Provide design &amp; integration services for 1) Baggage Handling System (BHS) &amp; inline explosive detection screening (EDS) systems at Terminal 3, Boarding Area E, International Terminal Building &amp; other Airport BHS locations; services include 100% construction documents, full-service construction administration, quality control for BHS additions &amp; modifications, 100% design &amp; integration of programmable logic controls (PLC), pre-construction simulations, acceptance testing &amp; integration; 2) Passenger Boarding Bridges (PBB); services include 100% construction documents, construction administration, quality control for complete PBB replacements, relocations and/or modifications, PBB integration with aircraft parking, fuel hydrant system, pre-conditioned air &amp; ground power, acceptance testing with aircraft for a complete operating system.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>05/31/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4100-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$26,000,000</td>
<td>Contractor will operate the Airport's Curbside Management Program for on-demand door-to-door van, taxicab, and limousine operations, provide customer services for air passengers seeking door-to-door transportation to leave the Airport, and facilitate services for people with special transportation needs. The contractor will monitor, coordinate and dispatch door-to-door vans, taxicabs and limousines in the holding/staging/waiting areas and curbside loading zones, as well as manage various systems supporting said operations at SFO. Airport ground transportation vehicle trip fees support the direct costs of the Program.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4101-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>Provide customer survey data regarding the quality of the Airport's Food and Beverage and Retail Services, Parking, and other facilities and services. The surveys have consistently taken place in May for the past 19 years of at least 1,400 valid respondents to reflect the summer travel season. The preliminary results need to be analyzed and submitted to SFO within 30 days from the last interview. Vendor will produce, execute, and analyze the data and present results and recommendations to SFO.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>05/30/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4092-09/10 | Police | Increase Amount $60,000  
New Amount $90,000 | The Veterinarian will provide routine and emergency medical care for up to twenty horses that are part of the San Francisco Police Department Mounted Unit. Routine services average fifteen visits per year. The Veterinarian will also perform pre-purchase horse examinations. | Modification 06/30/12 |
| 4102-07/08 | Public Health | Increase Amount $4,000,000  
New Amount $8,000,000 | Will provide medical transportation services between medical facilities or a patient’s home on an on-call basis, available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Contractor(s) may transport ambulatory or non-ambulatory patients to and from medical facilities utilizing specialized vehicles. Transportation services include patient discharges from San Francisco General Hospital, Public Health Centers or Laguna Honda Hospital; transporting voluntary mental health cases to appropriate psychiatric centers; providing Department with taxi vouchers or scripts for nonemergency services. | Modification 12/31/18 |

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.
Speakers:

Sgt. Michael Zurcher, San Francisco Police Department spoke on PSC #4093-09/10.
Jacquie Hale, Department of Public Health and Sgt. Michael Zurcher, San Francisco Police Department spoke on PSC #4094-09/10.
Mark Dorian, Department of Public Works spoke on PSC #4095-09/10.
Brook Mebrahtu, Department of Public Works and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4096-09/10.
Toks Ajike, Recreation and Parks Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4097-09/10.

Speakers:

Cynthia Avakian, Airport Commission and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4100-09/10.
Sgt. Robert Totah and Tom Newland, San Francisco Police Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4092-09/10.
Sharon Kwong, Department of Public Health spoke on PSC #4102-07/08.

Action:

(1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4096-09/10 on the condition that the Department of Public Works engage in discussions with SEIU Local 1021. Should SEIU continue to have concerns about the contract, it may be put back on calendar for further discussion and action at the next regular meeting of April 5, 2010. In no case will the approval of the contract be delayed beyond the April 5, 2010 meeting. Notify the offices
of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(2) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4087-09/10 on the condition that contact be made with SEIU Local 1021 within 24 hours to address concerns they have with the PSC. In addition, that a response be provided to Local 1021 by the Recreation and Parks Department within the following 24 hours addressing their concerns after which the conditions of the Civil Service Commission approval would have been met. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(3) Approve request for proposed personal services contracts on all remaining contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract.
(Item No. 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4103-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>Provide court reporting services and transcription as needed for disciplinary hearings and Police Commission meetings, along with transcription of tapes from interviews done with the Police Department's Management Control Division and other investigative units within the Police Department.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>05/30/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: AIRPORT COMMISSION - AIR
Dept. Code: AIR

Type of Request:  ☑ Initial  ☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # __________)

Type of Approval:  ☐ Expedited  ☑ Regular (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Project Management Support Services (PMSS) / Design/Build (DB) for the Airport Hotel Program

Funding Source: Airport Capital Funds  PSC Duration: 4 years 25 weeks
PSC Amount: $200,000,000  PSC Est. Start Date: 01/01/2015  PSC Est. End Date: 06/30/2019

1. **Description of Work**

   A. **Scope of Work:**
   Project Management Support Services (PMSS) and Design Build (DB) service teams with hotel and transportation experience are required to manage the design and construction of the Airport Hotel Program. Services to be provided include project controls, scheduling, document control, design management, contract management, and architectural and engineering design services. The Airport Hotel Program encompasses three separate projects which require planning and coordination which are: the Airport Hotel, Air Train Station, and the Roadway Realignment project. The Airport Hotel and Air Train Station will require PMSS and DB services. The Roadway Realignment Project will require PMSS services only and will be overseen by Airport Civil Engineering staff. The Roadway Realignment project will relocate South McDonnell Road, relocate underground utilities, and create a new road leading to the Airport hotel.

   B. **Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:**
   The Airport Hotel Program will enhance the Airport's overall amenities, showcase the unique attributes of the Bay Area, and create revenue generating opportunities for the Airport. Airport staff does not have the expertise in hotel projects and elevated transportation system projects. For this reason, Airport staff seek PMSS and DB service consultants in these two areas of expertise. If the services for the Hotel Program are denied, the Program implementation will be delayed, resulting in loss of revenue generating opportunities and delaying improvements to the passengers’ experience and amenities at the Airport.

   C. **Has this service been provided in the past? If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.**
   This is a new service.

   D. **Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes, if there continues to be a need for such services at the Airport.**

2. **Union Notification:** On 10/02/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Prof & Tech Eng, Local 21.

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 44959 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Specialized skills, knowledge, and expertise in hotel development, design management, including integration of
      hotel brand design standards, project and construction management are required. Experience in the design and
      construction of elevated transportation system projects is essential for the design and engineering of the new Air
      Train Station project.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      5201, 5203, 5207, 5209, 5211, 5212, 5214, 5215, 5216, 5218, 5219, 5241,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Architectural and engineering classes exist but their expertise are not applicable to the development of hotels,
      working with hotel operators, and the development of a new Air Train Station. SFO Project Managers with
      expertise managing Airport asset development and construction projects will work with the Airport Hotel Program
      consultants to provide the required services.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. The development of a major hotel and new Air Train Station does not occur frequently enough to adopt a
      permanent civil service class. Once the Hotel project and Air Train extension are completed the specialized
      services will not be required.

5. Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)
   YES  NO

   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?  ☑  ☐

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?  ☑  ☐
      N/A

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?  ☑  ☐

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of
      contractual services?  ☑  ☐

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective
      way to provide this service?  ☑  ☐

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC
      contract with your department?  ☑  ☐

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
ON 11/17/2014 BY:

Name:  Cynthia Avakian  Phone: 650-821-2014  Email: cynthia.avakian@flysfo.com
Address: PO Box 8097  San Francisco, CA, 94128

-22-  

July 2013
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 44959 - 14/15 more than $100k

The AIRPORT COMMISSION -- AIR has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 44959 - 14/15 for $200,000,000 for Initial Request services for the period 01/01/2015 – 06/30/2019. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhr/Drupal/node/4030 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended
City and County of San Francisco  
Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: AIRPORT COMMISSION – AIR  
Dept. Code: AIR

Type of Request: ☑ Initial  ☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # _________)

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited  ☑ Regular  (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Airport Customer Survey

Funding Source: Airport Operating Funds  
PSC Duration: 6 years 25 weeks
PSC Amount: $1,000,000  
PSC Est. Start Date: 01/01/2015  
PSC Est. End Date: 06/30/2021

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
      Provide customer survey data regarding the quality of the San Francisco International Airport’s (SFO) Food and Beverage and Retail Services, Parking, and other facilities and services, using the global survey product and methodology associated with the Airport Service Quality (ASQ) Program. ASQ is the only airport survey and services benchmarking program with global reach. The ASQ Program will include conducting 5,600 annual (1400 quarterly) on-site customer interviews. Contractor will use the ASQ Program to produce, execute, and analyze the data, producing results and recommendations to SFO.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
      SFO is committed to providing customer satisfaction through safe facilities, convenient, and pleasing services to the traveling public. SFO has been a participant of the ASQ survey process since 2011 and denial of this service would cause an interruption in the year-to-year benchmark comparison data. SFO would risk losing touch with its passenger user base, risking a reduction in passenger satisfaction and a loss in revenue.

   C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
      This service has been provided under PSC #4101-09/10.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes, if there continues to be a need for such services at SFO.

2. Union Notification:  On 10/20/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Professional & Tech Engrs, Local 21; Municipal Executive Association.

******* FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE *******

PSC#: 45026 - 14/15  
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required  
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Required skills include high-level of knowledge and expertise in conducting surveys including face-to-face
      interviews, telephone surveys, focus groups, mail-back surveys, etc.; questionnaire and sampling design;
      statistical analyses; and interviewing in various languages (English, French, Spanish, German, Japanese, Korean,
      Mandarin, Cantonese, etc.); and furnishing computerized survey data results. Also, experience with large Airport
      environments in developing recommendations based on industry successes is required.
   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      1828,0922,
   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Civil service classifications are not applicable as the work is intermittent and entails a large number of people for
      a short period of time.
   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No, since the work is considered seasonal.

5. Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?
      NO
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      N/A.
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      NO
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      NO
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      NO
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department?
      NO

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
ON 11/17/2014 BY:

Name: Cynthia Avakian                                Phone: 650-821-2014    Email: cynthia.avakian@flysfo.com
Address: P.O. Box 8097                                San Francisco, CA 94128
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
Christina Chiong

From: DHR-PSCCoordinator, DHR (HRD) on behalf of Cynthia Avakian
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 6:36 PM
To: Cynthia Avakian; L21PSCReview@fpte21.org; camaguey@sfmea.com; staff@sfmea.com; Danielle Poole; Isen, Richard (TIS); DHR-PSCCoordinator, DHR (HRD)
Subject: Receipt of Notice for new PCS over $100K PSC # 45026 - 14/15

RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 45026 - 14/15 more than $100k

The AIRPORT COMMISSION – AIR has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 45026 - 14/15 for $1,000,000 for Initial Request services for the period 01/01/2015 – 06/30/2021. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhdrupal/node/4037 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended
Christina Chiong (AIR)

From: Lisa Randall (AIR)
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2014 1:23 PM
To: Christina Chiong (AIR)
Subject: FW: PSC 45026-14/15

Lisa Randall MA
Contracts Administration Unit
Phone: (650) 821-2012 | Fax: (650) 821-2011

From: Kim Carter Martinez [mailto:kcarte@ifpte21.org]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2014 1:22 PM
To: Lisa Randall (AIR)
Subject: PSC 45026-14/15

Hi Lisa,

Thank you for spending the time with me today on a conference call in the above referenced matter. The Union has no objection to this PSC at this time.

Sincerely,

Kim Carter Martinez

Thanks,

Kim

Kim Carter Martinez
IFPTE Local 21, AFL-CIO
p:415-864-2100
f:415-864-2166
e:kcarte@ifpte21.org

This e-mail message and any attachments contain confidential information that is legally privileged and intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained herein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission and any attachments without reading or saving in any manner.
Hi Kim,

Friday Nov. 7th at 1PM works for us. I'll send everyone a phone appointment shortly.

Thank you,
Lisa

Unfortunately, my time next week is very limited because I am in a Civil Service Commission Hearing in Alameda County most of the week. My availability over the next two weeks is as follows:

Friday the 7th at 1pm or 4:30pm  
Wednesday the 12th at 10am or 2pm  
Thursday the 13th at 3:30pm  
Friday the 14th at 10am or 2pm

Thank you,
Kim Carter Martinez

Thanks,
Kim
Hi Kim,

Can you please give me your availability for times next week?

Thank you,
Lisa

---

From: Kim Carter Martinez [mailto:kcarter@ifpste21.org]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2014 4:49 PM
To: Lisa Randall (AIR)
Subject: RE: PSC 45026 - 14/15

No. If the times I sent don't work for you, we will have to look at next week.

Thanks,

Kim
From: Lisa Randall (AIR) [mailto:Lisa.Randall@flysfo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2014 4:38 PM
To: Kim Carter Martinez
Subject: RE: PSC 45026 - 14/15

Hi Kim,

Are you available anytime this Thursday afternoon?

Thanks,
Lisa

---

From: Kim Carter Martinez [mailto:kcarter@ifpte21.org]
Sent: Friday, October 24, 2014 1:58 PM
To: Lisa Randall (AIR)
Cc: Christopher Birch (AIR); Stephanie Francisco (AIR)
Subject: RE: PSC 45026 - 14/15

I'm available next week at 10am on Wednesday the 29th or Friday the 31st also at 10.

Thanks,

Kim

---

This e-mail message and any attachments contain confidential information that is legally privileged and intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained herein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission and any attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

From: Lisa Randall [mailto:Lisa.Randall@flysfo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 23, 2014 4:24 PM
To: Kim Carter Martinez
Cc: Christopher Birch; Stephanie Francisco
Subject: FW: PSC 45026 - 14/15

Hi Kim,

Please let me your availability for the next few days and I can set up a conference call with yourself and the contract manager for these services.

Thank you,
Lisa
From: Kim Carter Martinez [mailto:kcarter@ifpте21.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 9:48 AM
To: Cynthia Avakian
Subject: PSC 45026 - 14/15

Dear Cynthia,

Local 21 is in receipt of PSC 45026-14/15. We would like to set up a time to discuss this PSC and get more information as to why Civil Service Employees can not do this work. Please contact me either via email at kcarter@ifpте21.org or via phone at 415-864-2100 to discuss. Thank you,

Kim Carter Martinez

Thanks,

Kim

Kim Carter Martinez
IFPTE Local 21, AFL-CIO
p:415-864-2100
f:415-864-2166
e:kcarter@ifpте21.org

This e-mail message and any attachments contain confidential information that is legally privileged and intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained herein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission and any attachments without reading or saving in any manner.
Additional Attachment(s)
For all PSCs if the duration requested is 5 years or more, an explanation is required. Historical PSC required.

The PSC term corresponds to the anticipated contract duration of five (5) years, plus an additional 6-months, through the end of the following fiscal year, with the anticipated term of January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2021. The requested PSC term ties to SFO's five (5)-year strategic plan.
PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: February 5, 2010 Amended February 9, 2010

DEPARTMENT NAME: AIRPORT COMMISSION
DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 27

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ☑ REGULAR (OMIT POSTING ___)
☐ EXPEDITED  ☐ CONTINUING  ☐ ANNUAL

TYPE OF REQUEST:
☑ INITIAL REQUEST  ☐ MODIFICATION

TYPE OF SERVICE: Airport Customer Survey
FUNDING SOURCE: Airport Operating Funds

PSC AMOUNT: $375,000 ($75,000/yr)  PSC DURATION: May 1, 2010 to June 30, 2015

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK

A. Concise description of proposed work:
Provide customer survey data regarding the quality of the Airport’s Food and Beverage and Retail Services, Parking, and other facilities and services. The surveys have consistently taken place in May for the past 19 years of at least 1,400 valid respondents to reflect the summer travel season. The preliminary results need to be analyzed and submitted to SFO within 30 days from the last interview. Vendor will produce, execute, and analyze the data and present results and recommendations to SFO.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
The Airport is committed to providing customer satisfaction through safe, convenient, and pleasing facilities and services to the flying public. Without this service, there would be an interruption of the year-to-year and benchmark comparison data, the Airport would lose touch with its passenger user base and may suffer a reduction in passenger satisfaction and/or revenues.

C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number):
This has been done by a contractor since an independent assessment of the Airport’s operations is needed.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes, if there continues to be a need for such services at the Airport.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedure):

IFPTE Local 21
Union Name

Cynthia P. Avakian
Signature of person mailing/faxing form
FEB 5 2010
Date

SEIU, Local 1021
Union Name

Cynthia P. Avakian
Signature of person mailing/faxing form
FEB 5 2010
Date

RFP sent to: ____________________________ on ____________________________
Union Name
Date
Signature

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC # 4101-09/10
STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION: Approved 3/15/10

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:
3. **DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE**

   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
   The contractor is required to have a high level of knowledge and expertise in conducting face-to-face interviews, telephone surveys, focus groups, mail-back surveys, and other surveys; the design of questionnaires; sampling design; statistical analyses; interviewing in English, French, Spanish, German, Japanese, Korean, Mandarin, and Cantonese, and other languages as required by the Airport; and furnishing computerized survey data results. The contractor uses their experience in varied, large Airport environments to develop recommendations based on industry successes.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?
   1820 Junior Administrative Analyst or 1822 Administrative Analyst may be able to perform a portion of the work.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
   No

4. **WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM**

   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
   Civil service classifications are not applicable as the work is intermittent and entails a large number of people for short periods of time.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
   No, since the work is considered seasonal.

5. **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** (if "yes," attach explanation)

   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?
   ![Yes No]

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
   - Describe the training and indicate approximate number of hours.
   - Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (e.g., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate numbers to be trained.
   ![Yes No]

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
   ![Yes No]

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
   ![Yes No]

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service? Attached is Airport Commission Resolution #09-0283.
   ![Yes No]

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department? A RFP for this contract will be issued shortly so the results of the competitive process are not known at this time.
   ![Yes No]

---

**THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:**

[Signature]

**Signature of Departmental Personal Services Contract Coordinator**

Cynthia P. Avakdian

Print or Type Name

(650) 821-2014

Telephone Number

Airport Commission, Contracts Administration Unit

P.O. Box 8097, San Francisco, CA 94128

Address

---

PSC FORM 1 (9/96)
March 15, 2010 Regular Meeting

Civil Service Commission - March 15, 2010

MINUTES
Regular Meeting
March 15, 2010
2:00 p.m.
ROOM 400, CITY HALL
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

CALL TO ORDER

2:19 p.m.

ROLL CALL

President Morgan R. Gorrono Present
Vice President E. Dennis Normandy Present (Left at 3:25 p.m. Missed Ites #s 8-19)
Commissioner Donald A. Casper Present
Commissioner Mary Y. Jung Present
President Morgan R. Gorrono presided.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS APPEARING ON THE AGENDA

(1) Daniel Boreen requested to see the viewing file.

(2) Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 requested that PSC #s 4092-09/10, 4096-09/10, 4097-09/10, 4100-09/10 and 4103-09/10 be severed.

(3) Wesley Wakeford, Attorney for Stephen Kloster commented on Item #12 and urged the Commission to objectively review all materials submitted.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Regular Meeting of February 1, 2010

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Action: Approve. (Vote of 4 to 0)

Regular Meeting of March 1, 2010

Action: Approve. (Vote of 4 to 0)
Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contracts.
(Item No. 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>$17,400,000</td>
<td>Provide separate professional parking garage management services at the Moscone Center Garage, North Beach/Vallejo Garage, Performing Arts Garage, and Polk/Bush Garage. Services include: oversight of collection, reconciliation and deposit of all parking &amp; non-parking revenue; repair &amp; maintenance of facilities and revenue control equipment; compliance with insurance &amp; bond requirements; providing valet or valet-assisted parking services during special events; providing personnel for cashiering, janitorial &amp; security.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>4/2/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4082-09/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,569,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/29/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4085-09/10</td>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>$81,340</td>
<td></td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide services for elder abuse victims that include: outreach & support to victims of elder abuse; community presentations to educate regarding elder abuse and legal resources; consultation to prosecution team regarding legal issues in prosecuting financial, physical, emotional, or verbal abuse of elders.

February 1, 2010:

(1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4082-09/10. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 2 to 1; Commissioner Gorrono dissents.)

Continue to the meeting of March 1, 2010. (Three (3) votes are needed for Commission action.)

(2) Postpone PSC #4085-09/10 to the meeting of March 1, 2010.

March 1, 2010:

Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers:

Sheila Arcelona and Allen Kennedy, District Attorney's Office spoke on PSC #4085-09/10.

Action:

Approve request for proposed personal services contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)
Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract.
(Item No. 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4093-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>Provide pre-employment polygraph screening on an as-needed basis for entry level police officers, police reserve officers, police cadets and police service aides. Such screening shall include questions regarding prior police history, criminal activity, illegal drug use, drinking habits and incidents of domestic violence. Services also include providing a business site with adequate interrogation facilities divided by one-way mirrors, and equipped with one way sound devices, video and audio recording equipment.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4094-09/10</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>Provide as-needed and after-hours security guard services, and as-needed guard/driver services for the Community Health Network, specifically for the Substance Abuse Services Unit of Ward 93, Opiate Treatment Outpatient Program (OTOP) at San Francisco General Hospital, the Silver Avenue Health Center Methadone/Office based opiate treatment program, Building 80/90 adjacent to the OTOP program, and armed guard/driver services for the operation of the Mobile Methadone Van(s).</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4095-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>Provide architectural services on an as-needed basis, for public building projects including but not limited to master plans, environmental reviews, programming and planning studies, assessments of existing facilities, green building evaluations, utility studies, design drawings, specifications, cost estimates, project schedules, adaptive re-use of existing facilities, modifications to life safety systems and other infrastructure, and new facilities.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>08/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4096-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Materials Testing &amp; Special Inspection (MTSI) Team will provide specialized materials testing &amp; special inspection services for the SFPUC Head-quarters Building Project located at 525 Golden Gate Ave. Consultant will be City's representative leading &amp; coordinating the materials testing &amp; special inspection activities for the Project to ensure compliance with all requirements of state &amp; local regulatory agencies including the International Building Code, California Building Code, SF Dept. of Building Inspection, Special Inspection &amp; Structural Observation, and Contract Documents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regular 08/01/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| 4097-09/10  | Public Works | $200,000 |
|   |   | Provide additional services to continue as Executive Preservation Architect, &amp; provide architectural &amp; engineering design services for the final phase of the Palace of Fine Arts, which includes full consultant coordination. The scope of work includes lagoon stabilization on the western portion of the lagoon, coordinating new paving along the colonnade pathway &amp; Rotunda, new lighting design, re-grading of the site, new sidewalk curbs, installation of new metal doors, landscaping &amp; irrigation. |
|   |   | Regular 07/01/11 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Airport Commission</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4098-09/10</td>
<td>Provides financial advisory services to manage San Francisco International Airport's capital financing program, including a $4.1 billion debt portfolio. Services include but are not limited to financial, investment, swap and rebate advisory services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4099-09/10</td>
<td>Provides design &amp; integration services for 1) Baggage Handling System (BHS) &amp; Inline explosive detection screening (EDS) systems at Terminal 3, Boarding Area E, International Terminal Building &amp; other Airport BHS locations; services include 100% construction documents, full-service construction administration, quality control for BHS additions &amp; modifications, 100% design &amp; integration of programmable logic controls (PLC), pre-construction simulations, acceptance testing &amp; integration; 2) Passenger Boarding Bridges (PBB); services include 100% construction documents, construction administration, quality control for complete PBB replacements, relocations and/or modifications, PBB integration with aircraft parking, fuel hydrant system, preconditioned air &amp; ground power, acceptance testing with aircraft for a complete operating system.</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>Regular 06/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4100-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$26,000,000</td>
<td>Contractor will operate the Airport's Curbside Management Program for on-demand door-to-door van, taxicab, and limousine operations, provide customer services for air passengers seeking door-to-door transportation to leave the Airport, and facilitate services for people with special transportation needs. The contractor will monitor, coordinate and dispatch door-to-door vans, taxicabs and limousines in the holding/staging/waiting areas and curbside loading zones, as well as manage various systems supporting said operations at SFO. Airport ground transportation vehicle trip fees support the direct costs of the Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4101-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>Provide customer survey data regarding the quality of the Airport's Food and Beverage and Retail Services, Parking, and other facilities and services. The surveys have consistently taken place in May for the past 19 years of at least 1,400 valid respondents to reflect the summer travel season. The preliminary results need to be analyzed and submitted to SFO within 30 days from the last interview. Vendor will produce, execute, and analyze the data and present results and recommendations to SFO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4082-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Increase Amount</td>
<td>New Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4102-07/08</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Increase Amount</td>
<td>New Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.
Speakers:

Sgt. Michael Zurcher, San Francisco Police Department spoke on PSC #4093-09/10.
Jacquie Hale, Department of Public Health and Sgt. Michael Zurcher, San Francisco Police Department spoke on PSC #4094-09/10.
Mark Dorian, Department of Public Works spoke on PSC #4095-09/10.
Brook Mebrahtu, Department of Public Works and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4096-09/10.
Toks Ajike, Recreation and Parks Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4097-09/10.

Speakers:

Cynthia Avakian, Airport Commission and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4100-09/10.
Sgt. Robert Totah and Tom Newland, San Francisco Police Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4092-09/10.
Sharon Kwong, Department of Public Health spoke on PSC #4102-07/08.

Action:

(1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4096-09/10 on the condition that the Department of Public Works engage in discussions with SEIU Local 1021. Should SEIU continue to have concerns about the contract, it may be put back on calendar for further discussion and action at the next regular meeting of April 5, 2010. In no case will the approval of the contract be delayed beyond the April 5, 2010 meeting. Notify the offices
of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(2) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4097-09/10 on the condition that contact be made with SEIU Local 1021 within 24 hours to address concerns they have with the PSC. In addition, that a response be provided to Local 1021 by the Recreation and Parks Department within the following 24 hours addressing their concerns after which the conditions of the Civil Service Commission approval would have been met. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(3) Approve request for proposed personal services contracts on all remaining contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract.
(Item No. 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4103-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>Provide court reporting services and transcription as needed for disciplinary hearings and Police Commission meetings, along with transcription of tapes from interviews done with the Police Department's Management Control Division and other investigative units within the Police Department.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT – ECD
Dept. Code: ECD

Type of Request: ☑ Initial
☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC #

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited
☑ Regular
☐ Omit Posting

Type of Service: Pre-Employment Psychological Evaluation Services

Funding Source: general fund
PSC Duration: 5 years 25 weeks
PSC Amount: $250,000
PSC Est. Start Date: 01/01/2015
PSC Est. End Date: 06/30/2020

1. Description of Work

A. Scope of Work:
The selected vendor will perform required psychological evaluations and provide written summary and assessment reports for all selected candidates as part of their final selection process for hiring positions in the Department of Emergency Management’s Division of Emergency Communications (DEC).

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
As required by law, the permanent civil service selection process for certain positions include a requirement that candidates submit to and successfully complete a psychological examination prior to employment. The consequences of denial would prevent the department from hiring DEC candidates.

C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
PSC# 3078-10/11 on April 20, 2011.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? no.

2. Union Notification: On 09/16/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: all unions were notified

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 41436 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required

Civil Service Commission Action:

-50-

July 2013
City and County of San Francisco

3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Psychological examiners, pursuant to section 1031 (f) of the California Code of Regulations, are required to have a Ph.D. in Psychology with at least five years of post graduate experience in diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      2574, 2576,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      Yes, the vendor would utilize proprietary software to track and assess all candidates.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Due to the restrictive skills and experience requirements of the California Code of Regulations, and the as needed - on demand nature of the work, it is impractical to utilize a civil service class to perform the work.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No, because this service is utilized on an as-needed, on demand basis.

5. Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)
   YES NO
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?
      □ □
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      □ □
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      □ □
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      □ □
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      □ □
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department?
      □ □

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON 09/17/2014 BY:

Name: William Lee                     Phone: 415-558-3866   Email: william.lee@sfgov.org
Address: 1011 Turk Street                        San Francisco, CA 94102
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
Subject: Receipt of Notice for new PCS over $100K PSC # 41436 - 14/15

The DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT — ECD has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 41436 - 14/15 for $250,000 for Initial Request services for the period 01/01/2015 – 06/30/2020. Notification of 30 days

A receipt for SEIU is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdrupal/node/3926 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended.
Additional Attachment(s)
MEMORANDUM

TO: Suzanne Choi, DHR PSC Coordinator
   Department of Human Resources

FROM: William Lee, Deputy Director of Administration and Support
       Department of Emergency Management

DATE: September 17, 2014

RE: PSC Duration Exceeding 5 Years – Polygraph & Psychological Exams

The Department of Emergency Management (DEM) has submitted two PSC requests (#41396-14/15 and #41436-14/15) for professional services related to the hiring of 9-1-1 Operations personnel.

DEM provides the 911 emergency and non-emergency Police, Fire and emergency medical call taking and dispatch services for the City and County of San Francisco. In order to fulfill these critical public safety functions, DEM maintains a minimum staffing model for a 24x7x365 operating call center. The most integral component for staffing the 9-1-1 center is the availability of dispatch staff.

To that end, DEM has worked with the Mayor’s Budget Office to develop a long-term dispatcher hiring plan. Because public safety communications dispatchers are subject to California Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) requirements, all new hires must complete the following recruitment criteria:

- Successful completion of a Polygraph Exam
- Successful completion of a Psychological Evaluation
- Successful completion of a Background Investigation

Given the stringent requirements above, DEM is requesting that the duration of these PSC requests be allowed to exceed five (5) years in order to ensure consistency in the Department’s hiring process for public safety communications personnel.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me at 415-558-3866.
California Government Code Section 1031

1031. Each class of public officers or employees declared by law to be peace officers shall meet all of the following minimum standards:
   (a) Be a citizen of the United States or a permanent resident alien who is eligible for and has applied for citizenship, except as provided in Section 2267 of the Vehicle Code.
   (b) Be at least 18 years of age.
   (c) Be fingerprinted for purposes of search of local, state, and national fingerprint files to disclose a criminal record.
   (d) Be of good moral character, as determined by a thorough background investigation.
   (e) Be a high school graduate, pass the General Education Development Test indicating high school graduation level, pass the California High School Proficiency Examination, or have attained a two-year, four-year, or advanced degree from an accredited college or university. The high school shall be either a United States public school, an accredited United States Department of Defense high school, or an accredited or approved public or nonpublic high school. Any accreditation or approval required by this paragraph shall be from a state or local government educational agency using local or state government approved accreditation, licensing, registration, or other approval standards, a regional accrediting association, an accrediting association recognized by the Secretary of the United States Department of Education, an accrediting association holding full membership in the National Council for Private School Accreditation (NCPSA), an organization holding full membership in the Commission on International and Trans-Regional Accreditation (CITA), an organization holding full membership in the Council for American Private Education (CAPE), or an accrediting association recognized by the National Federation of Nonpublic School State Accrediting Associations (NFSN').
   (f) Be found to be free from any physical, emotional, or mental condition that might adversely affect the exercise of the powers of a peace officer.
   (1) Physical condition shall be evaluated by a licensed physician and surgeon.
   (2) Emotional and mental condition shall be evaluated by either of the following:
      (A) A physician and surgeon who holds a valid California license to practice medicine, has successfully completed a postgraduate medical residency education program in psychiatry accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, and has at least the equivalent of five full-time years of experience in the
diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders, including the equivalent of three full-time years accrued after completion of the psychiatric residency program.
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: 04/18/2011

DEPARTMENT NAME: Emergency Management  DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 77

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ■ EXPEDITED  □ REGULAR (OMIT POSTING ___)
□ CONTINUING  □ ANNUAL

TYPE OF REQUEST:
■ INITIAL REQUEST  □ MODIFICATION (PSC#______)

TYPE OF SERVICE: Pre-Employment Psychological Services

FUNDING SOURCE: General Fund (1G-AGF-AAA)

PSC AMOUNT: $28,000.00  PSC DURATION: 07/01/2011 to 06/30/2013

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK
A. Concise description of proposed work: The selected vendor will perform required psychological evaluations and provide written summary and assessment reports for all selected candidates as part of their final selection process for entry positions in the Department of Emergency Management’s Division of Emergency Communications (DEC).

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial: As required by law, the permanent civil service selection process for certain positions include a requirement that candidates submit to and successfully complete a psychological examination prior to employment. The consequences of denial would prevent the department from hiring DEC candidates.

C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number): PSC# 4125-06/07.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed: Unknown at this time.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures):

[Signatures and dates]

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC # 2078 - 10/11

Approval Date: 4/20/11

By: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
3. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise: Psychological examiners, pursuant to section 1031 (f) of the California Code of Regulations, are required to have a Ph.D. in Psychology with at least five years of post graduate experience in diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work? Although there are classifications that may perform psychological examinations, such as class 2574 Clinical Psychologist & 2576 Supervising Clinical Psychologist, those classes do not fulfill the requirements of Section 1031 (f) of the California Code of Regulations.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain: Yes, the vendor would utilize proprietary software to track and assess all candidates.

4. WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable: Due to the restrictive skills and experience requirements of the California Code of Regulations, and the as needed – on demand nature of the work, it is impractical to utilize a civil service class to perform the work.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain: No, because this service is utilized on an as-needed, on demand basis.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes", attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees? □ Yes □ No

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
      - Describe training and indicate approximate number of hours.
      - Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (e.g., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate number to be trained.

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services? □ Yes □ No

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services? □ Yes □ No

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service? □ Yes □ No

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department? □ Yes □ No

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

________________________
Signature of Departmental Personal Services Contract Coordinator

WILL LEE
Print or Type Name

415-550-3866
Telephone Number

1011 TULLY ST.
Address

SF, CA 94102
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT – ECD Dept. Code: ECD

Type of Request: ☑ Initial ☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # _______)

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited ☑ Regular (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Website and Grants Management Database

Funding Source: Federal Homeland Security Grant PSC Duration: 2 years 50 weeks
PSC Amount: $200,000 PSC Est. Start Date: 01/15/2015 PSC Est. End Date: 12/31/2017

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
   Contractor will provide web design services to support the execution of an upgraded website to better promote the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) program and its regional projects. The enhanced website will be easy to navigate, provide for a grants management system for partner jurisdictions to perform fiscal grants management activities, and have enough capacity to allow for the addition of audio/video presentations, webinars, and other multi-media needs.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
   The UASI Region is comprised of twelve counties and three core cities, which together receive federal funding to combat and respond to terrorism and related catastrophic disasters throughout the entire Bay Area. As a regional program, all jurisdictions must be able to easily communicate and access information from our website. (See Attached)

   C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
   The website was designed and developed by a contractor (FGI Seattle LLC) under PSC# 3100-11/12

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Based on need, performance, and funding availability

2. Union Notification: On 10/16/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Professional & Tech Engrs, Local 21, Municipal Executive Association,

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 44337 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      The service requires extensive experience working within and across county lines in order to facilitate the
      production of a product that is of mutual benefit to all stakeholders involved. The provider must have an
      understanding of how the unique characteristics of each member county impacts the entire UASI Region. The
      service also requires extensive knowledge in emergency response planning and Federal Emergency
      Management Agency (FEMA) and California Office of Emergency Services (CalOES) grant requirements.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      1823.0922.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Conditions of this grant include a personnel cap limit that has already been reached. No additional funds can be
      used towards personnel costs. Also, because this project spans across twelve counties it would be best to enlist
      a provider not associated with any of the counties.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      The regional, state, and national interaction required to perform this service would make it impractical for a SF
      Civil Service employee to perform this work for and on behalf of other counties. Also, we have reached the
      personnel cap on this time limited grant.

5. Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)
   YES 
   NO

   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?
      Yes

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      Yes
      Contractor will train (See Attached).

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      No

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      Yes

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      No

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department?
      Yes

☐ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
ON 11/18/2014 BY:

Name: William Lee 
Phone: 415-558-3866  Email: william.lee@sfgov.org
Address: 1011 Turk Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 44337 - 14/15 more than $100k

The DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT — ECD has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 44337 - 14/15 for $200,000 for Initial Request services for the period 01/15/2015 – 12/31/2017. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhdrupal/node/4039 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended
Additional Attachment(s)
1B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial.

The UASI Region is comprised of twelve counties and three core cities, which together receive federal funding to combat and respond to terrorism and related catastrophic disasters throughout the entire Bay Area. As a regional program, all jurisdictions must be able to easily communicate and access information from our website. This information can include, but is not limited to: meeting notices, minutes, program updates, compliance bulletins, and other resources to be used across jurisdictions and by the public at large. Consequences of denial would leave the region without a usable website for communicating important information. This in turn may hinder the Bay Area UASI Region’s ability to develop future funding requests and secure increased funding for SF and all of the Bay Area cities and counties, thus jeopardizing our ability to prevent, protect against, respond to and recover from acts of terrorism and other man-made or natural catastrophes in the Bay Area.

5B. Will the contractor train City and county employee?

Contractor will train UASI staff on the use of the website and grants management system (4 hours total).

8600 Emergency Services Coordinator

1823 Senior Administrative Analyst
Title 44: Emergency Management and Assistance

CHAPTER I: FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

SUBCHAPTER A: GENERAL

PART 13: UNIFORM ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR GRANTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Subpart C: Post-Award Requirements

: Changes, Property, and Subawards

13.36 - Procurement.

(a) States. When procuring property and services under a grant, a State will follow the same policies and procedures it uses for procurements from its non-Federal funds. The State will ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes any clauses required by Federal statutes and executive orders and their implementing regulations. Other grantees and subgrantees will follow paragraphs (b) through (i) in this section.

(b) Procurement standards. (1) Grantees and subgrantees will use their own procurement procedures which reflect applicable State and local laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal law and the standards identified in this section.

(2) Grantees and subgrantees will maintain a contract administration system which ensures that contractors perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of their contracts or purchase orders.
(3) Grantees and subgrantees will maintain a written code of standards of conduct governing the performance of their employees engaged in the award and administration of contracts. No employee, officer or agent of the grantee or subgrantee shall participate in selection, or in the award or administration of a contract supported by Federal funds if a conflict of interest, real or apparent, would be involved. Such a conflict would arise when:

(i) The employee, officer or agent,

(ii) Any member of his immediate family,

(iii) His or her partner, or

(iv) An organization which employs, or is about to employ, any of the above, has a financial or other interest in the firm selected for award. The grantee's or subgrantee's officers, employees or agents will neither solicit nor accept gratuities, favors or anything of monetary value from contractors, potential contractors, or parties to subagreements. Grantee and subgrantees may set minimum rules where the financial interest is not substantial or the gift is an unsolicited item of nominal intrinsic value. To the extent permitted by State or local law or regulations, such standards or conduct will provide for penalties, sanctions, or other disciplinary actions for violations of such standards by the grantee's and subgrantee's officers, employees, or agents, or by contractors or their agents. The awarding agency may in regulation provide additional prohibitions relative to real, apparent, or potential conflicts of interest.

(4) Grantee and subgrantee procedures will provide for a review of proposed procurements to avoid purchase of unnecessary or duplicative items. Consideration should be given to consolidating or breaking out procurements to obtain a more economical purchase. Where appropriate, an analysis will be made of lease versus purchase alternatives, and any other appropriate analysis to determine the most economical approach.

(5) To foster greater economy and efficiency, grantees and subgrantees are encouraged to enter into State and local intergovernmental agreements for procurement or use of common goods and services.

(6) Grantees and subgrantees are encouraged to use Federal excess and surplus property in lieu of purchasing new equipment and property whenever such use is feasible and reduces project costs.

(7) Grantees and subgrantees are encouraged to use value engineering clauses in contracts for construction projects of sufficient size to offer reasonable opportunities for cost reductions. Value engineering is a systematic and creative analysis of each contract item or task to ensure that its essential function is provided at the overall lower cost.

(8) Grantees and subgrantees will make awards only to responsible contractors possessing the ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed procurement. Consideration will be given to such matters as contractor integrity, compliance with public
policy, record of past performance, and financial and technical resources.

(9) Grantees and subgrantees will maintain records sufficient to detail the significant history of a procurement. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price.

(10) Grantees and subgrantees will use time and material type contracts only?

(i) After a determination that no other contract is suitable, and

(ii) If the contract includes a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk.

(11) Grantees and subgrantees alone will be responsible, in accordance with good administrative practice and sound business judgment, for the settlement of all contractual and administrative issues arising out of procurements. These issues include, but are not limited to source evaluation, protests, disputes, and claims. These standards do not relieve the grantee or subgrantee of any contractual responsibilities under its contracts. Federal agencies will not substitute their judgment for that of the grantee or subgrantee unless the matter is primarily a Federal concern. Violations of law will be referred to the local, State, or Federal authority having proper jurisdiction.

(12) Grantees and subgrantees will have protest procedures to handle and resolve disputes relating to their procurements and shall in all instances disclose information regarding the protest to the awarding agency. A protestor must exhaust all administrative remedies with the grantee and subgrantee before pursuing a protest with the Federal agency. Reviews of protests by the Federal agency will be limited to:

(i) Violations of Federal law or regulations and the standards of this section (violations of State or local law will be under the jurisdiction of State or local authorities) and

(ii) Violations of the grantee's or subgrantee's protest procedures for failure to review a complaint or protest. Protests received by the Federal agency other than those specified above will be referred to the grantee or subgrantee.

(c) Competition. (1) All procurement transactions will be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition consistent with the standards of section 13.36. Some of the situations considered to be restrictive of competition include but are not limited to:

(i) Placing unreasonable requirements on firms in order for them to qualify to do business,

(ii) Requiring unnecessary experience and excessive bonding,

(iii) Noncompetitive pricing practices between firms or between affiliated companies,

(iv) Noncompetitive awards to consultants that are on retainer contracts,
(v) Organizational conflicts of interest,

(vi) Specifying only a `brand name? product instead of allowing `an equal? product to be offered and describing the performance of other relevant requirements of the procurement, and

(vii) Any arbitrary action in the procurement process.

(2) Grantees and subgrantees will conduct procurements in a manner that prohibits the use of statutorily or administratively imposed in-State or local geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals, except in those cases where applicable Federal statutes expressly mandate or encourage geographic preference. Nothing in this section preempts State licensing laws. When contracting for architectural and engineering (A/E) services, geographic location may be a selection criteria provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract.

(3) Grantees will have written selection procedures for procurement transactions. These procedures will ensure that all solicitations:

(i) Incorporate a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements for the material, product, or service to be procured. Such description shall not, in competitive procurements, contain features which unduly restrict competition. The description may include a statement of the qualitative nature of the material, product or service to be procured, and when necessary, shall set forth those minimum essential characteristics and standards to which it must conform if it is to satisfy its intended use. Detailed product specifications should be avoided if at all possible. When it is impractical or uneconomical to make a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements, a `brand name or equal? description may be used as a means to define the performance or other salient requirements of a procurement. The specific features of the named brand which must be met by offerors shall be clearly stated; and

(ii) Identify all requirements which the offerors must fulfill and all other factors to be used in evaluating bids or proposals.

(4) Grantees and subgrantees will ensure that all prequalified lists of persons, firms, or products which are used in acquiring goods and services are current and include enough qualified sources to ensure maximum open and free competition. Also, grantees and subgrantees will not preclude potential bidders from qualifying during the solicitation period.

(d) Methods of procurement to be followed?(1) Procurement by small purchase procedures. Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at $100,000). If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.

(2) Procurement by sealed bids (formal advertising). Bids are publicly solicited and a firm-fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid,
conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price. The sealed bid method is the preferred method for procuring construction, if the conditions in ? 13.36(d)(2)(i) apply.

(i) In order for sealed bidding to be feasible, the following conditions should be present:

(A) A complete, adequate, and realistic specification or purchase description is available;

(B) Two or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively and for the business; and

(C) The procurement lends itself to a firm fixed price contract and the selection of the successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price.

(ii) If sealed bids are used, the following requirements apply:

(A) The invitation for bids will be publicly advertised and bids shall be solicited from an adequate number of known suppliers, providing them sufficient time prior to the date set for opening the bids;

(B) The invitation for bids, which will include any specifications and pertinent attachments, shall define the items or services in order for the bidder to properly respond;

(C) All bids will be publicly opened at the time and place prescribed in the invitation for bids;

(D) A firm fixed-price contract award will be made in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Where specified in bidding documents, factors such as discounts, transportation cost, and life cycle costs shall be considered in determining which bid is lowest. Payment discounts will only be used to determine the low bid when prior experience indicates that such discounts are usually taken advantage of; and

(E) Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound documented reason.

(3) Procurement by competitive proposals. The technique of competitive proposals is normally conducted with more than one source submitting an offer, and either a fixed-price or cost-reimbursement type contract is awarded. It is generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids. If this method is used, the following requirements apply:

(i) Requests for proposals will be publicized and identify all evaluation factors and their relative importance. Any response to publicized requests for proposals shall be honored to the maximum extent practical;

(ii) Proposals will be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources;

(iii) Grantees and subgrantees will have a method for conducting technical evaluations of the proposals received and for selecting awardees;
(iv) Awards will be made to the responsible firm whose proposal is most advantageous to the program, with price and other factors considered; and

(v) Grantees and subgrantees may use competitive proposal procedures for qualifications-based procurement of architectural/engineering (A/E) professional services whereby competitors' qualifications are evaluated and the most qualified competitor is selected, subject to negotiation of fair and reasonable compensation. The method, where price is not used as a selection factor, can only be used in procurement of A/E professional services. It cannot be used to purchase other types of services though A/E firms are a potential source to perform the proposed effort.

(4) Procurement by noncompetitive proposals is procurement through solicitation of a proposal from only one source, or after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate.

(i) Procurement by noncompetitive proposals may be used only when the award of a contract is infeasible under small purchase procedures, sealed bids or competitive proposals and one of the following circumstances applies:

(A) The item is available only from a single source;

(B) The public exigency or emergency for the requirement will not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation;

(C) The awarding agency authorizes noncompetitive proposals; or

(D) After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate.

(ii) Cost analysis, i.e., verifying the proposed cost data, the projections of the data, and the evaluation of the specific elements of costs and profits, is required.

(iii) Grantees and subgrantees may be required to submit the proposed procurement to the awarding agency for pre-award review in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section.

(e) Contracting with small and minority firms, women's business enterprise and labor surplus area firms. (1) The grantee and subgrantee will take all necessary affirmative steps to assure that minority firms, women's business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible.

(2) Affirmative steps shall include:

(i) Placing qualified small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises on solicitation lists;

(ii) Assuring that small and minority businesses, and women's business enterprises are solicited whenever they are potential sources;
(iii) Dividing total requirements, when economically feasible, into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by small and minority business, and women's business enterprises;

(iv) Establishing delivery schedules, where the requirement permits, which encourage participation by small and minority business, and women's business enterprises;

(v) Using the services and assistance of the Small Business Administration, and the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce; and

(vi) Requiring the prime contractor, if subcontracts are to be let, to take the affirmative steps listed in paragraphs (e)(2) (i) through (v) of this section.

(f) Contract cost and price. (1) Grantees and subgrantees must perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action including contract modifications. The method and degree of analysis is dependent on the facts surrounding the particular procurement situation, but as a starting point, grantees must make independent estimates before receiving bids or proposals. A cost analysis must be performed when the offeror is required to submit the elements of his estimated cost, e.g., under professional, consulting, and architectural engineering services contracts. A cost analysis will be necessary when adequate price competition is lacking, and for sole source procurements, including contract modifications or change orders, unless price reasonableness can be established on the basis of a catalog or market price of a commercial product sold in substantial quantities to the general public or based on prices set by law or regulation. A price analysis will be used in all other instances to determine the reasonableness of the proposed contract price.

(2) Grantees and subgrantees will negotiate profit as a separate element of the price for each contract in which there is no price competition and in all cases where cost analysis is performed. To establish a fair and reasonable profit, consideration will be given to the complexity of the work to be performed, the risk borne by the contractor, the contractor's investment, the amount of subcontracting, the quality of its record of past performance, and industry profit rates in the surrounding geographical area for similar work.

(3) Costs or prices based on estimated costs for contracts under grants will be allowable only to the extent that costs incurred or cost estimates included in negotiated prices are consistent with Federal cost principles (see ? 13.22). Grantees may reference their own cost principles that comply with the applicable Federal cost principles.

(4) The cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost methods of contracting shall not be used.

(g) Awarding agency review. (1) Grantees and subgrantees must make available, upon request of the awarding agency, technical specifications on proposed procurements where the awarding agency believes such review is needed to ensure that the item and/or service specified is the one being proposed for purchase. This review generally will take place prior to the time the
specification is incorporated into a solicitation document. However, if the grantee or subgrantee desires to have the review accomplished after a solicitation has been developed, the awarding agency may still review the specifications, with such review usually limited to the technical aspects of the proposed purchase.

(2) Grantees and subgrantees must on request make available for awarding agency pre-award review procurement documents, such as requests for proposals or invitations for bids, independent cost estimates, etc. when:

(i) A grantee's or subgrantee's procurement procedures or operation fails to comply with the procurement standards in this section; or

(ii) The procurement is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold and is to be awarded without competition or only one bid or offer is received in response to a solicitation; or

(iii) The procurement, which is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, specifies a ?brand name? product; or

(iv) The proposed award is more than the simplified acquisition threshold and is to be awarded to other than the apparent low bidder under a sealed bid procurement; or

(v) A proposed contract modification changes the scope of a contract or increases the contract amount by more than the simplified acquisition threshold.

(3) A grantee or subgrantee will be exempt from the pre-award review in paragraph (g)(2) of this section if the awarding agency determines that its procurement systems comply with the standards of this section.

(i) A grantee or subgrantee may request that its procurement system be reviewed by the awarding agency to determine whether its system meets these standards in order for its system to be certified. Generally, these reviews shall occur where there is a continuous high-dollar funding, and third-party contracts are awarded on a regular basis.

(ii) A grantee or subgrantee may self-certify its procurement system. Such self-certification shall not limit the awarding agency's right to survey the system. Under a self-certification procedure, awarding agencies may wish to rely on written assurances from the grantee or subgrantee that it is complying with these standards. A grantee or subgrantee will cite specific procedures, regulations, standards, etc., as being in compliance with these requirements and have its system available for review.

(h) Bonding requirements. For construction or facility improvement contracts or subcontracts exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold, the awarding agency may accept the bonding policy and requirements of the grantee or subgrantee provided the awarding agency has made a determination that the awarding agency's interest is adequately protected. If such a determination has not been made, the minimum requirements shall be as follows:
(1) A bid guarantee from each bidder equivalent to five percent of the bid price. The bid guarantee shall consist of a firm commitment such as a bid bond, certified check, or other negotiable instrument accompanying a bid as assurance that the bidder will, upon acceptance of his bid, execute such contractual documents as may be required within the time specified.

(2) A performance bond on the part of the contractor for 100 percent of the contract price. A performance bond is one executed in connection with a contract to secure fulfillment of all the contractor's obligations under such contract.

(3) A payment bond on the part of the contractor for 100 percent of the contract price. A payment bond is one executed in connection with a contract to assure payment as required by law of all persons supplying labor and material in the execution of the work provided for in the contract.

(i) Contract provisions. A grantee's and subgrantee's contracts must contain provisions in paragraph (i) of this section. Federal agencies are permitted to require changes, remedies, changed conditions, access and records retention, suspension of work, and other clauses approved by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy.

(1) Administrative, contractual, or legal remedies in instances where contractors violate or breach contract terms, and provide for such sanctions and penalties as may be appropriate. (Contracts more than the simplified acquisition threshold)

(2) Termination for cause and for convenience by the grantee or subgrantee including the manner by which it will be effected and the basis for settlement. (All contracts in excess of $10,000)

(3) Compliance with Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, entitled Equal Employment Opportunity, as amended by Executive Order 11375 of October 13, 1967, and as supplemented in Department of Labor regulations (41 CFR chapter 60). (All construction contracts awarded in excess of $10,000 by grantees and their contractors or subgrantees)

(4) Compliance with the Copeland Anti-Kickback Act (18 U.S.C. 874) as supplemented in Department of Labor regulations (29 CFR Part 3). (All contracts and subgrants for construction or repair)

(5) Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. 276a to 276a-7) as supplemented by Department of Labor regulations (29 CFR part 5). (Construction contracts in excess of $2000 awarded by grantees and subgrantees when required by Federal grant program legislation)

(6) Compliance with Sections 103 and 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. 327-330) as supplemented by Department of Labor regulations (29 CFR Part 5). (Construction contracts awarded by grantees and subgrantees in excess of $2000, and in excess of $2500 for other contracts which involve the employment of mechanics or laborers)

(7) Notice of awarding agency requirements and regulations pertaining to reporting.
(8) Notice of awarding agency requirements and regulations pertaining to patent rights with respect to any discovery or invention which arises or is developed in the course of or under such contract.

(9) Awarding agency requirements and regulations pertaining to copyrights and rights in data.

(10) Access by the grantee, the subgrantee, the Federal grantor agency, the Comptroller General of the United States, or any of their duly authorized representatives to any books, documents, papers, and records of the contractor which are directly pertinent to that specific contract for the purpose of making audit, examination, excerpts, and transcriptions.

(11) Retention of all required records for three years after grantees or subgrantees make final payments and all other pending matters are closed.

(12) Compliance with all applicable standards, orders, or requirements issued under section 306 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 1857(h)), section 508 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1368), Executive Order 11738, and Environmental Protection Agency regulations (40 CFR part 15).

(Contracts, subcontracts, and subgrants of amounts in excess of $100,000)

(13) Mandatory standards and policies relating to energy efficiency which are contained in the state energy conservation plan issued in compliance with the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (Pub. L. 94-163, 89 Stat. 871).

[53 FR 8078, 8087, Mar.-11, 1988, as amended at 60 FR 19639, 19645, Apr. 19, 1995]
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PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: May 10, 2012

DEPARTMENT NAME: Emergency Management
DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 77

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ☑ EXPEDITED ☐ REGULAR (OMIT POSTING ________ )

TYPE OF REQUEST: ☑ INITIAL REQUEST ☐ MODIFICATION (PSC# ________ )

TYPE OF SERVICE: Professional Services for Website Design and Development

FUNDING SOURCE: Homeland Security Grant Funding — Urban Areas Security Initiative

PSC AMOUNT: $49,600 PSC DURATION: 06/01/2012-11/14/2014

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK
   A. Concise description of proposed work: The Bay Area UASI (BAUASI) seeks web design and development services to support the execution of a new website to be used by the Management Team to better support and promote the UASI program and its regional projects.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial: In order to comply with the Brown Act, the BAUASI is required to post meeting documents to promote transparency. As a regional program, various jurisdictions need to be able to navigate the website to obtain posted documents. However, our current website is difficult to navigate and maintain. A new website would allow us to better communicate the image of the BAUASI to a wide audience, comply with the Brown Act, make documents readily accessible to the public, and serve an unmet communications need. Consequences of denial would leave us without a usable website for posting agendas, minutes, and program updates to make documents accessible to the public.

   C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number): Not applicable.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed: Yes, for maintenance (hosting and support services) only.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures):

   Local 21
   Union Name ________________________________
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form ________________________________
   Date 5/11/12

   Union Name ________________________________
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form ________________________________
   Date

   RFP sent to ________________________________
   Union Name ________________________________
   Date ________________________________
   Signature ________________________________

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 3100-11/12

Approval Date: 5/17/12

Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director

PSC FORM 1 (9/96)
3. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise: Website design and development; Implementation of hosting and maintenance of website
   
   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?
      5330 Graphics Supervisor
   
   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain: No.

4. WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable: The project lead reached out to DTIS to evaluate if services could be used within the City, however DTIS does not have the capabilities to meet the Scope of Work and Deliverables required for this project.
   
   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain. No. The timeframe of this project is temporary and as such only requires specific services to produce an end product (a website).

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes," attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?
      Yes  No
   
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
      Yes  No
      • Describe the training and indicate approximate number of hours.
      • Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (i.e., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate number to be trained.
   
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      Yes  No
   
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      Yes  No
   
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      Yes  No
   
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department?
      Yes  No

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

[Signature]

Signature of Departmental Personal Services Contract Coordinator

William Lee  (415) 705-8507
Print or Type Name  Telephone Number

Department of Emergency Management
Division of Emergency Services
1011 Turk Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

PSC FORM 1 (9/96)
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY – MTA Dept. Code: MTA

Type of Request: ☐ Initial ☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC #__________)

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited ☐ Regular (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Financial Advisory Services (as-needed pool)

Funding Source: Operating Funds or bond proceeds PSC Duration: 5 years 1 day
PSC Amount: $2,000,000 PSC Est. Start Date: 01/13/2015 PSC Est. End Date: 01/13/2020

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
   The contractor(s) will provide financial planning, debt management, financial analysis and other financial services on an as-needed basis including, but not limited to, the following:
   * Review and update the SFMTA’s financial plan for future financing transactions after a thorough review and analyses of the existing financial resources, cash flows, bond indebtedness and legal structure of the SFMTA.
   * Provide as-needed financial advice regarding market conditions and trends, financial products, credit and credit analysis, third party alternative financing and special facility financing.
   * Review and evaluate financing options, financial feasibility studies, legal documents, and structure and pricing of any financing and any escrow.
   * Participate in credit rating agency presentations and preparation of official statements.
   * Assist with the selection of underwriters, escrow agent, verification agent, trustee and any other members required for a financing team.
   * Participate in preparing or providing financial analyses for projects and for the preparation of grant proposals.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
   This service is necessary for the SFMTA to obtain expert financial analysis and advice in specialized areas from professionals who focus on these types of services. Other City departments, including the Controller’s Office of Public Finance, also contract with outside professionals for these types of services. Denial of these services would make it extremely difficult to undertake financial programs such as bond financings and to obtain assistance with financial analysis for important transportation projects.

   C. Has this service been provided in the past? If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC. These services have been provided in the past via PSC #4060-09/10.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes.

2. Union Notification: On 10/14/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Professional & Tech Engrs, Local 21, Municipal Executive Association.

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 43826 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Civil Service Commission Action:
Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

July 2013
3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Extensive and specialized knowledge, experience and expertise in financial modeling, debt service management, bond issuance and bond markets, bond ratings, private/public partnership arrangements and various types of financial analysis. The contractor(s) will be chosen from the list of pre-qualified firms offering financial advisory services created by the City Controller's office.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work? 1824,9182,9187,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Civil Service classifications cannot perform the scope of services that relies on the contractor's specialized expertise in financial modeling, debt service management and bond issuance and bond markets and ratings, as well as other specialized financial skills.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. These services are required only on an as-needed basis.

5. Additional information (if “yes”, attach explanation) YES  NO
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee? □  □
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee? □  □
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services? □  □
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services? □  □
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service? □  □
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department? □  □

☐ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON 10/14/2014 BY:

Name: Cynthia Hamada Phone: 415.701.5381 Email: cynthia.hamada@sfmta.com
Address: 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 43826 - 14/15 more than $100k

The MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY – MTA has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 43826 - 14/15 for $2,000,000 for initial Request services for the period 01/13/2015 – 01/13/2020. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhdrupal/node/4066 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended
Additional Attachment(s)
For all PSCs if the duration requested is 5 years or more, an explanation is required: historical PSC required.

It is important that these advisors gain an understanding of the SFMTA's financial position and needs, which is time-consuming and expensive. It is in the SFMTA's best interests to have the advisors under contract for five years so that they can use this developed expertise to assist the SFMTA and so that the SFMTA does not have to continually start from the beginning with new advisors.
MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

RESOLUTION No. 09-162

WHEREAS, Developing a long-term Financial Plan is vital to the stability of the SFMTA to ensure funding for major capital projects and maintaining the highest levels of service to the public; and

WHEREAS, On December 2, 2008, the SFMTA Board of Directors adopted Resolution No. 08-197 authorizing the Executive Director/CEO to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for as-needed financial advisory services; and

WHEREAS, On January 30, 2009, seven proposals were received in response to the RFP and all were deemed non-responsive for failure to demonstrate compliance with the Human Rights Commission Good Faith Effort requirements in establishing the 20% Local Business Enterprise sub-contracting goal; and

WHEREAS, Staff reissued the RFP on March 20, 2009 and provided specific clarification on items of non-compliance and assistance with producing the required Good Faith Effort documentation; and

WHEREAS, Six proposals were received on April 17, 2009; and

WHEREAS, A selection committee consisting of representatives from the SFMTA, the Port of San Francisco, San Francisco International Airport and the City’s Tax Collector’s Office scored the six written proposals in accordance with the criteria outlined in the RFP; and

WHEREAS, The top three firms were interviewed by the selection committee which determined final scores and ranked the three within the pool of financial advisors; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors authorizes the SFMTA Executive Director/CEO to execute Contract #2008/09-38A, #2008/09-38B and #2008/09-38C, Agreements between the City and County of San Francisco and Backstrom Mccarley Berry & Co., LLC, Ross Financial and The PFM Group respectively to provide as-needed financial advisory services for terms not to exceed five years each and an amount not to exceed $2,000,000.00, for each contract, excluding bond issuance fees paid for with bond proceeds.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors at its meeting of SEP 15, 2009.

[Signature]
Secretary to the Board of Directors
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
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December 9, 2009

NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT NUMBER 4060-09/10.

At its meeting of December 7, 2009 the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

PLEASE NOTE: It is important that a copy of this action be kept in the department files as you will need it in the future as proof of Civil Service Commission approval. Please share it with everyone responsible for follow-up.

It was the decision of the Commission to approve request for proposed personal services contract #4060-09/10. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration.

If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.6.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

[Signature]
ANITA SANCHEZ
Executive Officer

Attachment

c: Parveen Boparai, Municipal Transportation Agency
Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
Jessica Huey, Department of Human Resources
Jennifer Johnston, Department of Human Resources
Naomi Kelly, Office of Contract Administration
Mary Ng, Department of Human Resources
Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Commission File
Chron
# PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No.</th>
<th>Dept No.</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Approval Type</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4080-09/10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>SF Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$ 0,000,000</td>
<td>Provide financial advisory services on an as-needed basis. MTA intends to select a pool of consultants to assist with developing a long-term financial plan to ensure funding for major capital projects. Services include financial planning, debt management, project analysis, financing document development, bond marketing, and related services.</td>
<td>01-Nov-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4082-09/10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mayor's Office of Housing</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$ 375,000</td>
<td>Provide lead risk assessment and analysis of privately owned single family homes and tenant occupied multi-family properties. Services also include collecting dust wipes, conducting XRF and soil sample evaluations in accordance with HUD and other Federal guidelines.</td>
<td>30-Jun-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4083-09/10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mayor's Office of Housing</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$ 300,000</td>
<td>Perform project monitoring for lead hazard reduction and rehab work of participating properties that include privately owned single family homes and tenant occupied multi-family properties. Services include verifying contractor compliance with City and State regulations, conducting clearance inspections in accordance with HUD &amp; Federal guidelines, submit assessment and analytical reports to MOH.</td>
<td>30-Jun-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City and County of San Francisco

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: October 20, 2009

DEPARTMENT NAME: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency  DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 35

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ( ) EXPEDITED  (X) REGULAR (OMIT POSTING__)

( ) CONTINUING  ( ) ANNUAL

TYPE OF REQUEST: (X) INITIAL REQUEST  ( ) MODIFICATION (PSC#__________)

TYPE OF SERVICE: Financial Advisory Services (as-needed pool)

FUNDING SOURCE: Operating Budget and/or Bond Proceeds

PSC AMOUNT: $6,000,000.00  PSC DURATION: 11/2/09 – 11/1/2014

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK

A. Concise description of proposed work: The Contractor will provide the following services:
   A. Financial Planning Services – continued on next page
   B. Debt Management Services – continued on next page
   C. Project Analysis – continued on next page

   Continue A.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
   Developing a long-term Financial Plan is vital to the stability of the SFMTA to ensure funding for major capital projects and maintaining the highest levels of service to the public. The SFMTA was granted the authority to issue debt, for the first time, through the passage of Proposition A in November 2007. The SFMTA has various major capital projects such as Central Subway, Transit Oriented Developments, Geary and Van Ness Avenue Bus Rapid Transit Projects, future system overhauls and long-term maintenance. The Federal Transit Administration grant funding (over $900M) for the Central Subway Project looks to the SFMTA to have a financial contingency plan.

C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number):
   Previous financial advisory services were obtained through the Mayor's Office of Public Finance Financial Advisory Pool.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed:
   Not known at this time.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures)

   IFPTE, Local 21
   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing / faxing form
   Harveen Bopara
   Date 10-20-09

   MEA
   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing / faxing form
   Harveen Bopara
   Date 10-20-09

RFP sent to: Ging Louie at Local 21, on October 6, 2009, Steven Lee
Union Name
Date
Signature

***********************************************************************************************
FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# ________________________________

SFMTA approved

10-20-09

STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:
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A. FINANCIAL PLANNING SERVICES

1. FINANCIAL PLAN:
   (a) Contractor shall consult with SFMTA and City officials, bond counsel, disclosure counsel, underwriter, and rating agencies to develop a Financing Plan which will provide the most economical structure for any given financing. The Financing Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
      i. A thorough review and analysis of the existing financial resources, cash flows, and legal structure of the SFMTA, as well as all relevant data pertaining to the financing plan.
      ii. A determination of the amount necessary to be issued.
      iii. A determination of the structure, which will result in the SFMTA receiving the lowest possible borrowing cost.
   (b) Contractor will provide guidance on the timing, structure and method of raising capital for proposed transactions in the financial plan.
   (c) Contractor will keep the SFMTA abreast of changing state and federal laws in connection with the SFMTA’s financings and will be available to provide assistance and advice on any legislative issues impacting the SFMTA.

2. ANALYZE FUTURE DEBT CAPACITY:
   (a) Contractor will formulate financial models to help evaluate the viability of various financing strategies to provide the required level of funding over time.

3. IDENTIFY FINANCING ALTERNATIVES:
   (a) Contractor will develop objective financing plans incorporating a full range of financing alternatives including; pay-as-you-go, revenue bonds, lease financing or special district debt where appropriate.

B. DEBT MANAGEMENT SERVICES

1. MAINTAIN DEBT POSITION SUMMARY:
   (a) Following each sale of debt the Contractor will update and maintain schedules for the SFMTA’s Outstanding Debt Summary including, but not limited to:
      i. Updates reflecting outstanding debt
      ii. Debt capacities
      iii. Debt service schedules
      iv. Ratings
      v. Assessed values
      vi. Authorized but unissued debt
      vii. Contractor will maintain copies of all transcripts.

2. DEVELOP AND MONITOR FINANCING SCHEDULE
   (a) Contractor will prepare a bond sale calendar that clearly identifies the responsibilities of each participant in the transaction.
      i. SFMTA will have sufficient time for review of all disclosure materials prior to final printing and distribution.
      ii. Contractor will keep SFMTA staff informed about the progress of the financing and, if necessary, modify the schedule to meet changing circumstances.

3. ANALYZE DEBT STRUCTURE ALTERNATIVES:
   (a) Contractor will analyze the debt structure and provide a determination based on the following three elements:
      i. Is the proposed amortization schedule well-coordinated with SFMTA’s existing liabilities and cash flow;
      ii. Are the resources pledged to debt redemption sufficient to meet coverage requirements or tax rate parameters; and,
iii. Is the proposed maturity schedule designed to attract maximum interest from underwriters and potential investors in the current market.

(b) Contractor will provide advice and assistance concerning debt covenants, pledge of revenues, flow of funds, and legal coverage requirements.

(c) Contractor will utilize the information from policy review and development to formulate the issue structure and other terms under which the bonds are to be offered addressing the following key issues:
   i. Maturity Schedule and Pattern of Debt Service—provide advice and assistance in planning debt issue, determining the principal amount of debt to be sold, and develop a maturity schedule for each bond issue.
   ii. Call Features—Contractor will perform detailed analyses of different call features, analyzing the effects of shorter call dates, smaller call premiums or even non-callable bonds and the potential impact they may have on marketing the bonds and interest rates that would be attained.
   iii. Credit Enhancement—Contractor will analyze the merits of obtaining credit enhancements for discussion with SFMTA staff.

4. DEVELOP FINANCING DOCUMENTS:
   (a) Contractor will assist in the preparation, review and adoption of all legal documents and for drafting, printing, and distributing all disclosure documents prior to the bond sale.
   (b) Contractor will coordinate with SFMTA officials, bond counsel, and other team members in the preparation, review and finalization of all bond document preparation activities including:
      i. Arranging for debt sales advertising in trade journals and periodicals such as the Bond Buyer and making all necessary arrangements for each bond sale with the registrar and trustee.
      ii. Coordinate the printing and delivery of securities and notify the purchaser of the date and place that payment will be made.

5. COORDINATE THE MARKETING OF BONDS:
   To assist the SFMTA with the timing of proposed issues, Contractor will closely monitor all local, national and international developments and evaluate the potential impact of each event on a new-issue of tax-exempt securities.
   (b) Contractor will assist the SFMTA in coordinating its offerings with those of other issuers. This effort is designed to focus underwriter interest in the SFMTA's transactions by separating them from other sales. The overall goal is to identify a market in which: interest rates are stable; the supply of tax-exempt securities is light; and there is significant demand from both institutional and retail investors. Working closely with SFMTA staff and bond counsel, Contractor will be actively involved in the review and development of key disclosure materials required to effectively market the SFMTA's issues (including the necessary documents for electronic bidding, if appropriate). These disclosure materials include the preliminary official statement ("POS"), the final official statement ("OS"), and for competitively bid issues, the official bid form and the Notice of Sale.
   (d) For the SFMTA's offerings, Contractor will work closely with SFMTA staff and financing team members to ensure that the POS is in full compliance with SEC guidelines, and industry standards including the guidelines developed by GFOA and MSRB.
   (e) Assist with securing a line or letter of credit when necessary.

6. RATING AGENCY CONTACTS:
   (a) Contractor will develop a presentation and coordinate the introduction of SFMTA as a new issue to the three major rating agencies, Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's Corporation and Fitch Ratings.
   (b) Contractor will determine both credit strengths and weaknesses prior to any presentation of materials to rating analysts based on a clear understanding of the analytical methods utilized by Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's Corporation and Fitch Ratings.
   (c) Contractor will recommend the rating firms to be used, if necessary, and prepare and present such information as is required to receive rating.

7. ASSIST WITH THE PRICING OF THE BONDS:
   (a) Contractor will provide the SFMTA with market information relating to comparable issues in the market, comparisons of takedown levels and important economic data releases.
i. Contractor will provide aggressive and informed representation to prospective bidders on behalf of the SFMTA in the pricing of securities.

ii. Contractor will assist in the evaluation of competitive bids and actively monitor market conditions to effectively advise the SFMTA as to the most appropriate market timing for its security offerings.

(b) Contractor will provide the SFMTA with a Final Pricing Report so that the SFMTA can evaluate the fairness of the pricing of the bonds.

i. The Report will be a summary of the bond pricing, final pricing and debt service schedules, orders and allocation of bonds (for negotiated financings), review of market conditions, bond rating reviews, and related news articles.

ii. The report will serve as a measure of the fairness of the pricing terms, and also as a comprehensive reference to which the SFMTA may refer in the future.

8. ASSIST WITH PRECLOSING AND CLOSING:

(a) Contractor will work with all parties involved with the closing to prepare a schedule of tasks to be completed prior to closing and identify the party responsible for completing the task. These tasks include completing the final official statement, preparing closing documents, arranging for the transfer of funds and the investment of funds.

C. PROJECT ANALYSIS

PROJECT ANALYSIS

(a) Financial Advisor will provide the SFMTA with financial analytical support in the specific projects, including but not limited to the following types of projects:

i. Transit Oriented Development

ii. Real Estate Development

iii. Land Purchase and Sales

iv. Property Build out

v. Leveraging of other SFMTA assets
3. **DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE**

A. **Specify required skills and/or expertise:**
The Financial Advisors are required to have extensive knowledge, experience and expertise in financial modeling, debt service management, bond issuance, bond market, bond ratings and private/public partnership arrangements.

B. **Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?**
While the following classifications could perform partial services they do not possess expertise in financial modeling, bond market, bond rating, and private/public partnership arrangements, 9182 Manager VIII, 9187 Deputy Director II, and 5186 Finance Manager.

C. **Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:**
No

4. **WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM**

A. **Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:**
Civil Service classes do not have the skill sets, tools and market knowledge to perform the work. Additionally, civil service classifications do not possess the appropriate level of skills and expertise to protect the agency from any liabilities in the event the figures and analysis are incorrect which could pose a risk to the agency.

B. **Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.**
No. These services are specialized and on an as-needed basis.

5. **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes", attach explanation)**

A. **Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?**
   ( ) Yes ( ) No

B. **Will the contractor train City and County employees?**
   ( ) Yes ( ) No

C. **Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?**
   ( ) Yes ( ) No

D. **Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?**
   ( ) Yes ( ) No

E. **Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?**
   The SFMTA Board of Director has approved Resolution No. 09-162 on September 15, 2009
   ( ) Yes ( ) No

F. **Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department?**
   ( ) Yes ( ) No

**THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:**

[Signature]

Parveen Boparai
Signature of Departmental Personal Services Contract Coordinator

Parveen Boparai
Print or Type Name

415.701.5377
Telephone Number

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 So. Van Ness, 7th Floor, S. F. CA 94103
Address
City and County of San Francisco  

Department of Human Resources  

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY  

DATE: October 14, 2014  
DEPARTMENT NAME: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency  
DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 68  

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ( ) EXPEDITED  
( ) CONTINUING  
( X ) REGULAR (OMIT POSTING _______)  
( ) ANNUAL  

TYPE OF REQUEST: ( ) INITIAL REQUEST  
( ) MODIFICATION (PSC#_________________)  

TYPE OF SERVICE: Financial Advisory Services (as-needed pool)  

FUNDING SOURCE: Operating Fund or bond proceeds  

PSC AMOUNT: $2,000,000.00  
PSC DURATION: January 13, 2015 - January 13, 2020

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK
A. Concise description of proposed work:
The contractor(s) will provide financial planning, debt management, financial analysis and other financial services on an as-needed basis including, but not limited to, the following:

- Review and update the SFMTA's financial plan for future financing transactions after a thorough review and analyses of the existing financial resources, cash flows, bond indebtedness and legal structure of the SFMTA.
- Provide as-needed financial advice regarding market conditions and trends, financial products, credit and credit analysis, third party alternative financing and special facility financing.
- Review and evaluate financing options, financial feasibility studies, legal documents, and structure and pricing of any financing and any escrow.
- Participate in credit rating agency presentations and preparation of official statements.
- Assist with the selection of underwriters, escrow agent, verification agent, trustee and any other members required for a financing team.
- Participate in preparing or providing financial analyses for projects and for the preparation of grant proposals.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
This service is necessary for the SFMTA to obtain expert financial analysis and advice in specialized areas from professionals who focus on these types of services. Other City departments, including the Controller's Office of Public Finance, also contract with outside professionals for these types of services. Denial of these services would make it extremely difficult to undertake financial programs such as bond financings and to obtain assistance with financial analysis for important transportation projects.

C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number):
These services have been provided in the past via PSC #4060-09/10.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed:
Yes.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures):

IFPTE, Local 21  
Signature of person mailing / faxing form  
Date  

Municipal Executives'  
Signature of person mailing / faxing form  
Date  

Association Misc.  

For Department of Human Resources Use

PSC# 43826-14/15  
SFMTA approved  
10/14/14  

STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:  
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:
City and County of San Francisco

3. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Extensive and specialized knowledge, experience and expertise in financial modeling, debt service management, bond issuance and bond markets, bond ratings, private/public partnership arrangements and various types of financial analysis. The contractor(s) will be chosen from the list of pre-qualified firms offering financial advisory services created by the City Controller's office.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?
      Civil services classes 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst, 9182 Manager VIII, MTA, and 9187 Deputy Director II, MTA can perform some of this work, but not at the required extensive level of expertise and specialization in financial modeling, bond market, bond rating, and private/public partnership arrangements.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Civil Service classifications cannot perform the scope of services that relies on the contractor's specialized expertise in financial modeling, debt service management and bond issuance and bond markets and ratings, as well as other specialized financial skills.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. These services are required only on an as-needed basis.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes," attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?
      ( ) ( ) ( )

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
      ( ) ( ) ( )
      - Describe training and indicate approximate number of hours.
      - Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (e.g., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate number to be trained.

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      ( ) ( ) ( )

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      ( ) ( ) ( )

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      ( ) ( ) ( )

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department?
      ( ) ( ) ( )

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

[Signature]

Print or Type Name

Telephone Number

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, Human Resources

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
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City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: October 14, 2014

DEPARTMENT NAME: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 68

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ( ) EXPEDITED (X) REGULAR (OMIT POSTING____)

( ) CONTINUING ( ) ANNUAL

TYPE OF REQUEST: ( ) INITIAL REQUEST (X) MODIFICATION (PSC# 4060-09/10)

TYPE OF SERVICE: Financial Advisory Services (as-needed pool)

FUNDING SOURCE: Operating Budget and/or Bond Proceeds

PSC ORIG AMOUNT: $6,000,000.00 PSC ORIG DURATION: November 2, 2009—November 1, 2014

PSC MOD AMOUNT: $0.00 PSC MOD. DURATION: November 2, 2014—January 12, 2015

PSC TOTAL AMOUNT: $6,000,000.00 PSC TOTAL DURATION: November 2, 2009—January 12, 2015

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK

   A. Concise description of proposed work: The Contractor will provide the following services:
      A. Financial Planning Services – continued on next page
      B. Debt Management Services – continued on next page
      C. Project Analysis – continued on next page

      Continue A.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
      Developing a long-term Financial Plan is vital to the stability of the SFMTA to ensure funding for major
      capital projects and maintaining the highest levels of service to the public. The SFMTA was granted
      the authority to issue debt, for the first time, through the passage of Proposition A in November 2007.
      The SFMTA has various major capital projects such as Central Subway, Transit Oriented
      Developments, Geary and Van Ness Avenue Bus Rapid Transit Projects, future system overhauls and
      long-term maintenance. The Federal Transit Administration grant funding (over $900M) for the Central
      Subway Project looks to the SFMTA to have a financial contingency plan.

   C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved
      by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval
      number):
      Previous financial advisory services were obtained through the Mayor's Office of Public Finance
      Financial Advisory Pool.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed:
      Not known at this time.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to
   instructions for specific procedures):

   IFPTE, Local 21
   Union Name
   Municipal Executives’ Association Misc.
   Union Name

   Signature of person mailing / faxing form
   Date

   RFP sent to: Gina Louie at Local 21
   Union Name
   Date

   Steven Lee
   Signature

*****************************************************************************

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# ____________________________

STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:

SFMTA Approved
10/14/14 c/o
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PSC FORM 1 (9/98)
Continue A. Concise description of proposed work.

A. FINANCIAL PLANNING SERVICES

1. FINANCIAL PLAN:
   (a) Contractor shall consult with SFMTA and City officials, bond counsel, disclosure counsel, underwriter, and rating agencies to develop a Financing Plan which will provide the most economical structure for any given financing. The Financing Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
      i. A thorough review and analysis of the existing financial resources, cash flows, and legal structure of the SFMTA, as well as all relevant data pertaining to the financing plan.
      ii. A determination of the amount necessary to be issued.
      iii. A determination of the structure, which will result in the SFMTA receiving the lowest possible borrowing cost.
   (b) Contractor will provide guidance on the timing, structure and method of raising capital for proposed transactions in the financial plan.
   (c) Contractor will keep the SFMTA abreast of changing state and federal laws in connection with the SFMTA’s financings and will be available to provide assistance and advice on any legislative issues impacting the SFMTA.

2. ANALYZE FUTURE DEBT CAPACITY:
   (a) Contractor will formulate financial models to help evaluate the viability of various financing strategies to provide the required level of funding over time.

3. IDENTIFY FINANCING ALTERNATIVES:
   (a) Contractor will develop objective financing plans incorporating a full range of financing alternatives including; pay-as-you-go, revenue bonds, lease financing or special district debt where appropriate.

B. DEBT MANAGEMENT SERVICES

1. MAINTAIN DEBT POSITION SUMMARY:
   (a) Following each sale of debt the Contractor will update and maintain schedules for the SFMTA’s Outstanding Debt Summary including, but not limited to:
      i. Updates reflecting outstanding debt
      ii. Debt capacities
      iii. Debt service schedules
      iv. Ratings
      v. Assessed values
      vi. Authorized but unissued debt
      vii. Contractor will maintain copies of all transcripts.

2. DEVELOP AND MONITOR FINANCING SCHEDULE
   (a) Contractor will prepare a bond sale calendar that clearly identifies the responsibilities of each participant in the transaction.
      i. SFMTA will have sufficient time for review of all disclosure materials prior to final printing and distribution.
      ii. Contractor will keep SFMTA staff informed about the progress of the financing and, if necessary, modify the schedule to meet changing circumstances.

3. ANALYZE DEBT STRUCTURE ALTERNATIVES:
   (a) Contractor will analyze the debt structure and provide a determination based on the following three elements:
      i. Is the proposed amortization schedule well-coordinated with SFMTA’s existing liabilities and cash flow;
      ii. Are the resources pledged to debt redemption sufficient to meet coverage requirements or tax rate parameters; and,
iii. Is the proposed maturity schedule designed to attract maximum interest from underwriters and potential investors in the current market.

(b) Contractor will provide advice and assistance concerning debt covenants, pledge of revenues, flow of funds, and legal coverage requirements.

(c) Contractor will utilize the information from policy review and development to formulate the issue structure and other terms under which the bonds are to be offered addressing the following key issues:

i. Maturity Schedule and Pattern of Debt Service—provide advice and assistance in planning debt issue, determining the principal amount of debt to be sold, and develop a maturity schedule for each bond issue.

ii. Call Features—Contractor will perform detailed analyses of different call features, analyzing the effects of shorter call dates, smaller call premiums or even non-callable bonds and the potential impact they may have on marketing the bonds and interest rates that would be attained.

iii. Credit Enhancement—Contractor will analyze the merits of obtaining credit enhancements for discussion with SFMTA staff.

4. DEVELOP FINANCING DOCUMENTS:

(a) Contractor will assist in the preparation, review and adoption of all legal documents and for drafting, printing, and distributing all disclosure documents prior to the bond sale.

(b) Contractor will coordinate with SFMTA officials, bond counsel, and other team members in the preparation, review and finalization of all bond document preparation activities including:

i. Arranging for debt sales advertising in trade journals and periodicals such as the Bond Buyer and making all necessary arrangements for each bond sale with the registrar and trustee.

ii. Coordinate the printing and delivery of securities and notify the purchaser of the date and place that payment will be made.

5. COORDINATE THE MARKETING OF BONDS:

(a) To assist the SFMTA with the timing of proposed issues, Contractor will closely monitor all local, national and international developments and evaluate the potential impact of each event on a new-issue of tax-exempt securities.

(b) Contractor will assist the SFMTA in coordinating its offerings with those of other issuers. This effort is designed to focus underwriter interest in the SFMTA’s transactions by separating them from other sales. The overall goal is to identify a market in which interest rates are stable; the supply of tax-exempt securities is light; and there is significant demand from both institutional and retail investors.

(c) Working closely with SFMTA staff and bond counsel, Contractor will be actively involved in the review and development of key disclosure materials required to effectively market the SFMTA’s issues (including the necessary documents for electronic bidding, if appropriate). These disclosure materials include the preliminary official statement (“POS”), the final official statement (“OS”), and for competitively bid issues, the official bid form and the Notice of Sale.

(d) For the SFMTA’s offerings, Contractor will work closely with SFMTA staff and financing team members to ensure that the POS is in full compliance with SEC guidelines, and industry standards including the guidelines developed by GFOA and MSRB.

(e) Assist with securing a line or letter of credit when necessary.

6. RATING AGENCY CONTACTS:

(a) Contractor will develop a presentation and coordinate the introduction of SFMTA as a new issue to the three major rating agencies, Moody’s Investors Service, Standard & Poor’s Corporation and Fitch Ratings.

(b) Contractor will determine both credit strengths and weaknesses prior to any presentation of materials to rating analysts based on a clear understanding of the analytical methods utilized by Moody’s Investors Service, Standard & Poor’s Corporation and Fitch Ratings.

(c) Contractor will recommend the rating firms to be used, if necessary, and prepare and present such information as is required to receive rating.

7. ASSIST WITH THE PRICING OF THE BONDS:

(a) Contractor will provide the SFMTA with market information relating to comparable issues in the market, comparisons of takedown levels and important economic data releases.
i. Contractor will provide aggressive and informed representation to prospective bidders on behalf of the SFMTA in the pricing of securities.

ii. Contractor will assist in the evaluation of competitive bids and actively monitor market conditions to effectively advise the SFMTA as to the most appropriate market timing for its security offerings.

(b) Contractor will provide the SFMTA with a Final Pricing Report so that the SFMTA can evaluate the fairness of the pricing of the bonds.

i. The Report will be a summary of the bond pricing, final pricing and debt service schedules, orders and allocation of bonds (for negotiated financings), review of market conditions, bond rating reviews, and related news articles.

ii. The report will serve as a measure of the fairness of the pricing terms, and also as a comprehensive reference to which the SFMTA may refer in the future.

8. ASSIST WITH PRECLOSING AND CLOSING:

(a) Contractor will work with all parties involved with the closing to prepare a schedule of tasks to be completed prior to closing and identify the party responsible for completing the task. These tasks include completing the final official statement, preparing closing documents, arranging for the transfer of funds and the investment of funds.

C. PROJECT ANALYSIS

PROJECT ANALYSIS

(a) Financial Advisor will provide the SFMTA with financial analytical support in the specific projects, including but not limited to the following types of projects:

i. Transit Oriented Development
ii. Real Estate Development
iii. Land Purchase and Sales
iv. Property Build out
v. Leveraging of other SFMTA assets
3. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      The Financial Advisors are required to have extensive knowledge, experience and expertise in
      financial modeling, debt service management, bond issuance, bond market, bond ratings and
      private/public partnership arrangements.
   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?
      While the following classifications could perform partial services they do not possess expertise in
      financial modeling, bond market, bond rating, and private/public partnership arrangements, 9182
      Manager VIII, 9187 Deputy Director II, and 5186 Finance Manager.
   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No

4. WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Civil Service classes do not have the skill sets, tools and market knowledge to perform the work.
      Additionally, civil service classifications do not possess the appropriate level of skills and expertise to
      protect the agency from any liabilities in the event the figures and analysis are incorrect which could
      pose a risk to the agency.
   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. These services are specialized and on an as-needed basis.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes", attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?  Yes  No
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?  ( )  (X)
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?  ( )  (X)
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of
      contractual services?  ( )  (X)
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most
      effective way to provide this service?
      The SFMTA Board of Director has approved Resolution No. 09-162
      on September 15, 2009  (X)  ( )
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a
      current personal services contract with your department?
      Ross Financial, The PFM Group, and Backstrom McCarley Berry & Co., LLC.  (X)  ( )

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT
HEAD:

[Signature]
Cynthia Henden

[Print or Type Name]
Parveen Boparai

[Telephone Number]
415.701.5377

[Address]
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: POLICE - POL
Dept. Code: POL

Type of Request: ☑ Initial
☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # __________)

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited
☑ Regular
☐ Omit Posting

Type of Service: Pre-Employment Psychological Screening Services

Funding Source: General Fund
PSC Amount: $600,000
PSC Duration: 4 years
PSC Est. Start Date: 07/01/2015
PSC Est. End Date: 06/30/2019

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
      The vendor will provide the San Francisco Police Department with psychological evaluations of final entry level police officer candidates and refinement of predictive validity assessment procedures.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
      Pre-employment psychological evaluations of police officer candidates is required by section #1031(f) of the California Code of Regulations. Denial would prevent the San Francisco Police Department from hiring police officers.

   C. Has this service been provided in the past? If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
      PSC 4139-07/08

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? unknown

2. Union Notification: On 09/23/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Unrepresented Miscellaneous, Unrepresented Management, Transport Workers Union, L 200, Theatrical Stage Employee

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 47260 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
3. **Description of Required Skills/Expertise**
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Section #1031(f) of the California Code of Regulations requires a Ph.D. in Psychology with at least five (5) years of post-graduate experience in diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      2574,2576,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      Yes, the vendor will be required to have specialized software used for psychological evaluation and tracking of police officer candidates.

4. **Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform**
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      These services will be performed on an as-needed basis.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. Services will be performed on an as-needed basis.

5. **Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)**
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?
      ☐ ☑

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      ☐ ☑

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      ☐ ☑

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      ☐ ☑

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      ☐ ☑

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department?
      ☐ ☑

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON 11/17/2014 BY:

Name: Genie Wong Phone: (415) 553-1736 Email: Genie.Wong@sfgov.org

Address: 850 Bryant Street, #511 San Francisco, CA 94103
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
Receipt of Notice for new PCS over $100K PSC # 47260 - 14/15
dhr-psccoordinator@sfgov.org Genie.Wong@sfgov.org Wong, Genie (POL);
leah.berlanga@seiu1021.org; davidmkersten@gmail.com; ablood@cirseiu.org; Poon, SinYee
(HSA); david.canham@seiu1021.org; joe.tanner@seiu1021.net;
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 47260 - 14/15 more than $100k

The POLICE — POL has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract
(PSC)
47260 - 14/15 for $600,000 for Initial Request services for the period
07/01/2015 – 06/30/2019. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is
required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information
and
verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdrupal/node/3883 For union notification, please see
the
TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions
you
intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT
READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and
SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the
document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You
should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended
Receipt of Notice for new PCS over $100K PSC # 47260 - 14/15

Mark as unread

Tue 9/23/2014 10:57 AM

To: Wong, Genie (POL); local200twu@sbcglobal.net; rmitchell@twu.sf; grojo@local39.org; sfismc@gmail.com; pkarinen@nccrc.org; jduritz@uapd.com; ...

RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 47260 - 14/15 more than $100k

The POLICE -- POL, has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 47260 - 14/15 for $600,000 for Initial Request services for the period 07/01/2015 – 06/30/2019. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhldrupal/node/3883 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended.
Additional Attachment(s)
California Government Code Section 1031

1031. Each class of public officers or employees declared by law to be peace officers shall meet all of the following minimum standards:

(a) Be a citizen of the United States or a permanent resident alien who is eligible for and has applied for citizenship, except as provided in Section 2267 of the Vehicle Code.

(b) Be at least 18 years of age.

(c) Be fingerprinted for purposes of search of local, state, and national fingerprint files to disclose a criminal record.

(d) Be of good moral character, as determined by a thorough background investigation.

(e) Be a high school graduate, pass the General Education Development Test indicating high school graduation level, pass the California High School Proficiency Examination, or have attained a two-year, four-year, or advanced degree from an accredited college or university. The high school shall be either a United States public school, an accredited United States Department of Defense high school, or an accredited or approved public or nonpublic high school.

Any accreditation or approval required by this paragraph shall be from a state or local government educational agency using local or state government approved accreditation, licensing, registration, or other approval standards, a regional accrediting association, an
accrediting association recognized by the Secretary of the United States Department of Education, an accrediting association holding full membership in the National Council for Private School Accreditation (NCPSA), an organization holding full membership in the Commission on International and Trans-Regional Accreditation (CITA), an organization holding full membership in the Council for American Private Education (CAPE), or an accrediting association recognized by the National Federation of Nonpublic School State Accrediting Associations (NFNSSAA).

(f) Be found to be free from any physical, emotional, or mental condition that might adversely affect the exercise of the powers of a peace officer.

(1) Physical condition shall be evaluated by a licensed physician and surgeon.

(2) Emotional and mental condition shall be evaluated by either of the following:

(A) A physician and surgeon who holds a valid California license to practice medicine, has successfully completed a postgraduate medical residency education program in psychiatry accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, and has at least the equivalent of five full-time years of experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders, including the equivalent of three full-time years accrued after completion of the psychiatric residency program.

(B) A psychologist licensed by the California Board of Psychology
who has at least the equivalent of five full-time years of experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders, including the equivalent of three full-time years accrued postdoctorate. The physician and surgeon or psychologist shall also have met any applicable education and training procedures set forth by the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training designed for the conduct of preemployment psychological screening of peace officers.

(g) This section shall not be construed to preclude the adoption of additional or higher standards, including age.

(h) This section shall become operative on January 1, 2005.
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City and County of San Francisco
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Proposal due: 5:00 p.m., March 3rd, 2008
Request for Proposals for Pre-Employment Psychological Screening Services
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Appendices:

A. HRC Attachment 2: Requirements for Architecture, Engineering and Professional Services Contracts, for contracts $29,000 and over (separate document). Proposers must submit the following forms:

   Form 2A  HRC Contract Participation form
   Form 3   HRC Non-discrimination Affidavit
   Form 4   Joint Venture Participation Schedule (If applicable)
   Form 5   HRC Employment form

   If there are any questions regarding the HRC forms, please refer to Section VI, #3.

B. Standard Forms: Listing and Internet addresses of Forms related to Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification, to Business Tax Declaration, and to Chapters 12B and 12C, and 14B of the S.F. Administrative Code.

C. Agreement for Professional Services (form P-500) – separate document
RFP for Pre-Employment Psychological Screening Services

I. Introduction and Schedule

A. General

It is the intention of the San Francisco Police Department to contract with a qualified firm to provide pre-employment psychological screening services for the screening of applicants for the position of Police Officer, Police Reserve Officer, Police Cadet, and Police Service Aide. Screening will include written testing, and clinical face to face interviews. Information on group size and number of groups per year is based on past requirements and is intended as solicitation information only. No guarantee of actual service requirement is implied by this solicitation. Service requirement will be determined by actual need.

"The contract shall have an original term of 4 years. In addition, the City shall have 3 options to extend the term for a period of 1 year each, which the City may exercise in its sole, absolute discretion."

B. Schedule

The anticipated schedule for selecting a consultant is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal Phase</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFP is issued by the City</td>
<td>January 30th, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for submission of written questions or requests for clarification</td>
<td>February 13th, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposals due</td>
<td>March 3rd, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral interview with firms selected for further consideration</td>
<td>Tentative week of March 10th or March 17th, 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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II. Scope of Work

The Scope of Work is to be used as a general guide and is not intended to be a complete list of all work necessary to complete the project.

The following are work tasks assumed necessary to Pre Employment Psychological Screening Services.

1. The Contractor will work closely with the San Francisco Police Department Background Unit in Pre Employment screening for the position of Police Officer, Police Reserve Officer, Police Cadet, and Police Service Aide. The Psychological Screening of Police Officer and Reserve Officer is mandated by California Government Code section #1031.

2. The Contractor shall have a site available for the administration of the written tests, and clinical interviews of applicants. The San Francisco Police Department, at its option can administer tests and arrange for interviews at an SFPD site.

3. The Police Department has proctors available and may administer large scale testing. Contractors may, however submit responses that include the use of its own proctors. Proposal must include, who would proctor the tests and the cost for the service.

4. The Police Department will set dates for tests and interviews. The selected Contractor shall coordinate dates with the Department designee.

5. Test administration and evaluation must be completed before interviews are performed. Test results must be available for use in the interview process.

6. Test evaluation and clinical interviews must be conducted by licensed psychologists who meet the qualifications of California Government Code section #1031 (f).

7. Contractors must be capable of providing consultation and expert witness service, including but not limited to: hiring meetings, training, court, and Civil Service Commission testimony, on an as needed basis as requested by the San Francisco Police Department.

8. Information gathered from testing and interview process must be evaluated and integrated into psychological reports containing specific job-related recommendations. Reports shall identify psychological trait characteristics of each applicant, and state how those traits might affect the applicants performance as a Police Officer, Police Reserve Officer, Police Cadet, or Police Service Aide. The report shall provide a recommendation placing the applicant into an unambiguous suitability rating category reflecting the psychologists professional judgment concerning the applicants potential of meeting POST standards and becoming a successful officer.

9. All Psychological reports generated, shall become the property of the San Francisco Police Department. The information from testing and interviews shall be strictly confidential and shall be disclosed only to the specific City designee.
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III. Submission Requirements

A. Time and Place for Submission of Proposals

Proposals must be received by 5:00 p.m., on March 3rd, 2008. Postmarks will not be considered in judging the timeliness of submissions. Proposals may be delivered in person and left with or mailed to:

Officer Shawn Wallace # 1104
San Francisco Police Department
Legal Division, Room # 575
Hall of Justice
850 Bryant Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Proposers shall submit 6 copies of the proposal and two copies, separately bound, of required HRC Forms in a sealed envelope clearly marked Pre-Employment Psychological Screening Services to the above location. Proposals that are submitted by fax will not be accepted. Late submissions will not be considered.

B. Format

If your response is lengthy, please include a Table of Contents.

C. Content

Firms interested in responding to this RFP must submit the following information, in the order specified below:

1. Introduction and Executive Summary (up to [3] pages)

Submit a letter of introduction and executive summary of the proposal. The letter must be signed by a person authorized by your firm to obligate your firm to perform the commitments contained in the proposal. Submission of the letter will constitute a representation by your firm that your firm is willing and able to perform the commitments contained in the proposal.

2. Project Approach (unlimited)

Describe the services and activities that your firm proposes to provide to the City. Include the following information:

a. Overall scope of work tasks; and

b. Schedule and ability to complete the project within the City’s required time frame; and

c. Assignment of work within your firm’s work team.
d. Written tests. 1.) A list of proposed test instruments including a description of the tests, the purpose of each and why they would be effective in screening Police Officer candidates. 2.) A statement detailing how the Police Department would coordinate with the contractor in setting up the testing, how far in advance test administration must be scheduled, how long the test will take and who would proctor the test. 3.) Describe written protocols and training provided to the Police Department staff to meet testing requirements if the Police Department proctors the test sessions.

e. Interviews. 1.) A statement detailing how the clinical interview will be performed by the clinical psychologist. Include the minimum time the interview will take and what domains the interview will cover, (development history, personal life habits, work history) including actual questions. Will additional time be allowed if the psychologist deems it necessary? 2.) A description of how the interview will be evaluated by the psychologist to formulate a report and recommendation regarding the suitability of an applicant. 3.) A description of how the contractor would coordinate setting up test schedules with the Police Department.

f. Reports and Recommendations. 1.) A detailed description of reports that will be provided to the Police Department. Detail how the reports will summarize major findings (i.e., likelihood that the applicant will be successful as a Police Officer, potential performance problems, whether there are reasons to believe the applicant exhibits any psychological traits or characteristics that would interfere with the successful performance of essential job tasks.) 2.) Describe the proposed method of combining test, interview and behavioral data to place applicants into an unambiguous ranking category reflecting the psychologists' judgment concerning the applicants' potential of being a successful Police Officer. 3.) A statement of how long it will take to provide the psychological report to the Police Department following completion of a clinical interview. 4.) A description of the contractors methods for maintaining confidentiality of information obtained. Include any disclosure and release forms provided to candidates prior to testing.

g. Optional Services: Describe availability and experience in providing consultation and expert witness service. These services shall be on an as needed basis, upon request by the Police Department. Services might include, but not limited to, hiring meetings, training, court, and or civil Service Commission testimony.

3. Firm Qualifications (unlimited)

Provide information on your firm’s background and qualifications which addresses the following:

a. Name, address, and telephone number of a contact person; and

b. A brief description of your firm, as well as how any joint venture or association would be structured; and

c. A description of not more than four projects similar in size and scope prepared by your firm including client, reference and telephone numbers, staff members who worked on each project, budget, schedule and project summary. Descriptions should be limited to one page for each project. If joint consultants or subconsultants are proposed provide the above information for each.
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d. A statement of the firm's experience and qualifications to meet the requirements of the Police Department as outlined herein including the names, titles, and telephone numbers of the current clients that are Law Enforcement agencies of similar size and responsibility to the San Francisco Police Department, and who can speak to the firm's ability to perform accordingly.

e. Statement of the firm's direct experience in performing pre-employment psychological screening of Police Officers.

f. A description of the research and development, (example: longitudinal validity research) performed by the Contractor to ensure objective and defensible psychological pre-screening evaluation.

g. Qualifications of staff who would be assigned to this project. Provide titles and qualifications of staff that would be assigned to perform various services.

4. Team Qualifications (up to [5] pages)

a. Provide a list identifying: (1) each key person on the project team, (2) the project manager, (3) the role each will play in the project, and (4) a written assurance that the key individuals listed and identified will be performing the work and will not be substituted with other personnel or reassigned to another project without the City's prior approval.

b. Provide a description of the experience and qualifications of the project team members, including brief resumes if necessary.

5. References (4 pages)

Provide reference letters from 4 recent clients (preferably other public agencies).

6. Fee Proposal

The City intends to award this contract to the firm that it considers will provide the best overall program services. The City reserves the right to accept other than the lowest priced offer and to reject any proposals that are not responsive to this request.

Please provide a fee proposal in a sealed envelope that includes the following:

a. Total fee for each of the disciplines identified in the Scope of Work with a not-to-exceed figure; and

b. Hourly rates for all team members. Hourly rates and itemized costs may be used to negotiate changes in the Scope of Work if necessary.
IV. Evaluation and Selection Criteria

A. Minimum Qualifications

The following are the minimum requirements for each firm submitting a proposal. The firm must have staff psychologist(s) who are licensed and or certified by the state of California and who are trained and experienced in psychological test interpretation and psychological assessment techniques. At a minimum, the Contractor must possess:

A.) Knowledge of the research literature related to the Pre Employment screening of Police personnel.
B.) A working knowledge of Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection procedures, (1978 or as amended), and associated fair employment issues.
C.) A thorough knowledge of the behaviors and characteristics for success as an Officer. Contractor must be able to provide psychological assessment procedures that accurately and reliably assess candidates for psychological fitness to be a successful Police Officer.
D.) Test Evaluation and clinical interviews must be conducted by licensed clinical psychologists, who meet all the requirements specified by the California Government Code section # 1031 (f).
E.) The ability to relate effectively with San Francisco Police Department designees. Contractor should function as a team member working closely with Police Department personnel in the screening process. Contractor should be able to relate directly and comfortably with top level administrators, since the ultimate responsibility rests at that level.

Any proposal that does not demonstrate that the proposer meets these minimum requirements by the deadline for submittal of proposals will be considered non-responsive and will not be eligible for award of the contract.

B. Selection Criteria

The proposals will be evaluated by a selection committee comprised of parties with expertise in Pre Employment Psychological Screening. The City intends to evaluate the proposals generally in accordance with the criteria itemized below. Up to 3 of the firms with the highest scoring proposals will be interviewed by the committee to make the final selection. Points earned from the oral interview will be added to points earned on the written proposal to achieve a final ranking.

1. Project Approach ([40] points)
   a. Understanding of the project and the tasks to be performed, etc.
   b. Reasonableness of work schedule and fee proposal.

2. Assigned Project Staff ([30] points)
   a. Recent experience of staff assigned to the project and a description of the tasks to be performed by each staff person; and
   b. Professional qualifications and education; and
   c. Workload, staff availability and accessibility.
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3. Experience of Firm and Subconsultants ([30] points)
   a. Expertise of the firm and subconsultants in the fields necessary to complete the tasks; and
   b. Quality of recently completed projects, including adherence to schedules, deadlines and budgets; and
   c. Experience with similar projects; and results of reference letters

4. Oral Interview ([50] points)

Following the evaluation of the written proposals, the 3 proposers receiving the highest scores will be invited to an oral interview. The interview will consist of standard questions asked of each of the 3 proposers.

   Oral Interview Evaluation Criteria

1.) Ability to describe the role that the vendor plays in the Pre employment Selection process for San Francisco Police Department applicants.
2.) Ability to reflect diversity in personnel.
3.) Experience in the area.
4.) Ability to meet SFPD hiring goals. A large number or small number, depending on budgetary concerns.
5.) Ability to work as a team member to accomplish the SFPD hiring goals.
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V. Pre-proposal conference and Contract award

A. Pre-Proposal Conference

There will not be a pre proposal conference.

B. Contract Award

The San Francisco Police Department will select a proposer with whom San Francisco Police Department staff shall commence contract negotiations. The selection of any proposal shall not imply acceptance by the City of all terms of the proposal, which may be subject to further negotiations and approvals before the City may be legally bound thereby. If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated in a reasonable time the San Francisco Police Department, in its sole discretion, may terminate negotiations with the highest ranked proposer and begin contract negotiations with the next highest ranked proposer.
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VI. Terms and Conditions for Receipt of Proposals

A. Errors and Omissions in RFP

Proposers are responsible for reviewing all portions of this RFP. Proposers are to promptly notify the Department, in writing, if the proposer discovers any ambiguity, discrepancy, omission, or other error in the RFP. Any such notification should be directed to the Department promptly after discovery, but in no event later than five working days prior to the date for receipt of proposals. Modifications and clarifications will be made by addenda as provided below.

B. Inquiries Regarding RFP

Inquiries regarding the RFP and all oral notifications of an intent to request written modification or clarification of the RFP, must be directed to:

Officer Shawn Wallace #1104
E-Mail Address: SFPD.Contracts@SBCGLOBAL.NET

C. Objections to RFP Terms

Should a proposer object on any ground to any provision or legal requirement set forth in this RFP, the proposer must, not more than ten calendar days after the RFP is issued, provide written notice to the Department setting forth with specificity the grounds for the objection. The failure of a proposer to object in the manner set forth in this paragraph shall constitute a complete and irrevocable waiver of any such objection.

D. Change Notices

The Department may modify the RFP, prior to the proposal due date, by issuing Change Notices, which will be posted on the website. The proposer shall be responsible for ensuring that its proposal reflects any and all Change Notices issued by the Department prior to the proposal due date regardless of when the proposal is submitted. Therefore, the City recommends that the proposer consult the website frequently, including shortly before the proposal due date, to determine if the proposer has downloaded all Change Notices.

E. Term of Proposal

Submission of a proposal signifies that the proposed services and prices are valid for 120 calendar days from the proposal due date and that the quoted prices are genuine and not the result of collusion or any other anti-competitive activity.

F. Revision of Proposal

A proposer may revise a proposal on the proposer's own initiative at any time before the deadline for submission of proposals. The proposer must submit the revised proposal in the same manner as the original. A revised proposal must be received on or before the proposal due date.

In no case will a statement of intent to submit a revised proposal, or commencement of a revision process, extend the proposal due date for any proposer.
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At any time during the proposal evaluation process, the Department may require a proposer to provide oral or written clarification of its proposal. The Department reserves the right to make an award without further clarifications of proposals received.

G. Errors and Omissions in Proposal

Failure by the Department to object to an error, omission, or deviation in the proposal will in no way modify the RFP or excuse the vendor from full compliance with the specifications of the RFP or any contract awarded pursuant to the RFP.

H. Financial Responsibility

The City accepts no financial responsibility for any costs incurred by a firm in responding to this RFP. Submissions of the RFP will become the property of the City and may be used by the City in any way deemed appropriate.

I. Proposer’s Obligations under the Campaign Reform Ordinance

Proposers must comply with Section 1.126 of the S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, which states:

No person who contracts with the City and County of San Francisco for the rendition of personal services, for the furnishing of any material, supplies or equipment to the City, or for selling any land or building to the City, whenever such transaction would require approval by a City elective officer, or the board on which that City elective officer serves, shall make any contribution to such an officer, or candidates for such an office, or committee controlled by such officer or candidate at any time between commencement of negotiations and the later of either (1) the termination of negotiations for such contract, or (2) three months have elapsed from the date the contract is approved by the City elective officer or the board on which that City elective officer serves.

If a proposer is negotiating for a contract that must be approved by an elected local officer or the board on which that officer serves, during the negotiation period the proposer is prohibited from making contributions to:

- the officer’s re-election campaign
- a candidate for that officer’s office
- a committee controlled by the officer or candidate.

The negotiation period begins with the first point of contact, either by telephone, in person, or in writing, when a contractor approaches any city officer or employee about a particular contract, or a city officer or employee initiates communication with a potential contractor about a contract. The negotiation period ends when a contract is awarded or not awarded to the contractor. Examples of initial contacts include: (1) a vendor contacts a city officer or employee to promote himself or herself as a candidate for a contract; and (2) a city officer or employee contacts a contractor to propose that the contractor apply for a contract. Inquiries for information about a particular contract, requests for documents relating to a Request for Proposal, and requests to be placed on a mailing list do not constitute negotiations.

Violation of Section 1.126 may result in the following criminal, civil, or administrative penalties:
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1. Criminal. Any person who knowingly or willfully violates section 1.126 is subject to a fine of up to $5,000 and a jail term of not more than six months, or both.

2. Civil. Any person who intentionally or negligently violates section 1.126 may be held liable in a civil action brought by the civil prosecutor for an amount up to $5,000.

3. Administrative. Any person who intentionally or negligently violates section 1.126 may be held liable in an administrative proceeding before the Ethics Commission held pursuant to the Charter for an amount up to $5,000 for each violation.

For further information, proposers should contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at (415) 581-2300.

J. Sunshine Ordinance

In accordance with S.F. Administrative Code Section 67.24(o), contractors' bids, responses to RFPs and all other records of communications between the City and persons or firms seeking contracts shall be open to inspection immediately after a contract has been awarded. Nothing in this provision requires the disclosure of a private person's or organization's net worth or other proprietary financial data submitted for qualification for a contract or other benefits until and unless that person or organization is awarded the contract or benefit. Information provided which is covered by this paragraph will be made available to the public upon request.

K. Public Access to Meetings and Records

If a proposer is a non-profit entity that receives a cumulative total per year of at least $250,000 in City funds or City-administered funds and is a non-profit organization as defined in Chapter 12L of the S.F. Administrative Code, the proposer must comply with Chapter 12L. The proposer must include in its proposal (1) a statement describing its efforts to comply with the Chapter 12L provisions regarding public access to proposer's meetings and records, and (2) a summary of all complaints concerning the proposer's compliance with Chapter 12L that were filed with the City in the last two years and deemed by the City to be substantiated. The summary shall also describe the disposition of each complaint. If no such complaints were filed, the proposer shall include a statement to that effect. Failure to comply with the reporting requirements of Chapter 12L or material misrepresentation in proposer's Chapter 12L submissions shall be grounds for rejection of the proposal and/or termination of any subsequent Agreement reached on the basis of the proposal.

L. Reservations of Rights by the City

The issuance of this RFP does not constitute an agreement by the City that any contract will actually be entered into by the City. The City expressly reserves the right at any time to:

1. Waive or correct any defect or informality in any response, proposal, or proposal procedure;
2. Reject any or all proposals;
3. Reissue a Request for Proposals;
4. Prior to submission deadline for proposals, modify all or any portion of the selection procedures, including deadlines for accepting responses, the specifications or requirements for any materials, equipment or services to be provided under this RFP, or the requirements for contents or format of the proposals;
5. Procure any materials, equipment or services specified in this RFP by any other means; or
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6. Determine that no project will be pursued.

M. No Waiver

No waiver by the City of any provision of this RFP shall be implied from any failure by the City to recognize or take action on account of any failure by a proposer to observe any provision of this RFP.

N. Local Business Enterprise Goals and Outreach

The requirements of the Local Business Enterprise and Non-Discrimination in Contracting Ordinance set forth in Chapter 14B of the San Francisco Administrative Code as it now exists or as it may be amended in the future (collectively the “LBE Ordinance”) shall apply to this RFP.

1. LBE Subconsultant Participation Goals

The LBE subconsulting goal for this project is 0% of the total value of the goods and/or services to be procured.

Each firm responding to this solicitation shall demonstrate in its response that it has used good-faith outreach to select LBE subcontractors as set forth in S.F. Administrative Code §§14B.8 and 14B.9, and shall identify the particular LBE subcontractors solicited and selected to be used in performing the contract. For each LBE identified as a subcontractor, the response must specify the value of the participation as a percentage of the total value of the goods and/or services to be procured, the type of work to be performed, and such information as may reasonably be required to determine the responsiveness of the proposal. LBEs identified as subcontractors must be certified with the San Francisco Human Rights Commission at the time the proposal is submitted, and must be contacted by the proposer (prime contractor) prior to listing them as subcontractors in the proposal. Any proposal that does not meet the requirements of this paragraph will be non-responsive.

In addition to demonstrating that it will achieve the level of subconsulting participation required by the contract, a proposer shall also undertake and document in its submittal the good faith efforts required by Chapter 14B.8(C)&(D) and HRC Attachment 2, Requirements for Architecture, Engineering and Professional Services Contracts.

Proposals which fail to comply with the material requirements of S.F. Administrative Code §§14B.8 and 14B.9, HRC Attachment 2 and this RFP will be deemed non-responsive and will be rejected. During the term of the contract, any failure to comply with the level of LBE subcontractor participation specified in the contract shall be deemed a material breach of contract. Subconsulting goals can only be met with HRC-certified LBEs located in San Francisco.

2. LBE Participation

The City strongly encourages proposals from qualified LBEs. Pursuant to Chapter 14B, the following rating discount will be in effect for the award of this project for any proposers who are certified by HRC as a LBE, or joint ventures where the joint venture partners are in the same discipline and have the specific levels of participation as identified below. Certification applications may be obtained by calling HRC at (415) 252-2500. The rating discount applies at each phase of the selection process. The application of the rating discount is as follows:

a. A 10% discount to an LBE; or a joint venture between or among LBEs; or
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b. A 5% discount to a joint venture with LBE participation that equals or exceeds 35%, but is under 40%; or

c. A 7.5% discount to a joint venture with LBE participation that equals or exceeds 40%; or

d. A 10% discount to a certified non-profit entity.

If applying for a rating discount as a joint venture: The LBE must be an active partner in the joint venture and perform work, manage the job and take financial risks in proportion to the required level of participation stated in the proposal, and must be responsible for a clearly defined portion of the work to be performed and share in the ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the joint venture. The portion of the LBE joint venture’s work shall be set forth in detail separately from the work to be performed by the non-LBE joint venture partner. The LBE joint venture’s portion of the contract must be assigned a commercially useful function.

3. HRC Forms to be Submitted with Proposal

a. All proposals submitted must include the following Human Rights Commission (HRC) Forms contained in the HRC Attachment 2: 1) HRC Contract Participation Form, 2) HRC “Good Faith Outreach” Requirements Form, 3) HRC Non-Discrimination Affidavit, 4) HRC Joint Venture Form (if applicable), and 5) HRC Employment Form. If these forms are not returned with the proposal, the proposal may be determined to be non-responsive and may be rejected.

b. Please submit only two copies of the above forms with your proposal. The forms should be placed in a separate, sealed envelope labeled HRC Forms.

If you have any questions concerning the HRC Forms, you may call Selormey Dzikunu, the Human Rights Commission Contract Compliance Officer for the San Francisco Police Department at 415-274-0511.
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VII. Contract Requirements


The successful proposer will be required to enter into a contract substantially in the form of the Agreement for Professional Services, attached hereto as Appendix C. Failure to timely execute the contract, or to furnish any and all insurance certificates and policy endorsements, surety bonds or other materials required in the contract, shall be deemed an abandonment of a contract offer. The City, in its sole discretion, may select another firm and may proceed against the original selectee for damages.

Proposers are urged to pay special attention to the requirements of Administrative Code Chapters 12B and 12C, Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits, (§34 in the Agreement); the Minimum Compensation Ordinance (§43 in the Agreement); the Health Care Accountability Ordinance (§44 in the Agreement); the First Source Hiring Program (§45 in the Agreement); and applicable conflict of interest laws (§23 in the Agreement), as set forth in paragraphs B, C, D, E and F below.

B. Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the provisions of Chapters 12B and 12C of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Generally, Chapter 12B prohibits the City and County of San Francisco from entering into contracts or leases with any entity that discriminates in the provision of benefits between employees with domestic partners and employees with spouses, and/or between the domestic partners and spouses of employees. The Chapter 12C requires nondiscrimination in contracts in public accommodation. Additional information on Chapters 12B and 12C is available on the HRC’s website at www.sfhr.org.

C. Minimum Compensation Ordinance (MCO)

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the provisions of the Minimum Compensation Ordinance (MCO), as set forth in S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 12P. Generally, this Ordinance requires contractors to provide employees covered by the Ordinance who do work funded under the contract with hourly gross compensation and paid and unpaid time off that meet certain minimum requirements. For the contractual requirements of the MCO, see §43.

For the amount of hourly gross compensation currently required under the MCO, see www.sfgov.org/olse/mco. Note that this hourly rate may increase on January 1 of each year and that contractors will be required to pay any such increases to covered employees during the term of the contract.

Additional information regarding the MCO is available on the web at www.sfgov.org/olse/mco.

D. Health Care Accountability Ordinance (HCAO)

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the provisions of the Health Care Accountability Ordinance (HCAO), as set forth in S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 12Q. Contractors should consult the San Francisco Administrative Code to determine their
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compliance obligations under this chapter. Additional information regarding the HCAO is available on
the web at www.sfgov.org/olse/hcao.

E. First Source Hiring Program (FSHP)

If the contract is for more than $50,000, then the First Source Hiring Program (Admin. Code
Chapter 83) may apply. Generally, this ordinance requires contractors to notify the First Source Hiring
Program of available entry-level jobs and provide the Workforce Development System with the first
opportunity to refer qualified individuals for employment.

Contractors should consult the San Francisco Administrative Code to determine their compliance
obligations under this chapter. Additional information regarding the FSHP is available on the web at
www.sfgov.org/moed/fsdp.htm and from the First Source Hiring Administrator, (415) 401-4960.

F. Conflicts of Interest

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the
applicable provisions of state and local laws related to conflicts of interest, including Section 15.103 of
the City's Charter, Article III, Chapter 2 of City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, and
Section 87100 et seq. and Section 1090 et seq. of the Government Code of the State of California. The
successful proposer will be required to acknowledge that it is familiar with these laws; certify that it does
not know of any facts that constitute a violation of said provisions; and agree to immediately notify the
City if it becomes aware of any such fact during the term of the Agreement.

Individuals who will perform work for the City on behalf of the successful proposer might be
deemed consultants under state and local conflict of interest laws. If so, such individuals will be required
to submit a Statement of Economic Interests, California Fair Political Practices Commission Form 700, to
the City within ten calendar days of the City notifying the successful proposer that the City has selected
the proposer.
VIII. Protest Procedures

A. Protest of Non-Responsiveness Determination

Within five working days of the City's issuance of a notice of non-responsiveness, any firm that has submitted a proposal and believes that the City has incorrectly determined that its proposal is non-responsive may submit a written notice of protest. Such notice of protest must be received by the City on or before the fifth working day following the City's issuance of the notice of non-responsiveness. The notice of protest must include a written statement specifying in detail each and every one of the grounds asserted for the protest. The protest must be signed by an individual authorized to represent the proposer, and must cite the law, rule, local ordinance, procedure or RFP provision on which the protest is based. In addition, the protestor must specify facts and evidence sufficient for the City to determine the validity of the protest.

B. Protest of Contract Award

Within five working days of the City's issuance of a notice of intent to award the contract, any firm that has submitted a responsive proposal and believes that the City has incorrectly selected another proposer for award may submit a written notice of protest. Such notice of protest must be received by the City on or before the fifth working day after the City's issuance of the notice of intent to award. Contract award will be posted on the City of San Francisco Office of Contract administration/Purchasing web site.

The notice of protest must include a written statement specifying in detail each and every one of the grounds asserted for the protest. The protest must be signed by an individual authorized to represent the proposer, and must cite the law, rule, local ordinance, procedure or RFP provision on which the protest is based. In addition, the protestor must specify facts and evidence sufficient for the City to determine the validity of the protest.

C. Delivery of Protests

All protests must be received by the due date. If a protest is mailed, the protestor bears the risk of non-delivery within the deadlines specified herein. Protests should be transmitted by a means that will objectively establish the date the City received the protest. Protests or notice of protests made orally (e.g., by telephone) will not be considered. Protests must be delivered to:

Officer Shawn Wallace # 1104
San Francisco Police Department
Legal Division, Room # 575
Hall of Justice
850 Bryant Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

January 30th, 2008
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Appendix B
Standard Forms

The requirements described in this Appendix are separate from those described in Appendix A.

Before the City can award any contract to a contractor, that contractor must file three standard City forms (items 1-3 on the chart). Because many contractors have already completed these forms, and because some informational forms are rarely revised, the City has not included them in the RFP package. Instead, this Appendix describes the forms, where to find them on the Internet (see bottom of page 2), and where to file them. If a contractor cannot get the documents off the Internet, the contractor should call (415) 554-6248 or e-mail Purchasing (purchasing@sfgov.org) and Purchasing will fax, mail or e-mail them to the contractor.

If a contractor has already filled out items 1-3 (see note under item 3) on the chart, the contractor should not do so again unless the contractor's answers have changed. To find out whether these forms have been submitted, the contractor should call Vendor File Support in the Controller's Office at (415) 554-6702.

If a contractor would like to apply to be certified as a local business enterprise, it must submit item 4. To find out about item 4 and certification, the contractor should call Human Rights Commission at (415) 252-2500.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Form name and Internet location</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Return the form to; For more info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.   | Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification  
www.sfgov.org/oca/purchasing/forms.htm  
www.irs.gov/pub/irs-fill/fw9.pdf | W-9  | The City needs the contractor's taxpayer ID number on this form. If a contractor has already done business with the City, this form is not necessary because the City already has the number. | Controller's Office  
Vendor File Support  
City Hall, Room 484  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-6702 |
| 2.   | Business Tax Declaration  
www.sfgov.org/oca/purchasing/forms.htm | P-25 | All contractors must sign this form to determine if they must register with the Tax Collector, even if not located in San Francisco. All businesses that qualify as "conducting business in San Francisco" must register with the Tax Collector. | Controller's Office  
Vendor File Support  
City Hall, Room 484  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-6702 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Form name and Internet location</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Return the form to; For more info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>S.F. Administrative Code Chapters 12B &amp; 12C Declaration: Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits</td>
<td>HRC-12B-101</td>
<td>Contractors tell the City if their personnel policies meet the City's requirements for nondiscrimination against protected classes of people, and in the provision of benefits between employees with spouses and employees with domestic partners. Form submission is not complete if it does not include the additional documentation asked for on the form. Other forms may be required, depending on the answers on this form. Contract-by-Contract Compliance status vendors must fill out an additional form for each contract.</td>
<td>Human Rights Comm. 25 Van Ness, #800 San Francisco, CA 94102-6059 (415) 252-2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>HRC LBE Certification Application</td>
<td></td>
<td>Local businesses complete this form to be certified by HRC as LBEs. Certified LBEs receive a bid discount pursuant to Chapter 14B when bidding on City contracts. To receive the bid discount, you must be certified by HRC by the proposal due date.</td>
<td>Human Rights Comm. 25 Van Ness, #800 San Francisco, CA 94102-6059 (415) 252-2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Where the forms are on the Internet**

**Office of Contract Administration**

- Homepage: [www.sfgov.org/oca/](http://www.sfgov.org/oca/)
- Purchasing forms: Click on “Required Vendor Forms” under the “Information for Vendors and Contractors” banner.

**Human Rights Commission**

- HRC’s homepage: [www.sfhrc.org](http://www.sfhrc.org)
- Equal Benefits forms: Click on “Forms” under the “Equal Benefits” banner near the bottom.
- LBE certification form: Click on “Forms” under the “LBE” banner near the bottom.
APRIL 23, 2008

NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT NUMBERS 4131-07/08 THROUGH 4149-07/08; AND 1003-08/09.

At its meeting of April 21, 2008 the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

PLEASE NOTE: It is important that a copy of this action be kept in the department files as you will need it in the future as proof of Civil Service Commission approval.

It was the decision of the Commission to:

(1) Postpone PSC #4134-07/08 to the meeting of May 19, 2008 at the request of the Department of Human Resources.

(2) Adopt the Human Resources Director’s report on PSC #4 4141-07/08, 4142-07/08 and 4143-07/08. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Purchaser. Note: The Public Utilities Commission to submit a report in 30 days to the Commission on their discussions with IEFTE Local 21.

(3) Adopt the Human Resources Director's report on all remaining contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Purchaser.

If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.6.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

ANITA SANCHEZ
Executive Officer

Attachment

C: Shawn Allison, Department of Telecommunications & Information Services
Parveen Boparai, Municipal Transportation Agency
Eugene Clendinen, District Attorney
Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
Connie Chang, Public Utilities Commission
Gordon Choy, Department of Public Works
Sharnise Jackson, Public Utilities Commission
Jennifer Johnston, Department of Human Resources
Galen Leung, San Francisco International Airport
Julien Low, Mayor’s Office of Business & Economics
Jean Lubomusky, Administrative Services
Patti Martin, Department of Human Resources
Jonathan Nelly, Department of Human Resources
Shawn Wallace, Police
Commission File
Chron
# RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No.</th>
<th>DepthNo</th>
<th>DeptName</th>
<th>Approval Type</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Description of work</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4132-07/08</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$84,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide pre-employment drug testing services and drug &amp; alcohol testing for reasonable suspicion, random, post-accident, return-to-duty, and follow-up testing.</td>
<td>31-Aug-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4133-07/08</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$125,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide services to assess business, management, infrastructure, construction safety, supply logistics, community relationship, and stakeholder risks and to propose mitigation measures to improve the Airport's risk profile.</td>
<td>30-Apr-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4134-07/08</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Department of Human Resources</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$7,500,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide complete workers' compensation services, including investigation and adjudication of claims, data recording, payment processing, support of both litigation and rehabilitation processes, and estimate evaluation and preparation of reports.</td>
<td>31-Jul-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4135-07/08</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide an off-site facility to collect pre-employment, post-accident, return-to-duty, reasonable suspicion breath and urine samples during normal working hours and/or after hours for MTA employees and contractors in compliance with DCT/FTA.</td>
<td>30-Jun-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4136-07/08</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$239,472.00</td>
<td>Will provide 110 Muni diesel and electric coaches with Automatic Passenger Counting (APC) equipment. This equipment will count boardings and alighting to determine accurate ridership numbers.</td>
<td>31-Dec-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4137-07/08</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$16,000,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide a 27-month fare box refurbishment project, which includes 15 months installation of refurbished fare boxes on all revenue vehicles and back-end system and 12 months post-installation system support, for a total amount not to exceed $19 million.</td>
<td>30-Sep-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4138-07/08</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Police Department</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide lie-detector services 24 hours per day, 7 days a week, to conduct blood draws at various locations on suspected drunk drivers for law enforcement agencies in the City and County of San Francisco.</td>
<td>30-Jun-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4139-07/08</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Police Department</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide the San Francisco Police Department with psychological evaluations of final entry level police officer candidates and refinement of predictive validity assessment procedures.</td>
<td>30-Jun-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No.</th>
<th>Dept No.</th>
<th>Dept Name</th>
<th>Approval Type</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4140-0708</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Police Department</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$2,720,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide Crime Prevention Education Services as follows: Neighborhood watch organizing, residential and commercial security services, presentations on personal safety, robbery and burglary prevention, and violence in the workplace.</td>
<td>30-Jun-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4141-0708</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$28,000,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide Bay Division Regional Construction Management (CM) Services to oversee a specific WSIP construction project, the Bay Tunnel, on behalf of the SFPPUC.</td>
<td>01-Feb-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4142-0708</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$27,700,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide San Joaquin Regional Construction Management (CM) Services to oversee a specific WSIP construction project, the Bay Tunnel, on behalf of the SFPPUC.</td>
<td>01-Feb-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4143-0708</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$17,700,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide Sunol Valley Regional Construction Management (CM) Services to oversee a specific WSIP construction project, the Bay Tunnel, on behalf of the SFPPUC.</td>
<td>01-Feb-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4144-0708</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$65,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide monitoring of federally-endangered San Francisco garter snake (SFGS) and federally threatened California red-legged frog (CRLF) at three locations on the Peninsula Watershed.</td>
<td>31-Dec-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4145-0708</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$405,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide independent technical review to ensure that the Master Plan incorporates not only proven best technologies, but also cost effective, environmentally sensitive and community responsive solutions.</td>
<td>31-Jul-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4146-0708</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Risk Management/GSA-City Administrator</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$9,500,000.00</td>
<td>Will create a pre-qualified list of insurance brokers and risk mgmt. services firms for as needed support in the areas of broker services, risk analysis and Enterprise Risk Management consulting services, underwriting services and claims support service.</td>
<td>30-Jun-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4147-0708</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Dept. of Telecommunications and Information Services</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Will provide an audit of the City's telecommunication bills to identify any potential billing errors by the carriers and prepare documentation for the City to request refunds for overcharges; make recommendations to minimize risk of overbilling.</td>
<td>21-Apr-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4148-0708</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Dept. of Telecommunications and Information Services</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide over the approval of in house staff, as needed printing and bindery services to include low color printing, collating, folding and binding.</td>
<td>14-Apr-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4149-0708</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$4,000,000.00</td>
<td>Will provide resident engineers, field engineers, inspectors, specialty engineers, office engineers, scheduling engineers, public outreach staff, construction management support, field office administrative staff, and supplemental construction services.</td>
<td>16-Jun-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: 03-05-2008  DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 38
DEPARTMENT NAME: Police

TYPE OF APPROVAL:  □ EXPEDITED  (X) REGULAR (OMIT POSTING ___)
□ CONTINUING  □ ANNUAL

TYPE OF REQUEST:  (X) INITIAL REQUEST □ MODIFICATION (PSC# ___)
TYPE OF SERVICE:  Pre Employment Psychological Screening Services

FUNDING SOURCE:  General Fund

$850,000.00  7-1-08 – 6-30-2012
PSC AMOUNT:  PSC DURATION:

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK
   A. Concise description of proposed work: The vendor will provide the San Francisco Police Department with psychological evaluations of final entry level police officer candidates and refinement of predictive validity assessment procedures.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial: Pre employment psychological evaluations of police officer candidates is required by section #1031 (f) of the California code of Regulations. Denial would prevent the San Francisco Police Department from hiring police officers.

   C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number. This service was provided through PSC # 4076-03/04, and PSC # 4092-07/08.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed: Contract is currently out to bid.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures):
   Local # 790
   Union Name
   Local # 21
   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing / faxing form
   Signature of person mailing / faxing form
   3-19-08  3-19-08
   Date
   Date

   RFP sent to ___________________________ on ___________________________, Date

   Signature

   ***********************************************

   FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

   PSC#
   STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:
   CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:

   PSC FORM 1 (9/96)
3. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise. Section # 1031 (f) of the California Code of Regulations, requires a Ph.D. in Psychology with at least five years of post graduate experience in diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work? Though class 2574, Clinical Psychologist and class 2576, perform psychological evaluations, those classes do not have the required skills and expertise.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain: Yes the vendor will have proprietary software which will be used for psychological evaluation, and tracking of police officer applicants.

4. WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable: The level of expertise and experience does not exist in the current Civil Service classifications. The vendor will also have proprietary software.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain: No. The services will be provided on an as needed basis.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes", attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?  
      □ Yes  □ No  

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
      - Describe training and indicate approximate number of hours.
      - Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (e.g., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate number to be trained.

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?  
      □ Yes  □ No  

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?  
      □ Yes  □ No  

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?  
      □ Yes  □ No  

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department? Yes, “Law Enforcement Psychological Services”

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

[Signature]
Signature of Departmental Personal Services Contract Coordinator

Officer Shawn Wallace
Print or Type Name

Hall of Justice, Room 575
850 Bryant Street
San Francisco, Calif. 94103

553-1096
Telephone Number

-133-
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION -- REC
Dept. Code: REC

Type of Request: ☐ Initial  □ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # ________)

Type of Approval:  □ Expedited  ☑ Regular  (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Park Planning

Funding Source: Coastal Conserv Priority Grant

PSC Duration: 4 years 1 day
PSC Amount: $500,000
PSC Est. Start Date: 01/01/2015
PSC Est. End Date: 01/01/2019

1. Description of Work

A. Scope of Work:
The Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Grant will fund park planning for 900 Innes, a property recently acquired by the City, which is adjacent to India Basin Shoreline Park and India Basin Shoreline Open Space. 900 Innes consists of 3 acres, 1.9 of which are on land. Innes Avenue and neighboring areas have been included for planning purposes in the Bayview Hunters Point Area Plan and the India Basin Shoreline SubArea Plan, in addition to the San Francisco Bay Trail Plan and the Port of San Francisco’s 13-mile Blue Greenway Plan. On this site, the Recreation and Park Department (RPD) plans to develop a new full service park for the community, providing residents local access to open space and parkland resources as well as new recreational opportunities. RPD will contract with a consultant to do the following: public outreach to the community and stakeholders; site analysis and preparation of environmental studies and documents for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); and a conceptual park plan with cost estimates and strategies for the implementation future park improvements.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
900 Innes, San Francisco, CA 94124, is adjacent to 700 Innes, a property on which affordable housing and park space is currently being designed. Ideally, the planning for 900 Innes should be done in conjunction with that for 700 Innes. A consultant will bring extensive knowledge of the India Basin natural areas and the adjacent India Basin Shoreline Park will allow for the 900 Innes park planning process to begin immediately. This process will engage Bayview-based community leaders and neighborhood groups and the seek trust they have gained from this diverse, often under-served community is essential to a successful stakeholder engagement process. The service will allow for continuity in the project planning and development of the sites. Denial will cause delay of the project and could result in additional planning and development costs and loss of funding.

C. Has this service been provided in the past? If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
This service has not been completed by RPD in this manner previously.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? No

2. Union Notification: On 10/17/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Architect & Engineers, Local 21,

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 40297 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation:
Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
3. **Description of Required Skills/Expertise**
   
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
   Extensive knowledge of the characteristics of, as well as inherent challenges with, the physical and natural environments at India Basin. Experience and relationships with community leaders in Bayview area for partnerships and support of community.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work? 5298.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain: No.

4. **Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform**
   
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
   City planning department staff do not complete the actual environmental and planning documents, they provide overall project guidance and review.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
   No. As stated, Planning Department staff do not complete the actual environmental and planning documents.

5. **Additional Information (if "yes", attach explanation)**

   YES NO

   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee? ☐ ☑

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee? ☐ ☑

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services? ☐ ☑

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services? ☐ ☑

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service? ☐ ☑

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department? ☐ ☑

☐ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON 11/19/2014 BY:

Name: Sean McFadden Phone: 415 831 2779 Email: sean.mcfadden@sfgov.org

Address: 501 Stanyan Street San Francisco, CA
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 40297 - 14/15 more than $100k

The RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION -- REC has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 40297 - 14/15 for $500,000 for Initial Request services for the period 01/01/2015 - 01/01/2019. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdrupal/node/4086 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended.
Additional Attachment(s)
IB:

Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:

900 Innes, San Francisco, CA 94124, is adjacent to 700 Innes, a property on which affordable housing and park space is currently being designed. Ideally, the planning for 900 Innes should be done in conjunction with that for 700 Innes. A consultant will bring extensive knowledge of the India Basin natural areas and the adjacent India Basin Shoreline Park will allow for the 900 Innes park planning process to begin immediately. This process will engage Bayview-based community leaders and neighborhood groups and the seek trust they have gained from this diverse, often under-served community is essential to a successful stakeholder engagement process. The service will allow for continuity in the project planning and development of the sites. Denial will cause delay of the project and could result in additional planning and development costs and loss of funding.
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY - TECHNOLOGY -- TIS
Dept. Code: TIS

Type of Request: ☑ Initial  □ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # ________)
Type of Approval:  □ Expedited  ☑ Regular  (☐ Omit Posting)
Type of Service: Web Software Development and Support Services

Funding Source: General Funds & Enterprise Funds  PSC Duration: 4 years
PSC Amount: $2,800,000  PSC Est. Start Date: 07/01/2015  PSC Est. End Date: 06/30/2019

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
   The primary objective of this project is to implement a citywide Web Content Management (WCM) solution that is sufficiently scalable to address the growing needs of the City's websites while offering the flexibility required to satisfy City departments' unique needs. The project envisions the vendor working with City staff to setup and support the WCM cloud environment that will enable the City to build custom websites using a more sophisticated software development system, the Drupal system. This more sophisticated and flexible solution will replace the City's current low-cost solution offered by our incumbent Web content management vendor, Vision Internet, a vendor procured through the Technology Store.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
   This project will allow the City to implement a more complex/sophisticated Web content management solution that offers the flexibility to build fully custom websites for City departments beyond the capabilities currently offered by our incumbent vendor.

   Please see the entire response to 1B in the uploaded attachment.

   C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
   The web software development needs of the various City Departments is currently serviced by a low-cost vendor from the Technology Store, Vision Internet, with a solution limited in its capabilities. Given the City departments' increasing demand for custom websites, the Department of Technology is seeking a one-stop shop vendor who can offer a more sophisticated solution in order to service DT's wide customer base of city departments.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? No, the annual subscription with Vision Internet will not be renewed.

2. Union Notification: On 10/20/2014, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Architect & Engineers, Local 21,

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 44508 - 14/15
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Civil Service Commission Action:
Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014

July 2013
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Open Source Frameworks, Drupal Core & OpenPub WCM, Drupal Modules, PHP, MySQL, Responsive Web
technologies, Apache, Memcache, Varnish, SSL, AWS, HTML/CSS, Javascript/ JQuery.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?  
      1042, 1043.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City?  If yes, explain:  
      NO

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Given the huge variation and continuous innovation of web and open source technologies, the City’s Department
to Technology Web services staff will need to work with a vendor for these services as the team does not have
the required skill sets. This vendor partnership will ensure that City staff can provide a comprehensive web
solution to Departments.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work?  Explain.
      No, a new civil service class is not required to perform this work. We will continue to invest in transferring as
      much knowledge as possible, from the vendor to the DT Web Services Team, who will be responsible for working
      with City departments.

5. Additional Information (if "yes", attach explanation)  
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?  
      ✅ YES  ☐ NO

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?  
      There will be no end-user training.
      ✗ YES  ☐ NO

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?  
      ✗ YES  ☐ NO

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of  
      contractual services?  
      ✗ YES  ☐ NO

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective  
      way to provide this service?  
      ✗ YES  ☐ NO

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC  
      contract with your department?  
      ✗ YES  ☐ NO

✅ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
ON 11/19/2014 BY:

Name: Jolie Gines  Phone: 415 581 3974  Email: jolie.gines@sfgov.org

Address: One South Van Ness Avenue 2nd Floor  San Francisco, CA 94103

-141-  

July 2013
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 44508 - 14/15 more than $100k

The GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY - TECHNOLOGY – TIS has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 44508 - 14/15 for $2,800,000 for Initial Request services for the period 07/01/2015 – 06/30/2019. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrrudupal/node/4093 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended.
Additional Attachment(s)
Response to 1B: Explain why the service is necessary and the consequences of denial.

This project will allow the City to implement a more complex/sophisticated Web content management solution that offers the flexibility to build fully custom websites for City departments beyond the capabilities currently offered by our incumbent vendor.

PROBLEM: As a result of the limitations of our current system, many City departments already have or are considering outsourcing their entire web operations to external vendors completely bypassing the City's web services offered by the Department of Technology (DT).

SOLUTION: Pursuing this solution will allow the City to implement a flexible enterprise solution that can accommodate the different needs of City Departments. Departments will work with City staff at the Department of Technology (supported by this vendor) to deliver web services.

CONSEQUENCES OF DENIAL: Without this more sophisticated solution, the Department of Technology will not be able to build fully custom websites for City departments, as such demand is on the rise. The result of a denial will be an acceleration of outsourcing of custom websites services by the various City departments to multiple vendors since the solution offered by DT’s current vendor can no longer meet their needs. There are already over a dozen of external websites and almost half a dozen of City departments preparing to move outside and use their own vendors. Using a central solution administered by DT allows the City to leverage the buying power of all city agencies to maximize the value of its spend. Converting to a higher-end solution allows DT to better service the disparate needs of its wide customer base of City departments.
City and County of San Francisco

Request for Proposals for

Web Content Management (Drupal) Cloud Solution

Date issued: January 5, 2015
Pre-proposal conference: 10 a.m., January 21, 2015
Proposal due: 5 p.m., February 20, 2015
Request for Proposals for Web Content Management (Drupal) Cloud Solution
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**Appendices:**

A. CMD Attachment 2: Requirements for Architecture, Engineering and Professional Services Contracts, for contracts $50,000 and over document (separate document).

All Proposers must submit the following forms:

- Form 3 CMD Non-discrimination Affidavit

However, if you are a CMD-certified LBE applying for the LBE Rating Bonus, you must submit the following forms:

- Form 2A CMD Contract Participation form
- Form 3 CMD Non-discrimination Affidavit
- Form 4 Joint Venture Participation Schedule, if applicable
- Form 5 CMD Employment form

B. Standard Forms: Listing and Internet addresses of Forms related to Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification, to Business Tax Declaration, and to Chapters 12B and 12C, and 14B of the S.F. Administrative Code.

C. Agreement for Professional Services (form P-500 - Required)  
D. Worksheet 1: WCM Solution Evaluation Matrix
   Worksheet 2: WCM Solution Scope of Work & Response Matrix
   Reference Section 1 & 2: Sites and Modules
E. Service Level Agreement (Required)
F. Minimum Qualifications Worksheet (Required)
RFP for Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud Solution

Request for Proposals for
Web Content Management (Drupal) Cloud Solution

I. Introduction and Schedule

A. General

The City and County of San Francisco requests proposal from qualified respondents that are interested in providing professional services to assist CCSF in setting up and supporting a Web Content Management (WCM) cloud-based solution.

The primary objective of this request is to implement a citywide WCM solution that is sufficiently scalable to address the growing needs of CCSF's web site while offering the flexibility required to satisfy CCSF agencies' unique needs. The request requires the delivery of 4 types of services: 1) Setup of WCM managed cloud environment; 2) Setup a Drupal-based WCM solution; 3) Provision of ongoing support of the WCM managed cloud solution; 4) Provision of ongoing support for the Drupal-based WCM solution.

The contract shall have an original term of 4 years. In addition, the CCSF shall have 2 options to extend the term for a period of 2 years each, which the CCSF may exercise in its sole, absolute discretion.

B. Schedule

The anticipated schedule for selecting a consultant is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal Phase</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFP is issued by the City</td>
<td>January 5, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-proposal conference</td>
<td>January 21, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for submission of written questions or requests</td>
<td>January 29, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or requests for clarification</td>
<td>February 20, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposals due</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral interview with firms selected for further</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consideration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II. Scope of Work

The chosen Respondent will deliver a Drupal WCM cloud-based solution including setup and ongoing support. Following is a high level list of services that the chosen Respondent will be expected to deliver. The attached Appendix D Worksheet 2 includes the detailed Scope of Work and requirements. The Scope of Work is to be used as a general guide and is not intended to be a complete list of all work necessary to complete the project.

1. Setup WCM Managed cloud solution – This consists in setting up and delivering a multi-tier, secure, highly available cloud environment that will host CCSF web sites. This cloud solution will be optimized for a Drupal WCM environment and be scalable to support the CCSF’s growing needs. The detailed list of requirements (including required cloud sizing, performance, components, etc.) for setting up the WCM managed cloud solution are provided in Appendix D Worksheet 2 section 1 which Respondents are required to complete as part of their proposal response. CCSF expects selected vendor to complete this phase within 1 month of project’s start.

2. Setup Drupal WCM solution – This consists in setting up and delivering a hybrid WCM solution that can accommodate 2 flavors of the Drupal WCM solution: a) one based on Drupal core distribution to support new CCSF web sites; b) the other based on the Drupal Open Public distribution to support legacy Drupal web sites. The detailed list of requirements for setting up the Drupal WCM solution are provided in Appendix D Worksheet 2 section 2 which Respondents are required to complete as part of their proposal response. CCSF expects selected vendor to complete this phase within 2 months of project’s start and will require that initial payments be tied to the completion of the setup of both the WCM Managed cloud solution and the Drupal WCM solution according to the requirements laid out in Appendix D Worksheet 2 sections 1 and 2.

3. Support WCM Managed cloud solution – This consists in providing ongoing (24x7x365) support, including hosting and ongoing support for CCSF web sites hosted in the WCM cloud environment. The attached Service Level Agreement outlines the level of service expected by CCSF. In addition, the detailed list of requirements for supporting the WCM cloud solution are provided in Appendix D Worksheet 2 section 3 which Respondents are required to complete as part of their proposal response.

4. Support Drupal WCM solution – This consists in providing ongoing support for the Drupal-based software solution including maintenance and support of CCSF agency web sites during CCSF’s business hours. The attached Service Level Agreement outlines the level of service expected by CCSF. In addition, the detailed list of requirements for supporting the Drupal WCM software solution are provided in Appendix D Worksheet 2 section 4 which Respondents are required to complete as part of their proposal response.

Note that CCSF prefers a Drupal-based solution because of the existing investment on Drupal-based websites but we are open to considering responses that propose a WCM solution other than Drupal. The proposer would have to clearly outline the benefits and risks of adopting an alternative solution. It would also have to provide a separate detailed cost breakdown of the additional expenses it would incur migrating existing Drupal-based City web sites to a different software platform.
RFP for Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud Solution

III. Submission Requirements

A. Time and Place for Submission of Proposals

Proposals must be received by 2:00 p.m., on February 20, 2015. Postmarks will not be considered in judging the timeliness of submissions. Proposals that are submitted by fax will not be accepted. Late submissions will not be considered.

Proposers must submit their proposals in both soft and hard copy as follows:

1. Submit soft copy of entire submission and required CMD forms via email at the following email address: dtcontracts@sfgov.org

2. Submit 5 copies of the proposal and 2 copies, separately bound, of required CMD Forms in a sealed envelope clearly marked Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud solution as follows to the following location.

Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud Solution Proposal
ATTN: Ms. Fan-Wa Wong
Department of Technology
City and County of San Francisco
1 South Van Ness – 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

3. Questions regarding this RFP must be sent via email to the following email address no later than 2:00 pm PST on January 20, 2015: dtcontracts@sfgov.org

B. Format

For word processing documents, the department prefers that text be unjustified (i.e., with a ragged-right margin) and use a serif font (e.g., Times Roman, and not Arial), and that pages have margins of at least 1” on all sides (excluding headers and footers).

Please include a Table of Contents in your proposal and submit both hard copy and an electronic version of the proposal.

C. Content

Firms interested in responding to this RFP must submit the following information, in the order specified below:

1. Introduction and Executive Summary

Submit a letter of introduction and executive summary of the proposal. The letter must be signed by a person authorized by your firm to obligate your firm to perform the commitments contained in the proposal. Submission of the letter will constitute a representation by your firm that your firm is willing and able to perform the commitments contained in the proposal.
2. **Qualifications**

Provide information on your firm’s background and qualifications which addresses the following:

a. Name, address, and telephone number of a contact person;

b. A brief description of your firm including years of experience providing similar services for both WCM Managed cloud solution and Drupal WCM solution delivery. Explain, as well as how any joint venture or association would be structured;

c. A description of 3 WCM Managed cloud solution projects similar in size and scope delivered by your firm including client, reference and telephone numbers, budget, schedule and project summary. Descriptions should be limited to one page for each project;

d. A description of 3 Drupal WCM solution projects similar in size and scope delivered by your firm including client, reference and telephone numbers, budget, schedule and project summary. Descriptions should be limited to one page for each project. If joint consultants or subconsultants are proposed provide the above information for each.

e. Provide a list identifying: (1) each key person on the project team, (2) the overall project manager, (3) the role each will play in the project, and (4) a written assurance that the key individuals listed and identified will be performing the work and will not be substituted with other personnel or reassigned to another project without the City’s prior approval.

f. Provide a description of the experience and qualifications of the project team members, including brief resumes as outlined in Appendix D Worksheet 2.

g. Provide at least 4 relevant references for the lead consulting firm and all subconsultants, including the name, address and telephone number recent clients (preferably other public agencies).

h. Complete attached **Appendix D Worksheet 1 Part A** to provide a summary of your qualifications and experience in delivering similar services and solutions.

3. **WCM Solution Setup Services**

Describe the services and activities that your firm proposes to provide to the City. Confirm your firm will deliver all setup requirements that are part of the scope of work by filling out the attached Appendix D Worksheet 2 (simply state “YES” in column C if your firm fully meets the City’s requirement; state “NO” in column D if your firm does not meet requirement; Use column E attaching a related addendum to explain if and how a requirement will only be partially met). To be specific:

a. **Setup WCM Managed cloud solution.** Confirm your firm will deliver all WCM managed cloud solution requirements by completing **Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 1**. Attach the related logical and physical **cloud architecture diagrams**.

b. **Setup Drupal WCM solution software.** Confirm your firm will deliver all Drupal WCM software solution requirements by completing **Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 2**. Attach the related **Drupal WCM architecture diagram**.
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c. Provide project schedule identifying for each work task, duration (in terms of days of effort), and resource and task ownership. Your firm needs to demonstrate ability to complete the setup of the cloud solution and Drupal software within the City’s required time frame of 3 months max.

4. WCM Solution Support Services

Describe the services and activities that your firm proposes to provide to the City. Confirm your firm will deliver all support requirements that are part of the scope of work by filling out the attached Appendix D Worksheet 2 (simply state “YES” in column C if your firm fully meets the City’s requirement; state “NO” in column D if your firm does not meet requirement; Use column E attaching a related addendum to explain if and how a requirement will only be partially met). To be specific:

a. Support WCM Managed cloud solution. Confirm your firm will deliver all WCM managed cloud support requirements by completing Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 3. Attach the related resumes of key individuals that will be providing full ongoing support of cloud solution.

b. Support Drupal WCM solution software. Confirm your firm will deliver all Drupal WCM software solution requirements by completing Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 4. Attach the related resumes of key individuals that will be providing full ongoing support of the Drupal WCM software solution.

5. Fee Proposal

The City intends to award this contract to the firm that it considers will provide the best overall program services. The City reserves the right to accept other than the lowest priced offer and to reject any proposals that are not responsive to this request.

Please provide a fee proposal in a sealed envelope that includes the following:

a. Provide total one-time cost to setup the WCM managed cloud solution that satisfies all City’s requirements.

b. Provide total one-time cost to setup the Drupal WCM solution that satisfies all City’s requirements.

c. Provide annual cost of WCM managed cloud hosting and support to satisfy all City’s requirements.

d. Provide annual cost of Drupal WCM software support to satisfy all City’s requirements.

e. Provide hourly rate for Drupal engineer if the City decides to obtain custom website development services outside the scope of this request.

f. Provide summary of costs in the attached Appendix D Worksheet

1 Part D.
IV. Evaluation and Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>Evaluation Description</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Total Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase One</td>
<td>Minimum Qualification</td>
<td>Pass/Fail</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Two</td>
<td>Evaluation of Written Proposals</td>
<td>Qualifications (20 points) Quality of Solution (25 points) Quality of Support (25 points) Fees (30 points)</td>
<td>100 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Three</td>
<td>Oral Interview of ONLY the top 3 ranking firms</td>
<td>Standard questions</td>
<td>100 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Ranking</td>
<td></td>
<td>Combine scores from Phase 2 and Phase 3 to determine final scores of the top 3 firms</td>
<td>200 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Phase One: Evaluation of Minimum Qualifications on a Pass/Fail basis

Respondent(s) must demonstrate the following minimum qualifications by completing the Appendix F Minimum Qualifications Worksheet:

1. Have at least 3 years of experience delivering WCM managed cloud setup and support services.

2. Have at least 3 years of experience delivering Drupal WCM software setup and support services

3. Have at least 3 customers currently using similar WCM cloud hosting services.

4. Have completed at least 3 similar Drupal WCM solution implementation projects.

Any proposal that does not demonstrate that the proposer meets these minimum requirements by the deadline for submittal of proposals will be considered non-responsive and will not be eligible for award of the contract. City reserves the right to ask for additional materials from proposers in the determination of whether minimum qualification is met.

B. Phase Two: Evaluation of Written Proposal based on the following Selection Criteria

The proposals will be evaluated by a selection committee comprised of parties with expertise in a variety of services and/or technology areas. The City intends to evaluate the proposals generally in accordance with the criteria itemized below. The top 3 firms with the highest scoring proposals will be interviewed by the committee to make the final selection.
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1. **Qualifications (20 points)**
   a. Experience delivering WCM Managed cloud solutions and quality of recently completed projects.
   b. Experience delivering Drupal WCM software solutions and quality of recently completed projects.
   c. Experience and expertise of key staff and project manager responsible for setup of the WCM solution.
   d. Experience and expertise of key staff responsible for delivering ongoing support for WCM solution.

2. **Quality of Solution (25 points)**
   a. Ability to fully meet City’s requirements to setup WCM Managed cloud solution as lay out in the Scope of Work Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 1.
   b. Ability to fully meet City’s requirements to setup Drupal WCM software solution as lay out in the Scope of Work Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 2.
   c. Overall quality of presentation, completeness, accuracy and understanding of the project and the setup tasks to be performed.
   d. Overall quality of solution and reasonableness of project schedule.

3. **Quality of Support (25 points)**
   a. Ability to fully meet City’s requirements to support WCM Managed cloud solution as lay out in the Scope of Work Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 3.
   b. Ability to fully meet City’s requirements to setup Drupal WCM software solution as lay out in the Scope of Work Appendix D Worksheet 2 Section 4.
   c. Overall quality of presentation, completeness, accuracy and understanding of the project and the support tasks to be performed.
   d. Overall quality of support services and resources.

4. **Fees (30 points)**

   The most favorable fee proposal to the City is the lowest fee proposed so the lowest fee will receive the total number of points assigned to the fee evaluation criterion. The other fee proposals will be scored by dividing the amount of the lowest fee by the fee proposal being scored and multiplying the result by the total number of points assigned to the fee evaluation criterion. (For example under this formula, the proposer who offers the lowest fee proposal of $10,000 receives all 30 points. The next lowest proposal that offers $15,000 receives a score of 20 points ($10,000 divided by $15,000, multiplied by 30 points). Points will be assigned in each of the following cost categories.

   a. Total one-time cost to setup the WCM managed cloud solution that satisfies all City’s requirements.
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b. Total one-time cost to setup the Drupal WCM solution that satisfies all City’s requirements.

c. Annual cost of WCM managed cloud hosting and support to satisfy all City’s requirements.

d. Annual cost of Drupal WCM software support to satisfy all City’s requirements.

e. Hourly rate for Drupal engineer if the City decides to obtain custom website development services outside the scope of this request.

C. Phase Three: Oral Interview by the Selection Panel

Following the evaluation of the written proposals, only the proposers receiving the top three (3) highest scores will be invited to an oral interview. The interview will consist of standard questions asked of each of the proposers in the short list. Interviews will be worth up to 100 points. Points awarded for interviews will be combined with the points awarded during the written proposal evaluation process to determine the final scores of the top three firms.

Note that the successful proposer will be required to enter into a contract substantially in the form of the Agreement for Professional Services and Service Level Agreement, attached hereto as Appendix C and Appendix E.
V. Pre-proposal conference and Contract award

A. Pre-Proposal Conference

Proposers are encouraged to attend a pre-proposal conference on January 21, 2015 at 10 a.m. to be held at the Department of Technology, 1 South Van Ness, 2nd floor, San Francisco 94103. All questions will be addressed at this conference and any available new information will be provided at that time. If you have further questions regarding the RFP, please contact the individual designated in Section VI.B.

B. Contract Award

The Department of Technology will select a proposer with whom CCSF staff shall commence contract negotiations. The selection of any proposal shall not imply acceptance by the City of all terms of the proposal, which may be subject to further negotiations and approvals before the City may be legally bound thereby. If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated in a reasonable time the Department of Technology, in its sole discretion, may terminate negotiations with the highest ranked proposer and begin contract negotiations with the next highest ranked proposer.
VI. Terms and Conditions for Receipt of Proposals

A. Errors and Omissions in RFP

Proposers are responsible for reviewing all portions of this RFP. Proposers are to promptly notify the Department, in writing, if the proposer discovers any ambiguity, discrepancy, omission, or other error in the RFP. Any such notification should be directed to the Department promptly after discovery, but in no event later than five working days prior to the date for receipt of proposals. Modifications and clarifications will be made by addenda as provided below.

B. Inquiries Regarding RFP

Inquiries regarding the RFP and all oral notifications of an intent to request written modification or clarification of the RFP, must be directed in writing to the Department of Technology via email at the following email address: dtcontracts@sfgov.org

C. Objections to RFP Terms

Should a proposer object on any ground to any provision or legal requirement set forth in this RFP, the proposer must, not more than ten calendar days after the RFP is issued, provide written notice to the Department setting forth with specificity the grounds for the objection. The failure of a proposer to object in the manner set forth in this paragraph shall constitute a complete and irrevocable waiver of any such objection.

D. Change Notices

At its discretion, CCSF may modify the RFP, prior to the proposal due date, by issuing Bid Addendum(s), which will be posted on the Bids website (http://mission.sfgov.org/ocabidpublication). The proposer shall be responsible for ensuring that its proposal reflects any and all Bid Addendum(s) issued by the Department prior to the proposal due date regardless of when the proposal is submitted. Therefore, the City recommends that the proposer consult the website frequently, including shortly before the proposal due date, to determine if the proposer has downloaded all Bid Addendum(s).

E. Term of Proposal

Submission of a proposal signifies that the proposed services and prices are valid for 120 calendar days from the proposal due date and that the quoted prices are genuine and not the result of collusion or any other anti-competitive activity.

F. Revision of Proposal

A proposer may revise a proposal on the proposer’s own initiative at any time before the deadline for submission of proposals. The proposer must submit the revised proposal in the same manner as the original. A revised proposal must be received on or before the proposal due date.

In no case will a statement of intent to submit a revised proposal, or commencement of a revision process, extend the proposal due date for any proposer.
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At any time during the proposal evaluation process, CCSF may require a proposer to provide oral or written clarification of its proposal. CCSF reserves the right to make an award without further clarifications of proposals received.

G. Errors and Omissions in Proposal

Failure by CCSF to object to an error, omission, or deviation in the proposal will in no way modify the RFP or excuse the vendor from full compliance with the specifications of the RFP or any contract awarded pursuant to the RFP.

H. Financial Responsibility

CCSF accepts no financial responsibility for any costs incurred by a firm in responding to this RFP. Submissions of the RFP will become the property of the City and may be used by the City in any way deemed appropriate.

I. Proposer's Obligations under the Campaign Reform Ordinance

Proposers must comply with Section 1.126 of the S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, which states:

No person who contracts with the City and County of San Francisco for the rendition of personal services, for the furnishing of any material, supplies or equipment to the City, or for selling any land or building to the City, whenever such transaction would require approval by a City elective officer, or the board on which that City elective officer serves, shall make any contribution to such an officer, or candidates for such an office, or committee controlled by such officer or candidate at any time between commencement of negotiations and the later of either (1) the termination of negotiations for such contract, or (2) three months have elapsed from the date the contract is approved by the City elective officer or the board on which that City elective officer serves.

If a proposer is negotiating for a contract that must be approved by an elected local officer or the board on which that officer serves, during the negotiation period the proposer is prohibited from making contributions to:

- the officer’s re-election campaign
- a candidate for that officer’s office
- a committee controlled by the officer or candidate.

The negotiation period begins with the first point of contact, either by telephone, in person, or in writing, when a contractor approaches any city officer or employee about a particular contract, or a city officer or employee initiates communication with a potential contractor about a contract. The negotiation period ends when a contract is awarded or not awarded to the contractor. Examples of initial contacts include: (1) a vendor contacts a city officer or employee to promote himself or herself as a candidate for a contract; and (2) a city officer or employee contacts a contractor to propose that the contractor apply for a contract. Inquiries for information about a particular contract, requests for documents relating to a Request for Proposal, and requests to be placed on a mailing list do not constitute negotiations.

Violation of Section 1.126 may result in the following criminal, civil, or administrative penalties:

1. Criminal. Any person who knowingly or willfully violates section 1.126 is subject to a fine of up to $5,000 and a jail term of not more than six months, or both.
RFP for Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud Solution

2. Civil. Any person who intentionally or negligently violates section 1.126 may be held liable in a civil action brought by the civil prosecutor for an amount up to $5,000.

3. Administrative. Any person who intentionally or negligently violates section 1.126 may be held liable in an administrative proceeding before the Ethics Commission held pursuant to the Charter for an amount up to $5,000 for each violation.

For further information, proposers should contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at (415) 581-2300.

J. Sunshine Ordinance

In accordance with S.F. Administrative Code Section 67.24(c), contractors’ bids, responses to RFPs and all other records of communications between the City and persons or firms seeking contracts shall be open to inspection immediately after a contract has been awarded. Nothing in this provision requires the disclosure of a private person’s or organization’s net worth or other proprietary financial data submitted for qualification for a contract or other benefits until and unless that person or organization is awarded the contract or benefit. Information provided which is covered by this paragraph will be made available to the public upon request.

K. Public Access to Meetings and Records

If a proposer is a non-profit entity that receives a cumulative total per year of at least $250,000 in City funds or City-administered funds and is a non-profit organization as defined in Chapter 12L of the S.F. Administrative Code, the proposer must comply with Chapter 12L. The proposer must include in its proposal (1) a statement describing its efforts to comply with the Chapter 12L provisions regarding public access to proposer’s meetings and records, and (2) a summary of all complaints concerning the proposer’s compliance with Chapter 12L that were filed with the City in the last two years and deemed by the City to be substantiated. The summary shall also describe the disposition of each complaint. If no such complaints were filed, the proposer shall include a statement to that effect. Failure to comply with the reporting requirements of Chapter 12L or material misrepresentation in proposer’s Chapter 12L submissions shall be grounds for rejection of the proposal and/or termination of any subsequent Agreement reached on the basis of the proposal.

L. Reservations of Rights by the City

The issuance of this RFP does not constitute an agreement by the City that any contract will actually be entered into by the City. The City expressly reserves the right at any time to:

1. Waive or correct any defect or informalty in any response, proposal, or proposal procedure;

2. Reject any or all proposals;

3. Reissue a Request for Proposals;

4. Prior to submission deadline for proposals, modify all or any portion of the selection procedures, including deadlines for accepting responses, the specifications or requirements for any materials, equipment or services to be provided under this RFP, or the requirements for contents or format of the proposals;
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5. Procure any materials, equipment or services specified in this RFP by any other means; or

6. Determine that no project will be pursued.

M. No Waiver

No waiver by the City of any provision of this RFP shall be implied from any failure by the City to recognize or take action on account of any failure by a proposer to observe any provision of this RFP.

N. Local Business Enterprise Goals and Outreach

The requirements of the Local Business Enterprise and Non-Discrimination in Contracting Ordinance set forth in Chapter 14B of the San Francisco Administrative Code as it now exists or as it may be amended in the future (collectively the “LBE Ordinance”) shall apply to this RFP.

1. LBE Subconsultant Participation Goals

The LBE sub-consulting goal for this project is hereby waived by the Contract Monitoring Division.

2. LBE Participation

The City strongly encourages proposals from qualified LBEs. Pursuant to Chapter 14B, the following rating discount will be in effect for the award of this project for any proposers who are certified by CMD as a LBE, or joint ventures where the joint venture partners are in the same discipline and have the specific levels of participation as identified below. Certification applications may be obtained by calling CMD at (415)581-2310. The rating discount applies at each phase of the selection process. The application of the rating discount is as follows:

a. A 10% bid discount shall be applied to Small LBEs and Micro-LBEs bidding as primes; or

b. A 2% bid discount will be applied to an SBA-LBE, except that the 2% discount shall not be applied at any stage if it would adversely affect a Small LBE or Micro-LBE bidder.

If applying for a rating discount as a joint venture: The LBE must be an active partner in the joint venture and perform work, manage the job and take financial risks in proportion to the required level of participation stated in the proposal, and must be responsible for a clearly defined portion of the work to be performed and share in the ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the joint venture. The portion of the LBE joint venture’s work shall be set forth in detail separately from the work to be performed by the non-LBE joint venture partner. The LBE joint venture’s portion of the contract must be assigned a commercially useful function.
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3. CMD Forms to be Submitted with Proposal
   
a. All Proposers must submit the following forms:

Form 3       CMD Non-discrimination Affidavit

However, if you are a CMD-certified LBE applying for the LBE rating bonus, you must submit the following forms:

Form 2A      CMD Contract Participation form
Form 3      CMD Non-discrimination Affidavit
Form 4      Joint Venture Participation Schedule, if applicable
Form 5      CMD Employment form

b. Please submit only two copies of the above forms with your proposal. The forms should be placed in a separate, sealed envelope labeled CMD Forms.

If you have any questions concerning the CMD Forms, you may call Lupe Arreola, the Contract Monitoring Division Contract Compliance Officer for the Department of Technology at 415-581-2306 or Lupe.Arreola@sfgov.org
VII. Contract Requirements


The successful proposer will be required to enter into a contract substantially in the form of the Agreement for Professional Services, attached hereto as Appendix C. Failure to timely execute the contract, or to furnish any and all insurance certificates and policy endorsement, surety bonds or other materials required in the contract, shall be deemed an abandonment of a contract offer. The City, in its sole discretion, may select another firm and may proceed against the original selectee for damages.

Proposers are urged to pay special attention to the requirements of Administrative Code Chapters 12B and 12C, Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits, (§34 “Nondiscrimination; Penalties” in the Agreement); the Minimum Compensation Ordinance (§43 “Requiring Minimum Compensation for Covered Employee” in the Agreement); the Health Care Accountability Ordinance (§44 “Requiring Health Benefits for Covered Employees” in the Agreement); the First Source Hiring Program (§45 “First Source Hiring Program” in the Agreement); and applicable conflict of interest laws (§23 “Conflict of Interest” in the Agreement), as set forth in paragraphs B, C, D, E and F below.

B. Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the provisions of Chapters 12B and 12C of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Generally, Chapter 12B prohibits the City and County of San Francisco from entering into contracts or leases with any entity that discriminates in the provision of benefits between employees with domestic partners and employees with spouses, and/or between the domestic partners and spouses of employees. The Chapter 12C requires nondiscrimination in contracts in public accommodation. Additional information on Chapters 12B and 12C is available on the CMD’s website at www.sfCMD.org.

C. Minimum Compensation Ordinance (MCO)

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the provisions of the Minimum Compensation Ordinance (MCO), as set forth in S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 12P. Generally, this Ordinance requires contractors to provide employees covered by the Ordinance who do work funded under the contract with hourly gross compensation and paid and unpaid time off that meet certain minimum requirements. For the contractual requirements of the MCO, see §43 “Requiring Minimum Compensation for Covered Employee” in the Agreement.

For the amount of hourly gross compensation currently required under the MCO, see www.sfgov.org/olse/mco. Note that this hourly rate may increase on January 1 of each year and that contractors will be required to pay any such increases to covered employees during the term of the contract.

Additional information regarding the MCO is available on the web at www.sfgov.org/olse/mco.
D. Health Care Accountability Ordinance (HCAO)

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the provisions of the Health Care Accountability Ordinance (HCAO), as set forth in S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 12Q. Contractors should consult the San Francisco Administrative Code to determine their compliance obligations under this chapter. Additional information regarding the HCAO is available on the web at www.sfgov.org/olse/hcao.

E. First Source Hiring Program (FSHP)

If the contract is for more than $50,000, then the First Source Hiring Program (Admin. Code Chapter 83) may apply. Generally, this ordinance requires contractors to notify the First Source Hiring Program of available entry-level jobs and provide the Workforce Development System with the first opportunity to refer qualified individuals for employment.

Contractors should consult the San Francisco Administrative Code to determine their compliance obligations under this chapter. Additional information regarding the FSHP is available on the web at http://www.workforcedevelopmentsf.org/ and from the First Source Hiring Administrator, (415) 401-4960.

F. Conflicts of Interest

The successful proposer will be required to agree to comply fully with and be bound by the applicable provisions of state and local laws related to conflicts of interest, including Section 15.103 of the City's Charter, Article III, Chapter 2 of City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, and Section 87100 et seq. and Section 1090 et seq. of the Government Code of the State of California. The successful proposer will be required to acknowledge that it is familiar with these laws; certify that it does not know of any facts that constitute a violation of said provisions; and agree to immediately notify the City if it becomes aware of any such fact during the term of the Agreement.

Individuals who will perform work for the City on behalf of the successful proposer might be deemed consultants under state and local conflict of interest laws. If so, such individuals will be required to submit a Statement of Economic Interests, California Fair Political Practices Commission Form 700, to the City within ten calendar days of the City notifying the successful proposer that the City has selected the proposer.
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VIII. Protest Procedures

A. Protest of Non-Responsiveness Determination

Within five working days of the City's issuance of a notice of non-responsiveness, any firm that has submitted a proposal and believes that the City has incorrectly determined that its proposal is non-responsive may submit a written notice of protest. Such notice of protest must be received by the City on or before the fifth working day following the City's issuance of the notice of non-responsiveness. The notice of protest must include a written statement specifying in detail each and every one of the grounds asserted for the protest. The protest must be signed by an individual authorized to represent the proposer, and must cite the law, rule, local ordinance, procedure or RFP provision on which the protest is based. In addition, the protestor must specify facts and evidence sufficient for the City to determine the validity of the protest.

B. Protest of Contract Award

Within five working days of the City's issuance of a notice of intent to award the contract, any firm that has submitted a responsive proposal and believes that the City has incorrectly selected another proposer for award may submit a written notice of protest. Such notice of protest must be received by the City on or before the fifth working day after the City's issuance of the notice of intent to award.

The notice of protest must include a written statement specifying in detail each and every one of the grounds asserted for the protest. The protest must be signed by an individual authorized to represent the proposer, and must cite the law, rule, local ordinance, procedure or RFP provision on which the protest is based. In addition, the protestor must specify facts and evidence sufficient for the City to determine the validity of the protest.

C. Delivery of Protests

All protests must be received by the due date. If a protest is mailed, the protestor bears the risk of non-delivery within the deadlines specified herein. Protests should be transmitted by a means that will objectively establish the date the City received the protest. Protests or notice of protests made orally (e.g., by telephone) will not be considered. Protests must be delivered to:

Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud Solution Proposal
ATTN: Fan-Wa Wong
Department of Technology
City and County of San Francisco
1 South Van Ness – 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
dtcontracts@sfgov.org
Appendix B

Standard Forms

The requirements described in this Appendix are separate from those described in Appendix A.

Before the City can award any contract to a contractor, that contractor must file three standard City forms (items 1-3 on the chart). Because many contractors have already completed these forms, and because some informational forms are rarely revised, the City has not included them in the RFP package. Instead, this Appendix describes the forms, where to find them on the Internet (see bottom of page 2), and where to file them. If a contractor cannot get the documents off the Internet, the contractor should call (415) 554-6248 or e-mail Purchasing (purchasing@sfgov.org) and Purchasing will fax, mail or e-mail them to the contractor.

If a contractor has already filled out items 1-3 (see note under item 3) on the chart, the contractor should not do so again unless the contractor’s answers have changed. To find out whether these forms have been submitted, the contractor should call Vendor File Support in the Controller’s Office at (415) 554-6702.

If a contractor would like to apply to be certified as a local business enterprise, it must submit item 4. To find out about item 4 and certification, the contractor should call Contract Monitoring Division at (415)581-2310.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Form name and Internet location</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Return the form to; For more info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.   | Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification  
www.irs.gov/pub/irs-fill/fw9.pdf | W-9  | The City needs the contractor’s taxpayer ID number on this form. If a contractor has already done business with the City, this form is not necessary because the City already has the number. | Controller’s Office  
Vendor File Support  
City Hall, Room 484  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-6702 |
| 2.   | Business Tax Declaration  
http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=4762 | P-25  | All contractors must sign this form to determine if they must register with the Tax Collector, even if not located in San Francisco. All businesses that qualify as “conducting business in San Francisco” must register with the Tax Collector | Controller’s Office  
Vendor File Support  
City Hall, Room 484  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-6702 |
| 3.   | S.F. Administrative Code Chapters 12B & 12C Declaration: Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits  
CMD-12B-101 |  | Contractors tell the City if their personnel policies meet the City’s requirements for nondiscrimination against protected classes of people, and | Contract Monitoring Division  
30 Van Ness, #200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-6059 |
RFP for Web Content Management (WCM) Cloud Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Form name and Internet location</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Return the form to; For more info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=4762">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=4762</a></td>
<td>In Vendor Profile Application</td>
<td>in the provision of benefits between employees with spouses and employees with domestic partners. Form submission is not complete if it does not include the additional documentation asked for on the form. Other forms may be required, depending on the answers on this form. Contract-by-Contract Compliance status vendors must fill out an additional form for each contract.</td>
<td>(415) 581-2310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CMD LBE Certification Application

|  | http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=4762 | In Vendor Profile Application | Local businesses complete this form to be certified by CMD as LBEs. Certified LBEs receive a rating bonus pursuant to Chapter 14B when bidding on City contracts. To receive the bid discount, you must be certified by CMD by the proposal due date. | Contract Monitoring Unit 30 Van Ness, #200 San Francisco, CA 94102-6059 (415) 581-2310 |

Where the forms are on the Internet

**Office of Contract Administration**

Homepage: www.sfgov.org/oca/
Purchasing forms: Click on “Required Vendor Forms” under the “Information for Vendors and Contractors” banner.

**Contract Monitoring Division**

LBE certification form: http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=5364#Section%20V
Modification

Personal Services Contracts
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: PUBLIC HEALTH

Dept. Code: DPH

Type of Request: □ initial  ✔ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # 4059 13/14)

Type of Approval: □ Expedited  ✔ Regular  (☐ Omit Posting)

Type of Service: Sexual Reassignment Surgery

Funding Source: General Fund

PSC Original Approved Amount: $250,000
PSC Mod#1 Amount: $1,000,000
PSC Mod#2 Amount:
PSC Cumulative Amount Proposed: $1,250,000

PSC Original Approved Duration: 01/01/14 - 12/31/14 (52 weeks)
PSC Mod#1 Duration: 01/01/15-12/31/18 (4 years 1 day)
PSC Mod#2 Duration:
PSC Cumulative Duration Proposed: 5 years

1. Description of Work
   A. Scope of Work:
       Contractor will provide specialized sexual reassignment surgeries (SRS), such as genital-related surgeries, not provided at San Francisco General Hospital for uninsured male-to-female and female-to-male transgender clients eligible for the Department's Sliding Scale and Healthy San Francisco programs.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
       Providing these services is consistent with the Board of Supervisors resolution number 288-12, which encourages the Department to take the administrative steps to provide medically necessary gender-transition-related care. It also is consistent with the Health Commission resolution number 12-12, which approved the development of a new, separate program to provide SRS. Not providing these services would be counter to Department policies.

   C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.
       Yes, 4059-13/14.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes

2. Union Notification: On 11/19/14, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Physicians and Dentists - 8CC;

   *****************************************************************************************************************************************
   FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE
   PSC# 4059 13/14
   DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required
   DHR Approved for 12/15/2014
   Civil Service Commission Action:

   -169-
City and County of San Francisco

3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Contractor must have the full range of required medical and surgical subspecialties to provide the needed SRS related to the transition of male-to-female and female-to-male clients. The contractor must be board certified by the relevant associations and have extensive experience with techniques pertinent to SRS, such as genital reconstructive surgery.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      2230,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      Yes, contractors will have medical offices and inpatient facilities outside San Francisco General Hospital which will provide specialized facilities and equipment.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      The general classification of 2230 Physician Specialist does not require the level of skills and expertise required to provide these medical subspecialty services and procedures. It would not be practical to maintain a staff of highly specialized physicians when the services are utilized on an intermittent, as-needed basis.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No, most services are needed only intermittently and are not required frequently enough in any one subspecialty to justify establishment of a civil service classification. However, in some instances there may be one or two services that have sufficient overlap with services provided on campus where it would b

5. Additional Information (if "yes", attach explanation)
   YES NO
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee? □ □
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee? □ □
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services? □ □
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services? □ □
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service? Resolution 288-12 □ □
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department? Brownstein and Crane □ □

☐ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON 11/19/14 BY:

Name: Jacquie Hale Phone: (415) 554-2809 Email: jacquie.hale@sfdph.org
Address: 101 Grove Street Room 307 San Francisco, CA 94102

July 2013
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Receipt of Union Notification(s)
From: dhr-psccoordinator@sfgov.org on behalf of jacquie.hale@sfdph.org
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2014 10:18 AM
To: Hale, Jacquie (DPH); jduritz@uapd.com; Rojas, Kelly (DPH); DHR-PSCCoordinator, DHR (HRD); Isen, Richard (TIS)
Subject: Receipt of Modification Request to PSC # 4059 13/14 - MODIFICATIONS

PSC RECEIPT of Modification notification sent to Unions and DHR

The PUBLIC HEALTH – DPH has submitted a modification request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) for $1,000,000 for services for the period January 1, 2015 – December 31, 2018. For all Modification requests, there is a 7-Day noticed to the union(s) prior to DHR Review.

After logging into the system please select link below:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdrupal/node/1276

Email sent to the following addresses: jduritz@uapd.com
To: Jeff Duritz <jduritz@uapd.com>
cc: Al Groh <al@uapd.com>, "Dr. Debbie Brown"<drbeth.uapd@gmail.com>, "Dr. Namita Kansal"<namita_kansal@yahoo.com>, "Dr. Roger Wu"
bcc: 

Subject: Re: Notification of PSC for Sexual Reassignment Surgery (SRS) Services

Jeff,

Thanks for letting us know early. We appreciate that. As you have no objections, we will go ahead and request calendaring today for the CSC meeting of December 2, rather than December 16, so that we have more time to process the contract during the holidays. If you have any further comments on that, please let us know today by 3:00 p.m.

Thank you,

Jacquie
554-2609

Re: Notification of PSC for Sexual Reassignment Surgery (SRS) Services

Re: Notification of PSC for Sexual Reassignment Surgery (SRS) Services

Jeff Duritz  to: Jacquie Hale  11/05/2013 07:32 PM

Cc: "Dr. Debbie Brown", "Dr. Namita Kansal", "Dr. Roger Wu", Al Groh

Jacquie,

Thank you for providing the appropriate notice. UAPD has no objections to this PSC and indeed looks forward to this care being extended to uninsured CCSF residents.

On Mon, Oct 21, 2013 at 6:09 PM, Jacquie Hale <Jacquie.Hale@sfdph.org> wrote:

TO:  Jeff Duritz, UAPD

DATE:  October 21, 2013

SUBJECT:  Notification of PSC

Attached is the following PSC approval request which we plan to submit to the Department of Human Resources for review on November 30, 2013, for calendaring at the December 16, 2013, Civil Service Commission meeting.
1. Sexual Reassignment Surgery (SRS) Services

A copy of the request listed above is attached to this email.

(See attached file: PSC-new-Sexual Reassignment Surgery Services-DPH.pdf)

Jacquie Hale
Director
Office of Contract Management and Compliance
Department of Public Health
101 Grove Street, Room 307
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-2609
(415) 554-2555 (fax)
Jacquie.Hale@SPDPH.org

Confidentiality Notice: This email transmission may contain confidential or legally privileged information that is intended only for the individual or entity named in the email address. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or reliance upon the contents of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email transmission in error, please reply to the sender to arrange for proper delivery, and then delete the message from your inbox. Thank you.

Jeff Duritz
Representative

Union of American Physicians and Dentists
180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1380, Oakland, CA 94612
510-839-0193 (office)
510-763-8756 (fax)

www.uapd.com PSC-new-Sexual Reassignment Surgery Services-DPH.pdf
Additional Attachment(s)
PSC 4059-13/14 Mod 1.

If this is a request for a new PSC in excess of five years, or if your request is to extend (modify) an existing PSC by another five years, please explain why.

not applicable
Resolution calling on the San Francisco Department of Public Health to provide medically necessary transition-related care for transgender people and to remove exclusions under the San Francisco Health Care Security Ordinance.

July 24, 2012 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED
Ayes: 10 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Kim, Mar, Olague and Weiner
Excused: 1 - Farrell

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 7/24/2012 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[Signature]
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

UNSIGNED
Mayor

8/3/12
Date Approved

Date: August 3, 2012

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance, not being signed by the Mayor within the time-limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

[Signature]
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Resolution calling on the San Francisco Department of Public Health to provide medically necessary transition-related care for transgender people and to remove exclusions under the San Francisco Health Care Security Ordinance.

WHEREAS, Access to health care is regularly denied to transgender and gender non-conforming people, who frequently experience discrimination, including denial of services, when attempting to access health care; and

WHEREAS, Transition-related medical care, including surgeries, is often dismissed as "cosmetic" or "elective" despite the medical community's recognition of such procedures as medically necessary and effective treatment; and

WHEREAS, Various studies have concluded that receiving medically necessary transition related treatment results in a dramatic drop in suicidality, an overall improvement in mental health, a reduction in HIV infection, a decline in substance abuse rates, and an increase in socioeconomic status for transgender people; and

WHEREAS, The Health Care Security Ordinance ("Healthy SF") — San Francisco's locally designed and funded health care program for uninsured adults — currently provides certain health care services to transgender participants, but administratively excludes other services, such as sex reassignment surgery based on a diagnosis of gender identity dysphoria, when the same services are provided to non-transgender participants, thus denying transgender residents access to necessary health care under this local program; and

WHEREAS, The exclusion of certain transition-related procedures singles out and negatively impacts transgender people; and
WHEREAS, Unlike vision and dental coverage, this exclusion is not mandated by the Health Care Security Ordinance itself; and

WHEREAS, Assemblymember Tom Ammiano, the primary author of the ordinance, has said that he intended Healthy SF to eventually include transition related health care and that the program was intended to address the needs of San Franciscans without discrimination against any resident; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Public Health and the Director of the Human Rights Commission have been working together and with the community to fulfill the intent of Healthy SF by extending its coverage to the complete medical needs of transgender people; and

WHEREAS, Concerns of cost and over-utilization are often overstated and serve to justify impermissible discrimination against the transgender community; and

WHEREAS, The California Department of Insurance studied actuarial data of five employers, including the City and County of San Francisco, and concluded in its "Economic Impact Statement" that the economic impact of transgender health care inclusion is insignificant and immaterial, and that inclusion in fact provides cost savings as well as significant benefits for the health, welfare, and safety of the transgender population; and

WHEREAS, A growing trend among private businesses is to remove transgender health care exclusions; and

WHEREAS, Courts have recognized the injustice of excluding medically necessary transition-related health services; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reaffirms its strong commitment to equal and fair treatment of transgender people; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the San Francisco Department of Public Health to remove the sexual-reassignment surgery exclusion from Healthy SF; and, be it

Supervisors Wiener, Okafe, Campos, Avalos, Chiu, Cohen, Mar, and Kim
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the San Francisco Department of Public Health, with community input, to take administrative steps necessary to provide medically necessary gender-transition-related care.
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: December 16, 2013

DEPARTMENT NAME: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DEPARTMENT NUMBER 81

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ☑ EXPEDITED ☑ CONTINUING ☑ REGULAR ☑ ANNUAL

(OMIT POSTING ________)

TYPE OF REQUEST: ○ INITIAL REQUEST ☑ MODIFICATION (PSC# ________)

TYPE OF SERVICE: Sexual Reassignment Surgery (SRS) Services

FUNDING SOURCE: General Fund

PSC AMOUNT: $250,000 PSC DURATION: 1/1/2014 – 12/31/2014

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK

A. Concise description of proposed work:
   Contractor will provide specialized sexual reassignment surgery (SRS) services (e.g., genital-related surgeries) which are not currently provided at San Francisco General Hospital for uninsured male-to-female and female-to-male transgender clients who are eligible for the Department's sliding scale and Healthy San Francisco programs.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
   Providing these services is consistent with Board of Supervisors and Health Commission policies to remove exclusions for sexual reassignment surgery from Healthy San Francisco and to develop a new and separate program to provide sexual reassignment and gender affirming surgery to eligible uninsured transgender adult residents. (Please see copies of resolutions, attached.) Denial will very likely result in adverse outcomes for this population, including severely decreased mental health, increased risk of suicide, increased risk of HIV infection and substance abuse, and decrease in overall health related to socioeconomic factors.

C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number):
   This is a new service.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed: Yes, if there is a continued need for services and funding available.

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures):

   UAPD 8cc
   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form
   October 21, 2013
   Date

   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form
   Date

   RFP sent to
   Union Name, on
   Date
   Signature

******************************************************************************
FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 4659 -13/14

STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:
3. DESCRIPTION OF REQUIRED SKILLS/EXPERTISE
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Contractor must have the full range of required medical and surgical subspecialties to provide the needed SRS related to the transition of male-to-female and female-to-male clients. The contractor must be board certified by relevant associations and have extensive experience with techniques pertinent to SRS, such as genital reconstructive surgery.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class normally performs this work?
      Although the Department has 2230 Physician Specialist positions, these Physicians usually do not have the level of expertise required for the subspecialty services needed.

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      Yes, contractors will have appropriate medical offices and access to inpatient facilities outside San Francisco General Hospital required to perform the services.

4. WHY CLASSIFIED CIVIL SERVICE CANNOT PERFORM
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      The 2230 Physician Specialist classification does not require the level of skills and expertise required to provide these medical subspecialty services and procedures. As these services are intermittent and as-needed, it would not be practical to maintain a staff of highly specialized physicians.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      These services are needed only intermittently and are not required frequently enough to justify establishment of a permanent civil service classification for this medical subspecialty. As this is a new program, DPH intends to review it in compliance with existing policies and procedures and expects to be able to report on utilization with any future requests.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (if "yes," attach explanation)
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employees?
      Yes [ ] No [X]

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employees?
      [ ] Describe the training and indicate approximate number of hours.
      [ ] Indicate occupational type of City and County employees to receive training (i.e., clerks, civil engineers, etc.) and approximate number to be trained.

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      [ ] Yes [X]

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      [ ] Yes [X]

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      [ ] Yes [X]

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current personal services contract with your department?
      [ ] Yes [X]

THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD:

[Signature]
Jacquie Hale
Print or Type Name

554-2609
Telephone Number

101 Grove St., Rm. 307
San Francisco, CA 94102
Address
Resolution calling on the San Francisco Department of Public Health to provide medically necessary transition-related care for transgender people and to remove exclusions under the San Francisco Health Care Security Ordinance.

WHEREAS, Access to health care is regularly denied to transgender and gender non-conforming people, who frequently experience discrimination, including denial of services, when attempting to access health care; and

WHEREAS, Transition-related medical care, including surgeries, is often dismissed as "cosmetic" or "elective" despite the medical community's recognition of such procedures as medically necessary and effective treatment; and

WHEREAS, Various studies have concluded that receiving medically necessary transition related treatment results in a dramatic drop in suicidality, an overall improvement in mental health, a reduction in HIV infection, a decline in substance abuse rates, and an increase in socioeconomic status for transgender people; and

WHEREAS, The Health Care Security Ordinance ("Healthy SF") — San Francisco's locally designed and funded health care program for uninsured adults — currently provides certain health care services to transgender participants, but administratively excludes other services, such as sex reassignment surgery based on a diagnosis of gender identity dysphoria, when the same services are provided to non-transgender participants, thus denying transgender residents access to necessary health care under this local program; and

WHEREAS, The exclusion of certain transition-related procedures singles out and negatively impacts transgender people; and

Supervisors Wiener, Olague, Campos, Avalos, Chiu, Cohen, Mar, and Kim
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1
7/19/2012
WHEREAS, Unlike vision and dental coverage, this exclusion is not mandated by the
Health Care Security Ordinance itself; and

WHEREAS, Assemblymember Tom Ammiano, the primary author of the ordinance,
has said that he intended Healthy SF to eventually include transition related health care and
that the program was intended to address the needs of San Franciscans without
discrimination against any resident; and

WHEREAS, The Director of Public Health and the Director of the Human Rights
Commission have been working together and with the community to fulfill the intent of Healthy
SF by extending its coverage to the complete medical needs of transgender people; and

WHEREAS, Concerns of cost and over-utilization are often overstated and serve to
justify impermissible discrimination against the transgender community; and

WHEREAS, The California Department of Insurance studied actuarial data of five
employers, including the City and County of San Francisco, and concluded in its "Economic
Impact Statement" that the economic impact of transgender health care inclusion is
insignificant and immaterial, and that inclusion in fact provides cost savings as well as
significant benefits for the health, welfare, and safety of the transgender population; and

WHEREAS, A growing trend among private businesses is to remove transgender
health care exclusions; and

WHEREAS, Courts have recognized the injustice of excluding medically necessary
transition-related health services; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reaffirms its strong commitment to equal
and fair treatment of transgender people; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the San Francisco
Department of Public Health to remove the sexual-reassignment surgery exclusion from
Healthy SF; and, be it

Supervisors Wiener, Oлагue, Campos, Avalos, Chiu, Cohen, Mar, and Kim
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the San Francisco Department of Public Health, with community input, to take administrative steps necessary to provide medically necessary gender-transition-related care.
Resolution calling on the San Francisco Department of Public Health to provide medically necessary transition-related care for transgender people and to remove exclusions under the San Francisco Health Care Security Ordinance.

July 24, 2012 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED
Ayes: 10 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Kim, Mar, Olague and Wiener
Excused: 1 - Farrell

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 7/24/2012 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Date: August 3, 2012

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
HEALTH COMMISSION
City and County of San Francisco
Resolution No. 12-12

APPROVING AMENDMENT TO THE REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING HEALTHY
SAN FRANCISCO AND MEDICAL REIMBURSEMENT ACCOUNT PROVISIONS OF THE
SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH CARE SECURITY ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, in August 2006, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopted the Health Care Security
Ordinance (No. 218-06) creating the Health Access Program and in April 2007 approved amended the
Ordinance by authorizing establishment and maintenance of Medical Reimbursement Accounts (No.
69-07); and,

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Health is responsible for the design, planning, development,
implementation and ongoing oversight of Healthy San Francisco and the Medical Reimbursement
Account provision; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 14, Section 14.4 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the
Department is responsible for developing regulations to govern the Healthy San Francisco and
Medical Reimbursement Account provision authorized in the San Francisco Health Care Security
Ordinance; and,

WHEREAS, the San Francisco Health Commission adopted regulations (Resolution No. 12-07) that
cover program definitions, eligibility, fee structure, services, service provision, delivery network,
covered employer participation, public information, administration and reporting; and,

WHEREAS, the regulations provide a non-exclusive list of health services that are not provided by
Healthy San Francisco and this list includes sexual reassignment surgery which a transgender person
may request; and,

WHEREAS, transgender individuals participating in Healthy San Francisco, with the exception of sexual
reassignment and gender affirming surgeries, receive access to a range of services, including, but not
limited to: patient education, prevention (communicable disease and HIV), primary care, hormone
replacement therapy, behavioral health services (mental health and substance abuse) and inpatient
services; and,

WHEREAS, the Department has been working with community partners to provide sexual reassignment
and gender affirming surgeries to uninsured transgender persons; and,

WHEREAS, in July 2012, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution (No. 288-12)
calling on the San Francisco Department of Public Health to provide medically necessary transition-
related care for transgender people and to remove exclusions under the San Francisco Health Care
Security Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Department is committed to providing sexual reassignment and gender affirming
surgeries to transgender adult residents through the creation of a new separate program separate and
apart from Healthy San Francisco that will serve this population and other eligibility uninsured adult
residents; and,
WHEREAS, sexual reassignment and gender affirming surgeries for eligibility uninsured adult residents will be available through this new program and not through Healthy San Francisco, and that this will be communicated to clients;

WHEREAS, San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center will work to develop the clinical capacity and expertise to provide some sexual reassignment and gender affirming surgeries on its campus and to have gender identity dysphoria as a clinical indication for surgical procedures, it will couple this with a research component to assess the effectiveness of these surgeries and their clinical outcomes; and, therefore, be it,

RESOLVED, that the Health Commission approves amending the Regulations Implementing Healthy San Francisco and Medical Reimbursement Account Provisions of the San Francisco Health Care Security Ordinance to remove sexual reassignment surgery from the list of excluded services and modifying program materials to reflect this change; and let it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Health Commission approves the development of a new separate program to provide sexual reassignment and gender affirming surgery to eligible uninsured transgender adult residents that will not require provision of said surgeries through the Healthy San Francisco program.

I hereby certify that the San Francisco Health Commission at its meeting of November 6, 2012 adopted the foregoing resolution.

Mark Morewitz, Executive Secretary to the Health Commission
NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS NUMBERS 40973-13/14; 42392-13/14; 42240-13/14; 40044-13/14; 48592-13/14; 4059-13/14; 4060-13/14; 4061-13/14; 4062-13/14; 4024-12/13; 4046-10/11; 4098-10/11 AND 4119-09/10.

At its meeting of January 6, 2014 the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

The Commission took the following actions:

1) Approved PSC #40044-13/14, with the condition that the Office of the Treasure & Tax Collector provides an update three (3) years after the contract is executed, on the status of its ability to bring the work in-house. (Vote of 5 to 0)

2) Approved PSC #48592-13/14, but for a contract term of five (5) years only. (Vote of 5 to 0)

3) Approved PSC #4062-13/14, with the proviso that the Office of Contract Administration consults with the City Attorney to ensure that joint employment entanglements are avoided, and to correct the submission ending date to 2019. (Vote of 5 to 0)

4) Approved PSC #4119-09/10, with the proviso that the Department of Public Health submits to the Executive Officer a letter from the City Attorney’s Office indicating that it will not be performing the work that is being contracted out. (Vote of 5 to 0)

5) Approved the request for all remaining PSCs (PSC numbers 40973-13/14, 42392-13/14, 42240-13/14, 4059-13/14, 4060-13/14, 4061-13/14, 4024-12/13, 4046-10/11, and 4098-10/11). Adopted the report; notified the Office of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 5 to 0)
PLEASE NOTE:  It is important that a copy of this action be kept in the department files as you will need it in the future as proof of Civil Service Commission approval. Please share it with everyone responsible for follow-up.

If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.6.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

JENNIFER JOHNSTON
Executive Officer

Cc:  Cynthia Avakian, Airport Commission
     Parveen Boparai, Municipal Transportation Agency
     Jesusa Bushong, San Francisco Fire Department
     Micki Callahan, Department of Human Resources
     Thomas DiSanto, Planning Department
     Jacquie Hale, Department of Public Health
     Shamica Jackson, Public Utilities Commission
     Greg Kato, Treasurer & Tax Collector Office
     Sung Kim, Department of Public Works
     Joan Lubamersky, General Services Agency
     Danny Yeung, Planning Department
     Ben Rosenfield, Controller’s Office
     Jaci Fong, Office of Contract Administration
     Commission File
     Chron
## Proposed Personal Services Contract - Regular

**Posting for January 06, 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No</th>
<th>Dept Description</th>
<th>PSC Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>PSC Estimated Start Date</th>
<th>PSC Estimated End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40973-13/14</td>
<td>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</td>
<td>$320,000.00</td>
<td>Contractor will upgrade SFPUC’s existing retail electric billing system from Utility Star Platinum (USP) to Utility Star Enterprise (USE) version and expand its functionality to include billing of water, wastewater, gas, steam services in addition to electric services.</td>
<td>1/6/2014</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42392-13/14</td>
<td>PUBLIC WORKS</td>
<td>$3,500,000.00</td>
<td>The prime consultant firm (or JV partners) shall provide construction management support services for the Moscone Expansion Project, a large and complex project, estimated at $500 million, with complex underground construction in occupied spaces. Services include construction administration, document control, construction scheduling, budgeting and cost estimating, building forensic investigation, and constructability review.</td>
<td>2/1/2014</td>
<td>8/31/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42240-13/14</td>
<td>FIRE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>$1,750,000.00</td>
<td>Contractor will provide clinical quality assurance services for the Fire Department’s Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Division and DEM Dispatch Division.</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>12/31/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4004-13/14</td>
<td>TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>Payment Card Industry (&quot;PCI&quot;) Council mandates that all merchant accepting debit and credit card payments are PCI compliant. PCI compliance means adherence to PCI Data Security Standard which covers secure handling, processing and/or storing of cardholder data. As City-wide banker, the Office of the Treasurer &amp; Tax Collector (Treasurer) needs to engage a certified Payment Card Industry Professional (PCIP) as an expert in PCI compliance to assist the City in evaluating its compliance and remediation if individual departments are out of compliance. The PCIP will work with TTX and all city departments that accept credit card payments. They will educate personnel in each department about the necessity for PCI compliance, act as a technical resource and assist the departments in ensuring that their systems are PCI compliant each year.</td>
<td>11/1/2013</td>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48592-13/14</td>
<td>TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR</td>
<td>$5,000,000.00</td>
<td>The Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector is seeking to procure licensed armored car and secure safe services to serve all departments citywide. These services include: 1) secure and efficient transportation of Citywide cash and check collections and deposits to bank. 2) deposit pickup from various citywide cash collection points, change order service and delivery, and emergency cash services. 3) providing secured vaults or safes in various department locations which departments can use to deposit their collections and when it is most advantageous.</td>
<td>12/2/2013</td>
<td>6/30/2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No</th>
<th>Dept Description</th>
<th>PSC Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>PSC Estimated Start Date</th>
<th>PSC Estimated End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4059-13/14</td>
<td>PUBLIC HEALTH</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>Contractor will provide specialized sexual reassignment surgery (SRS) services (e.g., genital-related surgeries) which are not currently provided at San Francisco General Hospital for uninsured male-to-female and female-to-male transgender clients who are eligible for the Department's sliding scale and Healthy San Francisco programs.</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>12/31/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4060-13/14</td>
<td>CITY PLANNING</td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td>To provide preparation, documentation clean-up, indexing and scanning of historical department documents into electronic format. Department documents include closed case dockets (i.e., project files for entitlements, decision documents, applications, etc), historical property files, area maps, Planning Commission, Historic Preservation Commission, and Variance Hearing documents (i.e. agendas, minutes, etc), off-site storage location documents, and resource and reference materials located in on-site libraries. Approximately 2 million documents are located on-site, and 4,000 boxes of files are located off-site.</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4061-13/14</td>
<td>MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY</td>
<td>$10,000,000.00</td>
<td>The consultant will implement a new Enterprise Asset Management System (EAMS) that will replace and consolidate the various disconnected legacy software systems currently used for asset and inventory management. The consultant team will supplement San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) staff performing tasks related to validating and migrating existing data into the EAMS; capturing and documenting asset management business practices; configuring the EAMS specifically for each work unit's practices; building software interfaces to systems sharing data with the EAMS; and training end users and Information Technology (IT) staff.</td>
<td>4/30/2014</td>
<td>4/30/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4062-13/14</td>
<td>GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY</td>
<td>$80,000,000.00</td>
<td>As-needed, project specific services for a variety of highly specialized information technology (IT) projects. Services will include business analysis, system design, programming, design, configuration and training, and will vary depending on the requirements that are developed by the requesting department. Services are to be provided in conjunction with the acquisition of new information technology equipment.</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>12/31/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Amount Regulars: $101,920,000.00
## Posting for January 06, 2014

### Modification to Increase/Decrease Contract Amount/Duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No</th>
<th>Dept Description</th>
<th>Modified Amount</th>
<th>Cumulative Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>PSC Estimated Start Date</th>
<th>PSC Estimated End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4024-12/13</td>
<td>CITY PLANNING</td>
<td>$700,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500,000.00</td>
<td>The San Francisco Planning Department is seeking a consultant to assist the Department in the preparation, production, management and successful completion of environmental analyses, including an environmental impact report (&quot;EIR&quot;) and transportation impact study (&quot;TIS&quot;) and possibly other technical documentation for the Central Corridor Plan.</td>
<td>9/18/2012</td>
<td>12/31/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4046-10/11</td>
<td>PUBLIC WORKS</td>
<td>$3,000,000.00</td>
<td>$7,200,000.00</td>
<td>The Consultant will provide as-needed hazardous materials surveys and work plans, third-party oversight, and industrial hygiene services on City projects involving the abatement of asbestos, lead and other hazardous materials on property owned, operated and/or maintained by the City and County of San Francisco. Services may also involve air and noise monitoring. DPN intends to award five (5) Master Agreements, each not-to-exceed $600,000 for these as-needed services.</td>
<td>10/1/2010</td>
<td>10/2/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4098-10/11</td>
<td>AIRPORT COMMISSION</td>
<td>$9,500,000.00</td>
<td>$22,500,000.00</td>
<td>Early in the planning for the SFO RSA Program (&quot;the Program&quot;), staff underestimated the work and believed the work required by the Program could be accomplished with minimal outside assistance. Now that the environmental assessment process is completed and the project became better defined and Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) has added additional tasks to the Program, staff recognizes that the demands have increased not only in the amount of work required, but also in its complexity. The Airport is seeking increased technical expertise and support from the consultants to complete the design and increase construction management support services of the Program to support the Airport staff. See attached document.</td>
<td>4/18/2011</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4119-09/10</td>
<td>PUBLIC HEALTH</td>
<td>$6,720,000.00</td>
<td>$16,220,000.00</td>
<td>The contractor will operate a highly specialized uncompensated care recovery program, enhancing Medi-Cal and other third party payer revenues. Under this program, the contractor assists the Department to help San Francisco General Hospital (SFGH) patients to become eligible for Medi-Cal, therefore substantially increasing Department revenues. The population addressed is patients who the Department is not able to assist with eligibility through its regular procedures due to substance abuse, mental illness, homelessness, or other difficult-to-resolve situations. The contractor becomes the patient's authorized representative to aid and facilitate Medi-Cal eligibility and approval and appears on behalf of the patient at fair hearings and appeals, as necessary.</td>
<td>1/1/1997</td>
<td>12/31/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Modified Amount: $19,920,000.00**
City and County of San Francisco  

Department of Human Resources  

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")  

Department: POLICE  
Dept. Code: POL  

Type of Request:  
☐ Initial  
☑ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # 4054-10/11)  

Type of Approval:  
☐ Expedited  
☑ Regular  
(☐ Omit Posting)  

Type of Service: Design and Implementation of New Licensed Software Services, Maintenance and Consulting  

Funding Source: General Fund  

PSC Original Approved Amount: $347,200  
PSC Mod#1 Amount: $671,900  
PSC Mod#2 Amount:  
PSC Cumulative Amount Proposed: $1,019,100  
PSC Original Approved Duration: 09/21/10 - 03/09/15 (4 years 24 weeks)  
PSC Mod#1 Duration: 03/10/15 - 03/09/20 (5 years 2 days)  
PSC Mod#2 Duration:  
PSC Cumulative Duration Proposed: 9 years 24 weeks  

1. Description of Work  
   A. Scope of Work:  
Contractor will provide maintenance on the Level II Message Switching Computer system. Modification is to renew maintenance contract for five years (3/10/15-3/9/20) and expand coverage for a total of 2500 licenses. Previous maintenance contract covered 3 years (3/10/12-3/9/15) of service for 1500 licenses. See attached document(s).  

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:  
This service is necessary so the Police Department can comply with changes in law and policy in a timely and efficient manner. Consequences of denial would inhibit/restrict the Police Department's ability to provide essential services and access to data systems to the Police Department members and all other law enforcement and criminal justice agencies located in the City and County of San Francisco.  

   C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.  
yes  

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes  

2. Union Notification: On 11/06/14, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: all unions were notified  

*******************************************************************************  
FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE  

PSC# 4054-10/11  
DHR Analysis/Recommendation:  
Commission Approval Required  
DHR Approved for 12/15/2014  

Civil Service Commission Action:  

July 2013
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

3. **Description of Required Skills/Expertise**
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Design, engineering, consulting and programming skills necessary to maintain and enhance a critical, necessary and proprietary Message Switching Computer System.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      None,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. **Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform**
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Civil Service classifications are not applicable because the system is proprietary to the Contractor.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. Only as-needed and less than full-time services are required.

5. **Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)**

   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?
      ☑ ☐

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      This is a proprietary system that vendor maintains.
      ☑ ☐

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      ☐ ☑

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      ☐ ☑

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      ☑ ☐

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department? Expand & extend maint contract for vendor proprietary system.
      ☑ ☐

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON 11/06/14 BY:

Name: Genie Wong Phone: (415) 553-1736 Email: Genie.Wong@sfgov.org

Address: 850 Bryant Street #511 San Francisco, CA 94103
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
Receipt of Modification Request to PSC # 4054-10/11 - MODIFICATIONS

dhr-psccordinator@sfgov.org on behalf of Genie.Wong@sfc

Thu 11/6/2014 10:59 AM

Show all 38 recipients

To: Wong, Genie (POL); agonzalez@iam1414.org; ted.zarzecki@seiu1021.net;
    leah.berlanga@seiu1021.org; gail@ssflocal798.org; cityworker@sfcwu.org;
davidmkersten@gmail.com;

PSC RECEIPT of Modification notification sent to Unions and DHR

The POLICE -- POL has submitted a modification request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) for $671,900 for services for the period March 10, 2015 – March 9, 2020. For all Modification requests, there is a 7-Day notice to the union(s) prior to DHR Review.

After logging into the system please select link below:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdnupal/node/4162

Email sent to the following addresses: Please check the record to see if you selected a union where a corresponding email in the TO: field isn't present. Either you selected none or there is no email entered in the system by that particular union.
Additional Attachment(s)
To: Suzanne Choi  
Personal Services Coordinator  
Department of Human Resources.

From: Maureen Gannon  
Chief Financial Officer  
Police Department

Date: November 10, 2014

RE: PSC 4051-10/11 Modification Duration of More Than Five Years

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) requests a Personal Service Contract modification duration of more than five years (March 10, 2015 - March 9, 2020) for continued maintenance on Level II MAGUS (MAGUS), the City’s Message Switching Computer System. In addition, the City will be expanding usage of MAGUS from 1500 software licenses to 2500 software licenses, which the City will need to purchase additional maintenance coverage for.

MAGUS allows officers to instantly query suspect information from police vehicles, workstations and other electronic devices in seconds. When an officer enters suspect information, MAGUS processes the request by confirming that requestor and device used is legitimate and provides access to confidential information from many sources, which include:

1. Computer Assisted Bay Area Law Enforcement (CABLE)
2. Local Criminal History Database
3. Alameda Warrants System (AWS)
4. Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV)
5. California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)
6. Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) databases

Due to the critical nature of the City Message Switching Computer System, we respectfully request that the SFPD be allowed to ensure continued service from the vendor for more than five years. Please contact Genie Wong on my staff at 553-1736 if you have questions.
1. Description of Work

A. Scope of Work:

Contractor will provide maintenance on the Level II Message Switching Computer system. Modification is to renew maintenance contract for five years (3/10/15-3/9/20) and expand coverage for a total of 2500 licenses. Previous maintenance contract covered 3 years (3/10/12-3/9/15) of service for 1500 licenses. See attached document(s). Please see Original PSC 4054-10/11.
City and County of San Francisco
Office of Contract Administration
Purchasing Division
City Hall, Room 430
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4685

SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND

Level Two, Inc. dba LEVEL II, Inc.

This agreement (the "Agreement") is made this 25th day of March, 2005, in the City and County
of San Francisco, State of California; by and between: Level II, Inc., having a place of business at
11033 NE 24th Street, Suite 100, Bellevue, Washington, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor" or "Level
II," and the San Francisco Police Department, hereinafter referred to as "SFPD," through its Director of
the Office of Contract Administration, hereinafter referred to as "Purchasing."

This Agreement includes the attached Appendices:

Appendix A  Statement of Work
Appendix B  Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party
Products)
Appendix C  Implementation Plan, (Critical) Milestones and Preliminary
Project Schedule
Appendix D  Project Cost and Payment Schedule
Appendix E  Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance
Appendix F  Maintenance and Support
Appendix G  SFPD's Requirements Checklist

Recitals

WHEREAS, the San Francisco Police Department wish to license certain software from Contractor;
and,

WHEREAS, a Request for Proposal ("RFP") was issued by the SFPD on December 19, 2003; and

WHEREAS, Contractor's proposal was selected the highest qualified proposal; and

WHEREAS, Contractor represents and warrants that it is qualified to provide such software and services
required by City as set forth under this Agreement.

Now, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

-201-
1. Definitions

Where any word or phrase defined below, or a pronoun used in place thereof, is used in any part of this Agreement, it shall have the meaning herein set forth.

**ACCEPTANCE**
Notice from the SFPD to Contractor that the Licensed Software and Hardware meets the specifications contained in the Specifications. SFPD’s Acceptance of the Licensed Software and Hardware shall be governed by the procedures set forth in Appendix E, "Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance."

**AGREEMENT**
This document and any attached appendices and exhibits, including any future written and executed amendments.

**AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENT**
This Agreement, a Blanket Purchase Order, Contract Order, or Purchase Order of the City, properly executed by the San Francisco Police Department and Purchasing, and certified by the Controller for the specific funding of this Agreement or any modification thereof.

**CUSTOM WORK PRODUCT**
Customized computer design, programs and/or customized scripts written by Contractor to meet SFPD’s unique operational requirements.

**DELIVERY**
The physical arrival of any component of the System, such as Hardware and/or Software, at SFPD facilities.

**DESIGNATED CPU**
Any central processing unit or attached processor complex, including its peripheral units, described in the Authorization Document. The Authorization Document may designate more than one CPU.

**DESIGNATED SITE**
The facility or facilities specified in Appendix A, "Statement of Work," or any other facility as the parties may designate from time to time in writing, where the Designated CPU is located.

**DOCUMENTATION**
The technical publications relating to the use of the Licensed Software and Hardware, such as reference, installation, administrative and programmer manuals, provided by Contractor to SFPD.

**EQUIPMENT or HARDWARE**
The physical equipment and components of the System to be provided by Contractor to SFPD under this Agreement as provided for in Appendix B, "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)."

**FINAL ACCEPTANCE**

**LICENSED SOFTWARE**
One or more of the proprietary computer software programs identified in Appendix B, "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" and/or the Authorization Document, which includes Contractor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>OBJECT CODE</strong></th>
<th>Machine readable compiled form of Licensed Software provided by Contractor.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROPRIETARY RIGHTS</strong></td>
<td>The patents, patent applications, inventions, copyrights, trade secrets,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trademarks, trade names, mask works, know-how, and other intellectual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>property rights in and to the Equipment and Software, including those</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>created or produced by Level II or third party software or hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provider under this Agreement and any corrections, bug fixes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>enhancements, updates or modifications to or derivative works from the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Licensed Software or Hardware whether made by Level II or another party.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>The professional, technical, engineering, training and/or other services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provided by Contractor and/or its Subcontractors to the SFPD under this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOURCE CODE</strong></td>
<td>The human readable compilable form of the Licensed Software to be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provided by Contractor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIFICATIONS</strong></td>
<td>The functional and operational characteristics of the Licensed Software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Hardware as described in Contractor's current published product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>descriptions and technical manuals, and the design, functionality and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>performance of the System as described in the Acceptance Test Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>developed by the parties pursuant to Appendix E, &quot;Acceptance Criteria,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td>All Hardware and Software to be delivered to the SFPD and the Services to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>be performed by Contractor and/or its subcontractors under this Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBCONTRACTORS</strong></td>
<td>Any third party vendors, manufacturers, or suppliers of computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>programs and/or products, which are components of the System, as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>designed by Contractor, and/or related Services, as approved by the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THIRD PARTY SOFTWARE</strong></td>
<td>Third party software means the software authorized to be delivered by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractor under this Agreement, but is produced by other than Level II,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whenever the words "as directed," "as required," "as permitted," or words of like effect are used, it shall be understood as the direction, requirement, or permission of the San Francisco Police Department. The words "sufficient," "necessary," or "proper," and the like, mean sufficient, necessary or proper in the judgment of the San Francisco Police Department, unless otherwise indicated by the context.

2. **Certification of Funds; Budget and Fiscal Provisions; Termination in the Event of Non-Appropriation**

This Agreement is subject to the budget and fiscal provisions of the City's Charter. Charges will accrue only after prior written authorization certified by the Controller, and the amount of City's
obligation hereunder shall not at any time exceed the amount certified for the purpose and period stated in such advance authorization.

This Agreement will terminate without penalty, liability or expense of any kind to City at the end of any fiscal year if funds are not appropriated for the next succeeding fiscal year. If funds are appropriated for a portion of the fiscal year, this Agreement will terminate, without penalty, liability or expense of any kind at the end of the term for which funds are appropriated.

City has no obligation to make appropriations for this Agreement in lieu of appropriations for new or other agreements. City budget decisions are subject to the discretion of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. Contractor's assumption of risk of possible non-appropriation is part of the consideration of this Agreement.

THIS SECTION CONTROLS AGAINST ANY AND ALL OTHER PROVISIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT.

3. Term of the Agreement

Subject to Section 5, the license granted under this Agreement shall commence upon Acceptance of the Licensed Software and Hardware and shall continue in perpetuity unless sooner terminated in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement.

Subject to Section 4, related services will commence as specified in Appendix C, "Implementation Plan, (Critical) Milestones and Preliminary Project Schedule," and shall continue until the date of Final Acceptance or expiration of the warranty period as set forth in Section 14, whichever occurs last.

4. Effective Date of the Agreement

This Agreement shall become effective when the required parties and departments have approved and executed this Agreement and the Controller has certified to the availability of funds and Contractor has been notified in writing.

5. License

A. Grant of License. Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, Contractor grants City a non-exclusive and non-transferable perpetual license to use the Licensed Software and Third Party Software. City acknowledges and agrees that the Licensed Software and Third Party Software are the proprietary information of Contractor or Third Party, respectively, and that this Agreement grants City no title or right of ownership in the Licensed Software or Third Party Software.

Contractor agrees that in the event it discontinues its obligations under the terms of this Agreement, except as expressly provided for in Section 33 (Termination), or ceases to market and/or provide maintenance and support for the Licensed Software, and there is no successor in interest by merger, operation of law, assignment, purchase, or otherwise, it will provide City, without charge, one (1) copy of the then-current Source Code for all of the programs and all supporting Documentation for the Licensed Software then operating and installed at City's locations. If City should obtain the Source Code and the Documentation pursuant to this section, the only use made of the Source Code and the Documentation will be for the proper maintenance of the Licensed Software in connection with City's use of the Licensed Software as provided for, and limited by, the provisions of this Agreement.
In furtherance of its obligations as stated above, Contractor will provide to City a copy of the Source Code which corresponds to the most current version of the Licensed Software. Contractor agrees to update, enhance or otherwise modify such Source Code promptly upon its release of a new version of the Licensed Software to its other Licensees such that the Source Code is maintained as corresponding to the newest released version of the Licensed Software.

B. **Restrictions on Use.** City is authorized to use the Licensed Software only for City's internal purposes and only on the Designated CPU or the Designated Site specified in the Authorization Document. City agrees that it will, through its best efforts, not use or permit the Licensed Software to be used in any manner, whether directly or indirectly, that would enable any other person or entity to use the Licensed Software on other than the Designated CPU or Site.

C. **Use on other than Designated CPU or Site.** A single back-up or replacement CPU may be used as a substitute for a Designated CPU at any time, provided that City provides Contractor with written notice of such hardware substitution, including information regarding the replacement hardware as required for the Designated CPU pursuant to this Agreement, that City refrain from using the Licensed Software simultaneously on both the Designated CPU and the substitute CPU, and that the Licensed Software be removed from or rendered inoperable on the Designated CPU by the SFPD in a timely manner subsequent to installation of the Licensed Software upon the substitute CPU.

For the purpose of any bona fide City disaster recovery plan or with respect to the use of computer software and hardware in its operations, City may make one copy of the Licensed Software for archival purposes and use such archival copy on a CPU other than the Designated CPU, or at a site other than the Designated Site, so long as such alternative CPU or site is owned or controlled by City. The use of such archival copy shall be limited to (1) the purpose of conducting limited testing of the disaster recovery plan’s procedures and effectiveness and (2) during any period subsequent to the occurrence of an actual disaster during which the City cannot operate the Licensed Software on the Designated CPU or at the Designated Site. City agrees to furnish evidence of its disaster recovery plan and procedures upon Contractor’s request.

D. **Transfer of Products.** City may move the Licensed Software and Hardware and supporting materials to another City site which physically replaces the original installation site upon prior written notice to Contractor.

E. **Documentation.** Contractor shall provide City with the Licensed Software and Hardware specified in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” and a minimum of two copies of the Documentation per installation. Contractor grants to City permission to duplicate all printed Documentation for City’s internal use.

F. **Proprietary Markings.** City agrees not to remove or destroy any proprietary markings or proprietary legends placed upon or contained within the Licensed Software or any related materials or Documentation.

G. **Authorized Modification.** City shall also be permitted to develop, use and modify Application Program Interfaces (API’s), macros and user interfaces. For purposes of this Agreement, such development shall be deemed an authorized modification. Any such APIs, macros or other interfaces developed by the City shall become the property of the City.

H. **Ownership of Intellectual Property.** It is hereby agreed that Contractor and Third Party shall own all right, title and interest to its respective Licensed Software. City expressly acknowledges and agrees that in no event shall Contractor’s Work Product be deemed to constitute "work
made for hire" under the Federal copyright laws (17 U.S.C. Sec. 101) and, alternatively, City hereby irrevocably assigns all ownership or other rights it might have in Custom Work Product to Contractor.

6. Scope of Work

Contractor will provide, ship, install, customize, test, convert, and train administrators and user trainers in operating the System, maintain under warranty for one year the Licensed Software as SFPD's gateway to CLETS and regional data repositories, and perform its other contractual responsibilities, all in accordance with this Agreement, including but not limited to, Appendix A, “Statement of Work”, Appendix C, “Implementation Plan, (Critical) Milestones and Preliminary Project Schedule”, Appendix E, “Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance”, and Appendix F, “Maintenance and Support.” SFPD will perform its contractual responsibilities in accordance with this Agreement.

7. Delivery and Installation

A. Delivery and Installation. The Licensed Software (including Third Party Software) and Hardware listed in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” will be provided by Contractor, delivered to, and installed at City's site. Contractor’s delivery and installation responsibilities include providing a "JumpStart Installation" of the Hardware, which includes staging and integration, hardware module installation and setup, host computer's network configuration and testing, a written site survey, internal data disk set up, and installation of the Veritas software.

B. Risk of Loss. If any of the Licensed Software or Hardware products are lost or damaged during shipment, Contractor shall promptly replace such products at no additional charge to the City. If any of the Licensed Software products are lost or damaged while in the possession of the City, Contractor will promptly replace such products without charge, except for program storage media, unless supplied by the City.

8. Acceptance Testing

City and Contractor shall conduct acceptance tests pursuant to Appendix E, “Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance”, to verify that the System performs as agreed to by the parties.

9. Training

Contractor will provide training as detailed in Appendix C, “Implementation Plan, (Critical) Milestones and Preliminary Project Schedule”.

10. Change Orders and Amendments

Either party may request changes within the general scope of this Agreement. If a requested change causes an increase in the cost or time required to perform this Agreement, Contractor and City will agree to an equitable adjustment of the Contract Price, Performance Schedule, or both, and will reflect such adjustment in a written Amendment to this Agreement, duly approved and signed in the same manner as this Agreement.

11. Contractor's Default

Failure or refusal of Contractor to perform or do any act herein required shall constitute a default. In the event of any default, in addition to any other remedy available to City, this Agreement
may be terminated by City upon ten days written notice. Such termination does not waive any other legal remedies available to City.

12. Maintenance and Support

A. Maintenance and Support Services. After Final Acceptance of the System and subject to the terms, conditions, and charges set forth in this section, Contractor will provide City with a one year warranty (see Section 14) and maintenance and support services for the System as follows: (i) Contractor will provide such assistance as necessary to cause the System to perform in accordance with the Specifications; (ii) Contractor will provide, for City's use, whatever improvements, enhancements, extensions and other changes to the Licensed Software Contractor may develop, and (iii) Contractor will update the Licensed Software, as required, to cause it to operate under new versions or releases of the operating system specified in the Authorization Document so long as such updates are made generally available to Contractor's other Licensees. See Appendix F, "Maintenance and Support", for further details on maintenance services to be provided under this Agreement.

B. Changes in Operating System. If the City desires to obtain a version of the Licensed Software that operates under an operating system not specified in the Authorization Document, Contractor will provide City with the appropriate version of the Licensed Software, if available, on a 90-day trial basis without additional charge, provided City has paid all maintenance and support charges then due. At the end of the 90-day trial period, City must elect one of the following three options: (i) City may retain and continue the old version of the Licensed Software, return the new version to Contractor and continue to pay the applicable rental or license fee and maintenance charges for the old version; (ii) City may retain and use the new version of the Licensed Software and return the old version to Contractor, provided City pays Contractor the applicable rental or license fee and maintenance charges for the new version of the Licensed Software; or (iii) City may retain and use both versions of the products, provided City pays Contractor the applicable rental or license fee and maintenance charges for both versions of the Licensed Software. City will promptly issue the necessary Authorization Document(s) to accomplish the above.

C. Subsequent Years' Maintenance and Support. City shall have the right to exercise on an annual basis its option to renew the maintenance and support terms (see Appendix F, "Maintenance and Support") for a period of five years after the warranty period. The maintenance and support fee during this five year period shall not increase by more than 5% from the previous year's fee. See Appendix F, "Maintenance and Support", for further details on maintenance services to be provided under this Agreement.

13. Warranties: Right to Grant License

Contractor hereby warrants that it has title to and/or the authority to grant a license of the Licensed Software to the City.

14. Warranty: Performance

After Final Acceptance of the System, Contractor will provide City a warranty for the System (which includes the Licensed Software and Hardware) for a period of one (1) year from the date of Final Acceptance (see Appendix E, "Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance"). The warranty shall cover services described in Section 12 at no further cost to City.
15. **Infringement Indemnification.**

If notified promptly in writing of any judicial action brought against City based on an allegation that City’s use of the Licensed Software infringes a patent, copyright, or any right of a third party or constitutes misuse or misappropriation of a trade secret or any other right in intellectual property (Infringement), Contractor will hold City harmless and defend such action at its own expense. Contractor will pay the costs and damages awarded in any such action or the cost of settling such action, provided that Contractor shall have sole control of the defense of any such action and all negotiations or its settlement or compromise. If notified promptly in writing of any informal claim (other than a judicial action) brought against City based on an allegation that City’s use of the Licensed Software constitutes Infringement, Contractor will pay the costs associated with resolving such claim and will pay the settlement amount (if any), provided that Contractor shall have sole control of the resolution of any such claim and all negotiations for its settlement.

In the event a final injunction is obtained against City’s use of the Licensed Software by reason of Infringement, or in Contractor’s opinion City’s use of the Licensed Software is likely to become the subject of Infringement, Contractor may at its option and expense: (a) procure for City the right to continue to use the Licensed Software as contemplated hereunder, (b) replace the Licensed Software with a non-infringing, functionally equivalent substitute Licensed Software, or (c) suitably modify the Licensed Software to make its use hereunder non-infringing while retaining functional equivalency to the unmodified version of the Licensed Software. If none of these options is reasonably available to Contractor, then the applicable Authorization Document or relevant part of such Authorization Document may be terminated at the option of either party hereto and Contractor shall refund to City all amounts paid under this Agreement for the license of such infringing Licensed Software.

Any unauthorized modification or attempted modification of the Licensed Software by City or any failure by City to implement any improvements or updates to the Licensed Software, as supplied by Contractor, shall void this indemnity unless City has obtained prior written authorization from Contractor permitting such modification, attempted modification or failure to implement. Contractor shall have no liability for any claim of Infringement based on City’s use or combination of the Licensed Software with products or data of the type for which the Licensed Software was neither designed nor intended to be used.

16. **Payment**

Compensation shall be due and payable within forty-five (45) days of the date of invoice. In no event shall the amount of this Agreement exceed four-hundred and fifty-seven thousand nine-hundred and twenty-seven dollars and no cents ($457,927.00). The breakdown of costs associated with this Agreement are provided for in Appendix D, “Project Cost and Payment Schedule,” which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference into this Agreement.

No charges shall be incurred under this Agreement nor shall any payments become due to Contractor until Licensed Software, hardware and services, required under this Agreement are received from Contractor and approved by City as being in accordance with this Agreement.

In no event shall City be liable for interest or late charges for any late payments.

17. **Guaranteed Maximum Costs**

A. City’s obligation hereunder shall not at any time exceed the amount certified by the Controller for the purpose and period stated in such certification.
B. Except as may be provided by City ordinances governing emergency conditions, City and its employees and officers are not authorized to request Contractor to perform services or to provide materials, equipment and supplies that would result in Contractor performing services or providing materials, equipment and supplies that are beyond the scope of the services, materials, equipment and supplies agreed upon in the Agreement unless the Agreement is amended in writing and approved as required by law to authorize additional services, materials, equipment or supplies. City is not required to reimburse Contractor for services, materials, equipment or supplies that are provided by Contractor which are beyond the scope of the services, materials, equipment and supplies agreed upon in the Agreement and which were not approved by a written amendment to the Agreement having been lawfully executed by City.

C. City and its employees and officers are not authorized to offer or promise to Contractor additional funding for the Agreement which would exceed the maximum amount of funding provided for in the Agreement for Contractor’s performance under the Agreement. Additional funding for the Agreement in excess of the maximum provided in the Agreement shall require lawful approval and certification by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco. City is not required to honor any offered or promised additional funding for an Agreement which exceeds the maximum provided in the Agreement which requires lawful approval and certification of the Controller when the lawful approval and certification by the Controller has not been obtained.

D. The Controller is not authorized to make payments on any Agreement for which funds have not been certified as available in the budget or by supplemental appropriation.

18. Invoice Format

Invoices furnished by Contractor under this Agreement must be in a form acceptable to the Controller, and must include the Contract Progress Payment Authorization number. All amounts paid by City to Contractor shall be subject to audit by City.

Payment shall be made by City to Contractor at the address specified in the section entitled “Notices to the Parties.”

19. Submitting False Claims; Monetary Penalties

Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 21.35, any contractor, subcontractor or consultant who submits a false claim shall be liable to the City for three times the amount of damages which the City sustains because of the false claim. A contractor, subcontractor or consultant who submits a false claim shall also be liable to the City for the costs, including attorney’s fees, of a civil action brought to recover any of those penalties or damages, and may be liable to the City for a civil penalty of up to $10,000 for each false claim. A contractor, subcontractor or consultant will be deemed to have submitted a false claim to the City if the contractor, subcontractor or consultant: (a) Knowingly presents or causes to be presented to an officer or employee of the City a false claim or request for payment or approval. (b) Knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used a false record or statement to get a false claim paid or approved by the City. (c) Conspires to defraud the City by getting a false claim allowed or paid by the City. (d) Knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used a false record or statement to conceal, avoid, or decrease an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the City. (e) Is a beneficiary of an inadvertent submission of a false claim to the City, subsequently discovers the falsity of the claim, and fails to disclose the false claim to the City within a reasonable time after discovery of the false claim.
20. Taxes

Payment of any taxes, including possessory interest taxes, and California sales and use taxes, levied upon this Agreement, the transaction, or the services delivered pursuant hereto, shall be the obligation of Contractor.

21. Payment Does Not Imply Acceptance of Work

The granting of any payment by City, or the receipt thereof by Contractor, shall in no way lessen the liability of Contractor to replace unsatisfactory work, the Licensed Software and hardware, although the unsatisfactory character of such work, or Licensed Software or hardware may not have been apparent or detected at the time such payment was made. Software, hardware, components, or workmanship that do not conform to the requirements of this Agreement may be rejected by City and in such case must be replaced by Contractor without delay.

22. Qualified Personnel

Work under this Agreement shall be performed only by competent personnel under the supervision of and in the employment of Contractor. Contractor will comply with City's reasonable requests regarding assignment of personnel, but all personnel, including those assigned at City's request, must be supervised by Contractor.

23. Responsibility for Equipment

City shall not be responsible for any damage to persons or property as a result of the use, misuse or failure of any equipment used by Contractor, or by any of its employees, even though such equipment be furnished, rented or loaned to Contractor by City. The acceptance or use of such equipment by Contractor or any of its employees means that Contractor accepts full responsibility for and agrees to exonerate, indemnify, defend and save harmless City from and against any and all claims for any damage or injury of any type arising from the use, misuse or failure of such equipment, whether such damage be to Contractor, its employees, City employees or third parties, or to property belonging to any of the above.

24. Independent Contractor; Payment of Taxes and Other Expenses

A. Independent Contractor. Contractor or any agent or employee of Contractor shall be deemed at all times to be an independent contractor and is wholly responsible for the manner in which it performs the services and work requested by City under this Agreement. Contractor or any agent or employee of Contractor shall not have employee status with City, nor be entitled to participate in any plans, arrangements, or distributions by City pertaining to or in connection with any retirement, health or other benefits that City may offer its employees. Contractor or any agent or employee of Contractor is liable for the acts and omissions of itself, its employees and its agents. Contractor shall be responsible for all obligations and payments, whether imposed by federal, state or local law, including, but not limited to, FICA, income tax withholdings, unemployment compensation, insurance, and other similar responsibilities related to Contractor's performing services and work, or any agent or employee of Contractor providing same. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as creating an employment or agency relationship between City and Contractor or any agent or employee of Contractor.

Any terms in this Agreement referring to direction from City shall be construed as providing for direction as to policy and the result of Contractor's work only, and not as to the means by which such a result is obtained. City does not retain the right to control the means or the method by which Contractor performs work under this Agreement.

B. Payment of Taxes and Other Expenses. Should City, in its discretion, or a relevant
both, determine that Contractor is an employee for purposes of collection of any employment taxes, the amounts payable under this Agreement shall be reduced by amounts equal to both the employee and employer portions of the tax due (and offsetting any credits for amounts already paid by Contractor which can be applied against this liability). City shall then forward those amounts to the relevant taxing authority.

Should a relevant taxing authority determine a liability for past services performed by Contractor for City, upon notification of such fact by City, Contractor shall promptly remit such amount due or arrange with City to have the amount due withheld from future payments to Contractor under this Agreement (again, offsetting any amounts already paid by Contractor which can be applied as a credit against such liability).

A determination of employment status pursuant to the preceding two paragraphs shall be solely for the purposes of the particular tax in question, and for all other purposes of this Agreement, Contractor shall not be considered an employee of City. Notwithstanding the foregoing, should any court, arbitrator, or administrative authority determine that Contractor is an employee for any other purpose, then Contractor agrees to a reduction in City's financial liability so that City's total expenses under this Agreement are not greater than they would have been had the court, arbitrator, or administrative authority determined that Contractor was not an employee.

25. Insurance

A. Within any way limiting Contractor's liability pursuant to the "Indemnification and General Liability," section of this Agreement, Contractor must maintain in force, during the full term of the Agreement, insurance in the following amounts and coverages:

1. Workers' Compensation, with Employers' Liability limits not less than $1,000,000 each accident.

2. Comprehensive or Commercial General Liability Insurance with limits not less than $1,000,000 each occurrence Combined Single Limit for Bodily Injury and Property Damage, including Contractual Liability, Personal Injury, Products and Completed Operations.

3. Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance with limits not less than $1,000,000 each occurrence Combined Single Limit for Bodily Injury and Property Damage, including Owned and Non-owned and hired auto coverage, as applicable.

B. Commercial General Liability and Business Automobile Liability Insurance policies must provide the following:

1. Name as Additional Insured the City and County of San Francisco, its Officers, Agents, and Employees.

2. That such policies are primary insurance to any other insurance available to the Additional Insureds, with respect to any claims arising out of this Agreement, and that insurance applies separately to each insured against whom claim is made or suit is brought.

C. All policies shall provide thirty (30) days advance written notice to City of cancellation, non-renewal or reduction in coverage, mailed to the following address:
San Francisco Police Department  
Legal Division  
Hall of Justice  
850 Bryant, Room 575  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Attn: Shawn Wallace or Contracts Compliance Officer

D. Should any of the required insurance be provided under a claims-made form, Contractor shall maintain such coverage continuously throughout the term of this Agreement and, without lapse, for a period of three years beyond the expiration of this Agreement, to the effect that, should occurrences during the contract term give rise to claims made after expiration of the Agreement, such claims shall be covered by such claims-made policies.

E. Should any of the required insurance be provided under a form of coverage that includes a general annual aggregate limit or provides that claims investigation or legal defense costs be included in such general annual aggregate limit, such general annual aggregate limit shall be double the occurrence or claims limits specified above.

F. Should any required insurance lapse during the term of this Agreement, requests for payments originating after such lapse shall not be processed until the City receives satisfactory evidence of reinstated coverage as required by this Agreement, effective as of the lapse date. If insurance is not reinstated, the City may, at its sole option, terminate this Agreement effective on the date of such lapse of insurance.

G. Before commencing any operations under this Agreement, Contractor shall do the following: (a) furnish to City certificates of insurance and additional insured policy endorsements with insurers with ratings comparable to A-, VII or higher, that are authorized to do business in the State of California, and that are satisfactory to City, in form evidencing all coverages set forth above, and (b) furnish complete copies of policies promptly upon City request.

H. Approval of the insurance by City shall not relieve or decrease the liability of Contractor hereunder.

26. Indemnification and General Liability

Contractor shall indemnify and save harmless City and its officers, agents and employees from, and, if requested, shall defend them against any and all loss, cost, damage, injury, liability, and claims thereof for injury to or death of a person, including employees of Contractor or loss of or damage to property, resulting directly or indirectly from Contractor’s performance of this Agreement, except to the extent that such indemnity is void or otherwise unenforceable under applicable law in effect on or validly retroactive to the date of this Agreement and except where such loss, damage, injury, liability or claim is the result of negligence or willful misconduct of City. The foregoing indemnity shall include, without limitation, reasonable fees of attorneys, consultants and experts and related costs and City’s costs of investigating any claims against City.

In addition to Contractor’s obligation to indemnify City, Contractor specifically acknowledges and agrees that it has an immediate and independent obligation to defend City from any claim which actually or potentially falls within this indemnification provision, even if the allegations are or may be groundless, false or fraudulent, which obligation arises at the time such claim is tendered to Contractor by City and continues at all times thereafter.
27. Incidental and Consequential Damages

Contractor shall be responsible for incidental and consequential damages resulting in whole or in part from Contractor's acts or omissions. Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute a waiver of limitation of any rights which City may have under applicable law.

28. Liability of City

CITY'S PAYMENT OBLIGATIONS UNDER THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE PAYMENT OF THE COMPENSATION PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION 16 OF THIS AGREEMENT. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS AGREEMENT, IN NO EVENT SHALL CITY BE LIABLE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER ANY CLAIM IS BASED ON CONTRACT OR TORT, FOR ANY SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, INDIRECT OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LOST PROFITS, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT OR THE SERVICES PERFORMED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT.

29. Nondisclosure

A. City agrees that it shall treat the Licensed Software and its associated documentation with the same degree of care as it treats like information of its own, which it does not wish to disclose to the public, from the date the Licensed Software is Accepted by City until the license is terminated as provided herein.

B. The obligations of City set forth above, however, shall not apply to the Licensed Software, or any portion thereof, which:

1. is now or hereafter becomes publicly known;
2. is disclosed to City by a third party which City has no reason to believe is not legally entitled to disclose such information;
3. is known to City prior to its receipt of the Licensed Software;
4. is subsequently developed by City independently of any disclosures made hereunder by Contractor;
5. is disclosed with Contractor's prior written consent;
6. is disclosed by Contractor to a third party without similar restrictions.

30. Proprietary or Confidential Information of City

Contractor understands and agrees that, in the performance of the work or services under this Agreement or in contemplation thereof, Contractor may have access to private or confidential information which may be owned or controlled by City and that such information may contain proprietary or confidential details, the disclosure of which to third parties may be damaging to City. Contractor agrees that all information disclosed by City to Contractor shall be held in confidence and used only in the performance of the Agreement. Contractor shall exercise the same standard of care to protect such information as a reasonably prudent Contractor would use to protect its own proprietary data.

31. Nondisclosure of Private Information

As of March 5, 2005, Contractor agrees to comply fully with and be bound by all of the provisions of Chapter 12M of the San Francisco Administrative Code (the "Nondisclosure of Private Information") including the remedies provided. The provisions of the Nondisclosure of Private Information are hereby incorporated by this reference.
Private Information Ordinance are incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this Agreement as though fully set forth. Capitalized terms used in this section and not defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Nondisclosure of Private Information Ordinance. Consistent with the requirements of the Nondisclosure of Private Information Ordinance, Contractor agrees to all of the following:

(a) Neither Contractor nor any of its Subcontractors shall disclose Private Information obtained from the City in the performance of this Agreement to any other Subcontractor, person, or other entity, unless one of the following is true:

(i) The disclosure is authorized by this Agreement;

(ii) The Contractor received advance written approval from the Contracting Department to disclose the information; or

(iii) The disclosure is required by law or judicial order.

(b) Any disclosure or use of Private Information authorized by this Agreement shall be in accordance with any conditions or restrictions stated in this Agreement. Any disclosure or use of Private Information authorized by a Contracting Department shall be in accordance with any conditions or restrictions stated in the approval.

(c) Private Information shall mean any information that: (1) could be used to identify an individual, including without limitation, name, address, social security number, medical information, financial information, date and location of birth, and names of relatives; or (2) the law forbids any person from disclosing.

(d) Any failure of Contractor to comply with the Nondisclosure of Private Information Ordinance shall be a material breach of this Agreement. In such an event, in addition to any other remedies available to it under equity or law, the City may terminate this Agreement, debar Contractor, or bring a false claim action against Contractor.

32. Dispute Resolution

Contractor and City will attempt to settle any claim or controversy arising from this Agreement (except for a claim relating to intellectual property) through consultation and negotiation in good faith and a spirit of mutual cooperation. The dispute will be escalated to appropriate higher-level managers of the parties, if necessary. If cooperative efforts fail, the dispute will be mediated by a mediator chosen jointly by Contractor and City within thirty (30) days after notice by one of the parties demanding non-binding mediation. Contractor and City will not unreasonably withhold consent to the selection of a mediator, and they will share the cost of the mediation equally, provided, however, City's share of the cost shall not exceed 5 hours of mediation.

33. Termination

A. Basis for Termination by Contractor. Contractor shall have the right to terminate this Agreement if City is delinquent in making payments of any sum due under this Agreement and continues to be delinquent for a period of ninety (90) days after the last day payment is due; provided, however, that written notice is given to City by Contractor of the expiration date of the ninety (90) day delinquency period at least ten (10) days prior to the expiration date or, to terminate this Agreement if City commits
any other breach of this Agreement and fails to remedy such breach within thirty (30) days after receipt of written notice by Contractor of such breach.

B. Basis for Termination by City. City shall have the right, without further obligation or liability to Contractor (except as specified in Sections 29 (Nondisclosure) and 33(C) (Disposition of Licensed Software on Termination) hereof): (i) to immediately terminate this Agreement or the applicable Authorization Document if Contractor commits any breach of this Agreement and fails to remedy such breach within thirty (30) days after written notice by City of such breach, in which event, Contractor shall reimburse City in the same manner as for the removal of the Licensed Software due to infringement under Section 15; or (ii) to terminate this Agreement or the applicable Authorization Document upon ninety (90) days prior written notice for any reason if the license granted hereunder is for any term other than perpetual. In the event the license granted is perpetual, termination of this Agreement or the applicable Authorization Document by City shall be effective upon receipt by Contractor of written notice of said termination.

C. Disposition of Licensed Software on Termination. Upon the expiration or termination of this Agreement or an applicable Authorization Document for any reason other than as provided for in Section 5(A) (Grant of License), City shall immediately: (i) return the Licensed Software to Contractor together with all Documentation; (ii) purge all copies of the Licensed Software or any portion thereof from all CPU’s and from any computer storage medium or device on which City has placed or permitted others to place the Licensed Software; and (iii) give Contractor written certification that through its best efforts and to the best of its knowledge, City has complied with all of its obligations under Section 33(C).

D. Survival. This section and the following sections of this Agreement shall survive termination of expiration of this Agreement: 15, 19-31, 33, and 43-48.

34. Notice to the Parties

Unless otherwise indicated elsewhere in this Agreement, all written communications sent by the parties may be by U.S. mail, e-mail or fax, and shall be addressed as follows:

To City: San Francisco Police Department
Hall of Justice
850 Bryant, Room 500
San Francisco, CA 94103
Attn: Anita Sevilla or SFPD I.T. Manager
Fax: (415) 553-1104
Email: Anita.Sevilla@sfgov.org

To Contractor: Level II, Inc.
11033 NE 24th Street, #100
Bellevue, WA 98004-2971
Attn: Steve Wilkins, Principal Representative, or Fred Allen, President
Fax: (425) 454-3175
Email: Steve Wilkins, swilkins@leveII.com, Fred Allen, fallen@levelII.com

Either party may change the address to which notice is to be sent by giving written notice thereof to the other party.

If e-mail notification is used, the sender must specify a receipt notice.
Any notice of default must be sent by registered mail.

35. **Bankruptcy**

In the event that either party shall cease conducting business in the normal course, become insolvent; make a general assignment for the benefit of creditors, suffer or permit the appointment of a receiver for its business or assets or shall avail itself of, or become subject to, any proceeding under the Federal Bankruptcy Act or any other statute of any state relating to insolvency or the protection of rights of creditors, then at the option of the other party this Agreement shall terminate and be of no further force and effect.

36. **Subcontracting**

Contractor is prohibited from subcontracting this Agreement or any part of it unless such subcontracting is first approved by City in writing. Neither party shall, on the basis of this Agreement, contract on behalf of or in the name of the other party. An agreement made in violation of this provision shall confer no rights on any party and shall be null and void.

37. **Assignment**

The services to be performed by Contractor are personal in character and neither this Agreement nor any duties or obligations hereunder may be assigned or delegated by the Contractor unless first approved by City by written instrument executed and approved in the same manner as this Agreement.

38. **Compliance with Americans with Disabilities Act**

Contractor acknowledges that, pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), programs, services and other activities provided by a public entity to the public, whether directly or through a contractor, must be accessible to the disabled public. Contractor shall provide the services specified in this Agreement in a manner that complies with the ADA and any and all other applicable federal, state and local disability rights legislation. Contractor agrees not to discriminate against disabled persons in the provision of services, benefits or activities provided under this Agreement and further agrees that any violation of this prohibition on the part of Contractor, its employees, agents or assigns will constitute a material breach of this Agreement.

39. **Sunshine Ordinance**

In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.24(e), contracts, contractors' bids, responses to requests for proposals and all other records of communications between City and persons or firms seeking contracts, shall be open to inspection immediately after a contract has been awarded. Nothing in this provision requires the disclosure of a private person or organization's net worth or other proprietary financial data submitted for qualification for a contract or other benefit until and unless that person or organization is awarded the contract or benefit. Information provided which is covered by this paragraph will be made available to the public upon request.

40. **Limitations on Contributions**

Through execution of this Agreement, Contractor acknowledges that it is familiar with section 1.126 of the City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, which prohibits any person who contracts with the City for the rendition of personal services or for the furnishing of any material, supplies or equipment to the City, whenever such transaction would require approval by a City elective officer or the board on which that City elective officer serves, from making any campaign contribution to the officer at any time from the commencement of negotiations of the contract until the later of either (1) the termination of negotiations for such contract or (2) three months after the date the contract is signed or another person is appointed to do the work for which that City elective officer serves.
41. Conflict of Interest

Through its execution of this Agreement, Contractor acknowledges that it is familiar with the provision of Section 15.103 of the City’s Charter, Article III, Chapter 2 of the City’s Campaign and Governmental Code, and Section 87100 et seq. and Section 1090 et seq. of the Government Code of the State of California, and certifies that it does not know of any facts which constitutes a violation of said provisions and agrees that it will immediately notify City if it becomes aware of any such fact during the term of this Agreement.

42. Non-Waiver of Rights

The omission by either party at any time to enforce any default or right reserved to it, or to require performance of any of the terms, covenants, or provisions hereof by the other party at the time designated, shall not be a waiver of any such default or right to which the party is entitled, nor shall it in any way affect the right of the party to enforce such provisions thereafter.

43. Modification of Agreement

This Agreement may not be modified, nor may compliance with any of its terms be waived, except by written instrument executed and approved in the same manner as this Agreement.

44. Administrative Remedy for Agreement Interpretation

Should any question arise as to the meaning and intent of this Agreement, the question shall, prior to any other action or resort to any other legal remedy, be referred to Purchasing who shall decide the true meaning and intent of the Agreement.

45. Agreement Made in California; Venue

The formation, interpretation and performance of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. Venue for all litigation relative to the formation, interpretation and performance of this Agreement shall be in San Francisco.

46. Construction

All paragraph captions are for reference only and shall not be considered in construing this Agreement.

47. Entire Agreement

This contract sets forth the entire Agreement between the parties, and supersedes all other oral or written provisions. If any provision of this Agreement is held to be unenforceable, this Agreement shall be construed without such provision.

48. Compliance with Laws

Contractor shall keep itself fully informed of the City’s Charter, codes, ordinances and regulations of the City and of all state, and federal laws in any manner affecting the performance of this Agreement, and must at all times comply with such local codes, ordinances, and regulations and all applicable laws.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement on the day first mentioned above.

CITY

Recommended by:

[Signature]
Heather J. Hong
Chief of Police

Heather Hong, Chief of Police

Approved as to Form:

[Signature]
DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

By

[Signature]
NICOLE RHEE CHAMPAN
Deputy City Attorney

Approved:

[Signature]
NAOMI LITTLE
Director of Office of Contract Administration/Purchaser

CONTRACTOR

Level II, Inc.,
Name

41412
City Vendor Number

11033 NE 24th Street, #100
Address

Bellevue, WA 98004-2971
City, State, ZIP

By

[Signature]
Fred Allen, President
Name, Title

(425) 454-3175
Phone Number

94-2608579
Federal Employer ID Number
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1.0 **PROJECT SCOPE**

The scope of Level II, Inc. responsibilities under this SOW to SFPD is:

1. Provide application, third party products and hardware installation of Level II’s MAGUS and Journal software and Stratus 3300 Server for the SFPD’s Message Switch Project.

2. Provide software and hardware installation and configuration services for the MAGUS and Journal Application, third party products and Stratus 3300 Server for the Message Switch Project.

3. Provide Project Implementation Services and Project Management to assist SFPD with installation, configuration, and documentation on the MAGUS and Journal Software, Stratus 3300 Server and third party products, and training, installation, configuration, and documentation on the MAGUS and Journal Software, Stratus 3300 Server.

4. Provide instruction and support for the SFPD’s Journal Data Conversion efforts for the Message Switch Project as specified in this SOW and this Agreement.

5. Provide agreed upon tailoring for the Message Switch Project that have design approvals in writing by SFPD as specified in the SOW and this Agreement.

The services to be performed by Level II Inc. are further detailed in this Agreement and a preliminary Project Schedule referenced in Appendix C, “Implementation Plan, (Critical) Milestones and Preliminary Project Schedule”. In addition, the project specific responsibilities of the SFPD are also defined in this SOW.
1.1 Key Assumptions

This SOW and Level II's costs to perform the SOW are based on the following key assumptions:

1. As part of its evaluation of a number of software vendors as a result of a competitive bid process, SFPD has analyzed the Level II Standard Software detailed in this SOW and related Appendices and has determined that it meets the functional and business requirements for the Message Switch Project. SFPD has requested Level II to provide the software, hardware, and services described in this SOW as well as the other Appendices that are part of the Agreement.

2. The intent of the SOW and the project implementation is to use the Standard MAGUS and Journal software. A "Best Practices" approach to software reviews will be conducted in the project plan to enhance the configuration and implementation of the Standard MAGUS and Journal Software. Based on the budget included for tailoring or enhancements defined in Appendix D, "Project Cost and Payment Schedule", any tailoring or enhancement requirements for the Standard MAGUS or Journal Software will be defined and documented as part of the best practices review and consultation phase of the project plan. The delivery of any tailoring or enhancements for the Message Switch Project will occur as agreed upon in a design approval document that has been approved and signed off on by the Message Switch Project Managers respectively.

3. Work will be performed at SFPD and Level II's project offices and will be performed during normal business hours unless mutually agreed upon.

Any changes to these assumptions may impact the cost and/or schedule of this project and will be managed through the project Change Control Procedure described in Section 5.0.
5.2 Project Organization

The success of the Message Switch Project is predicated upon the coordinated efforts of Level II and SFPD. See the project organizational chart below.
2.0 PROJECT ACTIVITIES

The project responsibilities are as follows:

2.1 Manage the Project

Objective: The objective of this task is to establish and maintain a framework for the execution of the project's management oversight, communication, reporting, procedural and contractual activities.

Level II Responsibilities: Level II will provide a project manager who will manage Level II responsibilities under this SOW. The Level II Project Manager will:

1. Meet with the SFPD Project Manager on a bi-weekly basis to evaluate and report project status and progress against established project work plans and schedules. These meetings may be in person or via conference call.

2. Meet with the SFPD Project Manager to discuss this SOW and if appropriate, the Change Control Procedure found in “Section 5.0, Change Control Procedure”.

3. Attend major meetings with the SFPD’s Senior Management for the Message Switch Project in person or via conference call.

4. Monitor and track the progress of the Level II responsibilities in this SOW.

5. Report any discrepancies to the SFPD Project Manager in a timely manner.

6. As appropriate, administer the Change Control Process and the overall Agreement with the SFPD Project Manager.

7. Deliver a written status report to the SFPD Project Manager as required.

8. Resolve deviations from the SFPD Message Switch Project plans which may be caused by Level II.

SFPD Responsibilities: SFPD will provide a Project Manager who will manage SFPD responsibilities under this SOW. The SFPD Project Manager will:

1. Meet with the Level II Project Manager on a bi-weekly basis to evaluate and report project status. These meetings may be in person or via conference call.

2. Meet with the Level II Project Manager to discuss this SOW and if appropriate, the Change Control Procedure found in “Section 5.0, Change Control Procedure.”
3. Review the responsibilities of all parties.

4. Work with the Level II Project Manager to evaluate project progress for Level II responsibilities against established project work plans and schedules on a bi-weekly basis.

5. Attend status meetings with Level II and the appropriate Senior Management at SFPD for the Message Switch Project. These meetings may be in person or via conference call.

6. Monitor and track the progress of the Level II responsibilities in this SOW. Report any discrepancies to the Level II Project Manager in a timely manner.

7. Ensure that SFPD responsibilities will not affect Level II's ability to meet their responsibilities:
   a. Obtain and provide information, data, decisions and written approvals, per the approved project schedule upon Level II's request, unless the SFPD Project Manager and Level II Project Manager agree to an extended time to respond.
   b. Resolve deviations from project plans, which may be caused by SFPD.
   c. Coordinate resources from SFPD.
   d. Disseminate project training schedules.
   e. Ensure that personnel whose subject matter expertise is critical to the completion of the project are made available to Level II as required. The personnel required will be identified early on during the project planning phase.
   f. Provide suitable office space, office supplies, furniture and telephone, for the Level II team and/or Level II Project Manager while working on SFPD premises.
   g. Provide all necessary reproduction services required in supporting the Level II personnel while working at SFPD.
   h. Provide to Level II necessary machine time, related services, and supplies required for support of the project tasks in this SOW.
   i. Provide the proper disposal of all refuse/packing materials.
   j. Provide adequate training facilities, space for up to 12 students plus instructor, and the required equipment.
   k. Security:
      i. Be responsible for the actual content of any data, selection and implementation of controls on its access and use, and security of the stored data.
2. Be responsible for loss, damage or corruption of existing end-user data files and documents.

3. With Level II assistance, provide for adequate end-user file back-up procedures as part of the installation of the application software, and for supplying all back-up media (i.e., diskette or tape) required for existing and new programs and data.

4. Responsible for the establishment of procedures to provide physical site security for the hardware and software systems and their elements. This security would include, as appropriate, protection from losses occasioned by natural threats, forced entry, acts of violence, and internal sabotage.
2.2 Participate in Project Planning Session(s)

Objective: Following Agreement execution, the objective of this task is to develop a mutually agreed upon detailed implementation plan and schedule for the Message Switch Project and provide names of personnel who will be conducting work and have responsibilities on the Message Switch Project.

Level II Responsibilities: Level II will perform the following sub-tasks:

1. Participate in implementation planning session(s) with the SFPD to validate the implementation strategy and finalize the overall implementation schedule.

2. Will provide a complete and detailed Work Breakdown Structure and confirm the order of implementation for projects tasks and implementation.

3. Develop a detailed Training Plan for the Message Switch Project.

4. Finalize an Implementation Project Plan and schedule, with SFPD approval, for the Message Switch Project.

SFPD Responsibilities: The SFPD Project Manager will be responsible for the attendance of key personnel from SFPD at these meetings.
2.3 Procure and Install the Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party products)

Objective: Procure and install the Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party products) for the Message Switch Project.

Level II Responsibilities:

1. Procure and install the Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party products) as listed in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party products)” of the Agreement.

2. In cooperation with SFPD, will check the SFPD facility to make sure it is adequate for the installation of the hardware platform. This includes proper power, ventilation, phone access, adequate UPS (uninterruptible power supply), Telco lines, physical network lines, and proper space and/or rack space for the hardware.

3. Will not install the hardware or software until the SFPD facility meets the required installation specifications.

4. Will recommend backup schemes to SFPD and with approval configure the backup facility software for both full and incremental backups of the Message Switch and Journal systems.

5. Will assist SFPD with the CLETS Upgrade Application and Mnemonic Pooling Application and Addendum.

6. Will, if SFPD is unable to obtain a test CLETS line, work with SFPD to schedule a time to connect the MAGUS Message Switch on the production CLETS line for CLETS connectivity testing.

7. Will conduct SFPD Message Switch system CLETS connectivity tests and obtain Message Switch TCP/IP certification from DOJ.

8. Will work with SFPD to identify and document network connectivity issues and assist SFPD with the connection of the SFPD Message Switch to the existing network, servers and applications.

9. Will be responsible for establishing and documenting both of the distinct device type connections (1 - application systems that connect directly to the MAGUS Message Switch and 2 — directly connected workstations).

10. Will participate in engineering meetings and testing with SFPD associated with the implementation of a Citrix network solution and integration of the MAGUS Message Switch and Journal software.
11. Will participate in engineering and planning meetings with SFPD and New World Systems for integration between the MAGUS Message Switch and Journal software with the New World Systems RMS.

12. Will provide an engineering study on MDT client software to access MDTs and Motorola RNC if the environment isn't sufficient for use of the Level II MAGUS Message Switch. The engineering study shall contain recommendations for connectivity options.

13. Will technically assist SFPD how to secure SFPD networks.

14. Will help SFPD ensure the SFPD Message Switch's encryption schemes are appropriate to each connected device and application.

15. Will be on site to assist the SFPD in cutting production operation over from the legacy message switch to MAGUS Message Switch.

16. Will provide written instructions to SFPD for the conversion and migration of legacy devices to the new Message Switch (instructions shall include de-installation steps of the old legacy devices).

17. Configure and test the MAGUS, Journal and third party software on the Stratus Server and Clients. This includes providing the necessary Windows 95 and/or Internet Explorer 5.5 software patch so SFPD clients can securely connect to the Message Switch.

18. Will configure and test the Journal capture, storage, retrieval and reporting for both CLETS data traffic and the data traffic on the other installed interfaces.

19. Will test locally (on site) the new Message Switch’s system internal and external interfaces, all screens/masks, hardware, and client's connectivity to the network and other servers.

20. Provide five interfaces to be determined during the project planning and implementation phases.

21. Provide training to the appropriate System Administrator(s), train the trainer, and other training as provided for in Section 2.5.

22. Provide Motorola RNC interface and MDT client configuration and testing applications to the client MDT's.

23. Will, if necessary, create and install custom client software for the MDT clients so the MDT clients can access CAD, RMS and Message Switch systems.

24. Provide written and electronic documentation and drawings for the Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party products, as available) listed in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” of the Agreement.
SFPD Responsibilities:

1. Provide the appropriate physical environment (space, network, cabling, and power, etc.) necessary to implement the Stratus Server and other related hardware installation.

2. Will request a CLETS test line from DOJ for initial Message Switch connectivity and TCP/IP certification.

3. Provide a phone line or appropriate connection for Level II's and Stratus' Customer Support.

4. Ensure that each interfacing application platform has a physical connection to the MAGUS message switch.
2.4 Participate in Software/Hardware Acceptance Testing

Objective: Conduct Acceptance Tests on Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party products) and interfaces according to Appendix E, “Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance.”

Level II Responsibilities:

1. Will locally test each application to ensure and demonstrate that the installation satisfies SFPD’s fundamental requirements.

2. Will configure the system for SFPD purposes. The configuration entails adding the connections for all external devices, adding Users and authentication, and adding transaction authorization. Level II will then demonstrate to SFPD the efficacy of the configuration.

3. Will locally and individually test all newly added MAGUS connections to demonstrate their ability to exchange messages with their external systems, as required. Test periods will be coordinated with the Administrator of the external systems so they can schedule any downtime, if needed, with the Users of the system.

4. Will after successfully completed local testing, conduct acceptance testing with SFPD personnel to demonstrate that the new Message Switch is ready to enter production operation.

5. Participate in the design of the Acceptance Test Plan (ATP).

6. Will be on site during execution of the ATP.

7. Support and conduct, with SFPD, the ATP.

SFPD Responsibilities:

1. Participate in the design of the ATP.

2. Provide subject matter experts “SME” and other representatives to participate with Level II and the ATP.

3. If ATP is successfully completed, will sign off as complete the ATP.

Note: The Acceptance Test criteria are detailed in Appendix E, “Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing, and Final Acceptance,” to this Agreement.
2.5 Provide User Training and Implementation Assistance

Objective: The objective of this task is to provide user training and implementation assistance for Level II's Software and Stratus Server Hardware used in the Message Switch Project.

Level II Responsibilities: Level II will provide the following on-site training and implementation as listed below. The training will be for System Administrators and for a selected group of End Users (train the trainer).

1. Three MAGUS Client Work Station Install and End User Training Courses.
2. One MAGUS Administration and Operation Training Course.
3. One Journal Administrator and End User Training Course.
5. Coordinate Stratus ftServer 3300/5600 Education Package:
   a. ftServer 3300/5600 Hardware Overview
   b. ftServer System Administration
   c. ftServer Disk Management
   d. ActiveService TM Manager (ASM)-User Interface

Level II will work with the SFPD Project Manager to provide implementation assistance and schedule training sessions.

SFPD Responsibilities:

1. Provide availability of the assigned SFPD employees who need to be trained on the Level II and Stratus products and applications.

2. Provide qualified personnel with sufficient back up staff to be trained to use the Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party Products) and how to interpret the output.

3. Provide training facilities and the required equipment.

Completion Criteria: This task will be complete when the training sessions have been completed.
3.0 PROJECT MILESTONE COMPLETION CRITERIA

Level II shall have fulfilled its obligations under this SOW for the Message Switch Project when the following occurs (subject to change through the Change Control Procedure in Section 5.0):

MILESTONES FOR THE MESSAGE SWITCH PROJECT

1. Complete the Project Kickoff Meeting with SFPD Senior Management and the Message Switch Project Team.

2. Complete System Assurance and installation of Hardware (Servers) and MĀGUS, Journal and third party Products listed in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including third party Products)”.

3. Complete an Implementation Planning Session to provide the necessary input and priorities for a detailed Project Plan.

4. Complete and delivery a detailed Project Plan for the Message Switch Project.

5. Complete a Business Process Review of MĀGUS and Journal Software and associated interfaces with SFPD Management to include a written design sign off on any tailoring or enhancements including the Journal Data Conversions.

6. Install and test the Journal Data Conversions approved in Milestone 5.

7. Install and test the approved tailoring or enhancements that were approved in Milestone 5.


4.0 PRELIMINARY SCHEDULE

It is anticipated that the Message Switch Project will commence upon acceptance of a signed Agreement between Level II and SFPD and will conclude approximately ten (10) months later. The Message Switch Project plans are at a summary level and track the project milestones discussed in Section 3.0. The implementation plan and preliminary project schedule are expected to be replaced by a detailed Implementation Plan and Project Schedule and mutually agreed upon by the Level II Project Manager and the SFPD Project Manager. The detailed plan and schedule will be completed within 60 days of Agreement execution or some other date agreed upon by the Project Managers.
5.0 Change Control Procedure

Subject to terms and conditions defined elsewhere in the Agreement, changes to the SOW may be requested at any time by either party. Since a change could affect the cost, scope or schedule, or other terms of the SOW or the Agreement, both the Level II and SFPD Project Managers must approve each change before amending the SOW and implementing the change. Increases in cost of the Message Switch Project will require a written modification to the Agreement, signed and approved in the same manner as the Agreement.

The following procedure will be used by Level II and SFPD to control changes to the SOW and changes to any previously approved deliverables.

- All Project Change Requests (PCR) will be submitted in writing. They will describe the change and include whatever rationale and/or estimated effect the change will have on the SOW.

- The Level II and SFPD Project Manager(s), as appropriate, will review the proposed change. It is then accepted or rejected for submission to the other party. If rejected, the PCR is returned to the originator, along with the reason for the rejection.

- The Level II and SFPD Project Manager(s) will weigh the merits of the proposed change and approve it for investigation or reject it. Approval of a PCR for investigation by both parties constitutes authorization by SFPD of the amount proposed by SFPD/Level II to investigate the PCR. Appropriate staff work will take place at this time. Effect on the price, estimated schedule, or other terms of the Agreement and this SOW will be determined. If the change is approved it will be scheduled for implementation. If the change is disapproved the PCR will be returned to the originator, along with the reason for the rejection.

Approved changes will be incorporated into the SOW through written change authorizations (change orders), as appropriate.
Appendix B – Licensed Software and Hardware (including 3rd Party Products)

Level II, Inc. Application Software Licenses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS Switching System Software License (1000)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Historical Archival/Retrieval Software License (1 Client)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Interface (1 Client)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Statistical Reporting Module</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratus Configured System:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Stratus Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3103R-2D</td>
<td>ftServer 3900 2-way rack, DMU, 3.05 GHz CPU, 512 KB L2 Cache, includes integrated 10/100 &amp; 10/100/1000 Ethernet pair, ultra160 controller pair for up to 6 internal disk drives, 2 external SCSI tape connections; two USB, two serial, and one VESA port, includes CD-ROM.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0409-EN</td>
<td>Microsoft Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition license and media (English), includes 25 CALs, 1-2 CPUs, factory installed (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0418-EN</td>
<td>English ftServer System Software 3.x, MS Service Pack (W2K3), Stratusos CD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0426</td>
<td>Rapid Disk Re-synchronous (RDR) Facility</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M229</td>
<td>ftServer 1GB DDR DIMM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK533</td>
<td>USB Floppy Disk Drive Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D533</td>
<td>ftServer 18 GB 3.5 15,000 RPM Ultra160 Disk Drive</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D535</td>
<td>ftServer 146GB 3.5 10,000 RPM Ultra160 Disk Drive</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T521</td>
<td>Single Drive, 3U Rack Mount SDLT600 Tape Drive</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK403</td>
<td>Fault-Isolation ASN Attach Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V129</td>
<td>15 inch Rack Mount LCD with Keyboard Drawer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B50156</td>
<td>Pair of External PDU Power Cables, US IEC-C19 to NEMA L6-30P, 250 VAC, 30 AMP, 15 ft.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B50502</td>
<td>(Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP41104</td>
<td>Pair of Stratus 18 AMP 8 Outlet Power Distribution Units (220V Only)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK435</td>
<td>4U Sonic High-voltage Special Label (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK436</td>
<td>High-Leakage Label (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK445</td>
<td>ftServer-family 4U Rack Bezel with labels (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1200W</td>
<td>Stratus ftServer 3300 Series: System Documentation Set (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R331</td>
<td>Stratus Technologies ftServer End User License and Hardware Warranty Agreements (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9583</td>
<td>ftServer I/O console filler panels that are required to cover space when ftServer PCI slots are not filled with optional PCI adapters. (Not sold separate from an ftServer.)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1068</td>
<td>Stratus 4U rack packaging (Price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratus ftServer a la Carte Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Stratus Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E135-P</td>
<td>ftServer 5240/6500 38U Cabinet with Packaging</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M333-P</td>
<td>ftServer 5240/6500 38U Cabinet Front Door</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK454-P</td>
<td>ftServer 6500 38U Rear Door</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX80-P</td>
<td>ftServer Cabinet Cable Management Rings Assembly Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX81-P</td>
<td>ftServer Cabinet Mounting Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratus Installation, Education and Managed Services:

-236-
## Appendix B -- Licensed Software and Hardware (including 3rd Party Products)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Status Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSIN0017</td>
<td>Install ftServer 3300 in Rack</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIN0028</td>
<td>JumpStart System Installation: JumpStart Installation includes staging and integration, hardware module installation and setup, network configuration and testing, a written site survey, internal data disks set up. Veritas software is installed (if appropriate) and 4-8 hours of on-site training is conducted with a comprehensive leave behind reference guide. Installation fees cover work performed during local business hours, Monday through Friday. Installations performed outside of normal business hours, or at sites outside the Status service radius (100 miles, 160 kilometers), may be subject to additional charges.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDU5000</td>
<td>The ftServer 3300/5600 Education Package consists of four training courses, which will provide a system administrator the tools necessary to effectively manage their ftServer system. The ftServer 3300/5600 Education Package includes: (1) ftServer 3300/5600 Hardware Overview - CB5100 (normal list price $925); (2) ftServer System Administration - CB5003 (normal list price $625); (3) ftServer Disk Management - CB5001 (normal list price $825); (4) ActiveServiceTM Manager (ASM) User Interface - CB0966 (normal list price $25); and (5) 25% discount coupon for future stand-up training.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSMS0015-10</td>
<td>Availability SmartPack and SmartPack Plus Service Activation Fee (Per ftServer System).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Third Party Software:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>228-01079</td>
<td>Microsoft SQL Server 2000 Standard Edition 1-Processor Unlimited Clients (Windows Server 2003 Operating System requires SQL Server 2000 Service Pack 3 or later to be applied)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C80-00053</td>
<td>Microsoft Windows 2000/2003 Server Internet Connector Unlimited Clients</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E130258</td>
<td>Veritas Backup Exec 10.0 Windows Servers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E131298</td>
<td>Veritas Backup Exec 10.0 Windows SQL Server Agent Client-License</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-TRP-E-WX-00</td>
<td>Crystal Reports XI Professional Full Product with Software Updates (English)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Preliminary Project Schedule

### Project Development Phase

| Task Name | Start | Finish | Pred | R | 2005 Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | 2006 Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
|-----------|-------|--------|------|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Develop Protocols, Scripts & Business Rules | 6/21/05 | 7/18/05 | 26 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34 | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 1's TCP Protocol & UI scripts | 6/27/05 | 6/27/05 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 2's TCP Protocol & UI scripts | 6/30/05 | 6/30/05 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 3's TCP Protocol & UI scripts | 7/1/05 | 6/17/05 | 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 4's TCP Protocol & UI scripts | 7/23/05 | 6/23/05 | 82 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 5's TCP Protocol & UI scripts | 8/20/05 | 7/14/05 | 93 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop RS2320 TCP Protocol & UI scripts, if needed | 7/6/05 | 7/6/05 | 54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 6's business rules & data screens | 6/27/05 | 6/27/05 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 7's business rules & data screens | 6/30/05 | 6/30/05 | 58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 8's business rules & data screens | 6/6/05 | 6/6/05 | 57 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 9's business rules & data screens | 6/4/05 | 6/4/05 | 58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Ed, System 10's business rules & data screens | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Single data entry for CLETS & RMS records | 6/27/05 | 6/27/05 | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop 20/30 Client for RNC3000 network | 8/20/05 | 7/14/05 | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop Reports | 6/17/05 | 7/4/05 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Implementation & Acceptance Phase | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| System Hardware and Software Ordering & Setup | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| On-Site In-House development (LTT & SPFD) | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility Preparation (SPFD) | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Order computers, other hardware and 3rd party software (LTT) | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | 20,23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Computer building and delivery period | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | 69 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hardware equipment and 3rd party software delivered | 6/24/05 | 6/24/05 | 70,71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PDServer Hardware Installed on-site (Strata) | 6/1/05 | 6/1/05 | 71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Need CLETS test line with SPFD | 6/1/05 | 6/1/05 | 72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSISolution Software Installs on-site (LTT & SPFD) | 6/1/05 | 6/1/05 | 73,47 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Configure SPFD devices and users into system (SPFD & LTT) | 7/1/05 | 7/1/05 | 74,74 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfer data from Current Journal to New Journal | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Testing of MSISJournal (LTT) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test CLETS Interface with CLETS test line (LTT & SPFD) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 1's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 2's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 3's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 4's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 5's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 6's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 7's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 8's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 9's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Ed. System 10's Interface | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Full system functional testing | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| On-site Functional-Component Test (SPFD & LTT) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 77,77 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| On-site Performance/Full System Test (SPFD & LTT) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 77 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| On-site Training-The Trainer MSISolution training (LTT & SPFD) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| System configuration (LTT & SPFD) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLETS converted to new MSIS system | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSISolution Production Use (LTT & SPFD) | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LIT runs for System Administration assistance | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 day acceptance test | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Warranty Plans | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 79 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 year warranty period | 8/2/05 | 8/2/05 | 79 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
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### Level II, Inc. Application Software Licenses Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS Switching System Software License (1000)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$210,170.00</td>
<td>$210,170.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Historical Archival/Retrieval Software License (1 Client)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$277,00.00</td>
<td>no charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Journal Interface (1 Client)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>no charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Statistical Reporting Module</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$23,670.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Third Party Software Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22B-01079</td>
<td>Microsoft SQL Server 2000 Standard Edition 1-Processor Unlimited Clients</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4,999.00</td>
<td>$9,998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C80-00053</td>
<td>Microsoft Windows 2000/2003 Server Internet Connector Unlimited Clients</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,999.00</td>
<td>$1,999.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E130258</td>
<td>Veritas Backup Exec 10.0 Windows Servers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$795.00</td>
<td>$795.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E131298</td>
<td>Veritas Backup Exec 10.0 Windows SQL Server Agent Client License</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$995.00</td>
<td>$995.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-1RF-E-WX-00</td>
<td>Crystal Reports XI Professional Full Product with Software Updates (English)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$714.00</td>
<td>$714.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$14,501.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Stratus Configured System Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Stratus Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3103R-2D</td>
<td>fsServer 3200 2-way rack, DM1, 3.05 GHz CPU, 512 KB IL2 Cache, includes integrated 10/100 &amp; 10/100/1000 ethernet pair, ultra I/O controller pair for up to 16 internal disk drives, 2 external SCSI tape connections, two USB, two serial, and one VGA port, includes CD-ROM.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$22,669.00</td>
<td>$22,669.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0409-EN</td>
<td>Microsoft Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition license and media (English), includes 25 CALs, 1-2 CPUs, factory installed (Prices Included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0418-EN</td>
<td>English fsServer System Software 3.x; MS Service Pack (V2K3), Stratosoc OD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,999.00</td>
<td>$1,999.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0425</td>
<td>Rapid Disk Re-synchronous (RDR) Facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$899.00</td>
<td>$899.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V223</td>
<td>fsServer 1GB DDR DIMM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$579.00</td>
<td>$2,316.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V438</td>
<td>USB Floppy Disk Drive Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$109.00</td>
<td>$109.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3533</td>
<td>fsServer 18 GB, 3.5 15,000 RPM Ultra160 Disk Drive</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$395.00</td>
<td>$790.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3535</td>
<td>fsServer 144GB 3.5 10,000 RPM Ultra160 Disk Drive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$669.00</td>
<td>$2,676.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524</td>
<td>Single Drive, 3U Rack Mount SOL7600 Tape Drive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,995.00</td>
<td>$7,995.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V403</td>
<td>Fault-Tolerant ASN Attach Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1728</td>
<td>15 inch Rack Mount LCD with Keyboard Drawer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,749.00</td>
<td>$1,749.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150159</td>
<td>Pair of External PDU Power Cables, US IEC-C19 to NEMA L6-30P, 250 VAC, 30 AMP, 15 ft. (price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150502</td>
<td>Pair of External PDU Power Cables, US IEC-C19 to NEMA L6-30P, 250 VAC, 30 AMP, 15 ft. (price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4P1104</td>
<td>Pair of Stratus 16 AMP 8 Outlet Power Distribution Units (220V Only)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$678.00</td>
<td>$678.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V435</td>
<td>4U Sonic High-voltage Special Label (price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V438</td>
<td>High-Leakage Label (price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V445</td>
<td>fsServer-family 4U Rack Bezel with labels (Price Included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1200W</td>
<td>Stratus fsServer 3300 Series: System Documentation Set (Price Included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1531</td>
<td>Stratus Technologies fsServer End User License and Hardware Warranty Agreements (Price Included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9583</td>
<td>fsServer IO console filler panels that are required to cover space when fsServer PCI slots are not filled with optional PCI adapters. [Not sold separate from an fsServer.]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1088</td>
<td>Stratus 4U rack packaging (price included in base model)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$44,198.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Note: The total costs are calculated based on the quantities and unit costs provided.*
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#### Stratus ftServer a la Carte Items Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Stratus Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E138-P</td>
<td>ftServer 5240/6500 38U Cabinet with Packaging</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,745.00</td>
<td>$2,745.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3331-P</td>
<td>ftServer 5240/6500 38U Cabinet Front Door</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$425.00</td>
<td>$425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK454-P</td>
<td>ftServer 6500 38U Rear Door</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$590.00</td>
<td>$590.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX80-P</td>
<td>ftServer Cabinet Cable Management Rings Assembly Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX81-P</td>
<td>ftServer Cabinet Emplacing Kit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal: $4,045.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Stratus Installation, Education and Managed Services Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>Stratus Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSIN0817</td>
<td>Install ftServer 3300 in Rack</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIN0028</td>
<td>JumpStart System Installation; JumpStart Installation includes staging and integration, hardware module installation and setup, network configuration and testing, a written site survey, internal data disks set-up, Veritas software is installed (if appropriate) and 4-8 hours of on-site training is conducted with a comprehensive leave behind reference guide. Installation fees cover work performed during local business hours, Monday through Friday. Installations performed outside of normal business hours, or at sites outside the Stratus service radius (100 miles, 160 kilometers), may be subject to additional charges.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,996.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDU5000</td>
<td>The ftServer 3300/5600 Education Package consists of four training courses, which will provide a system administrator the tools necessary to effectively manage their ftServer system. The ftServer 3300/5600 Education Package includes: (1) ftServer 3300/5600 Hardware Overview - CB5100 (normal list price $525); (2) ftServer System Administration - CB5000 (normal list price $525); (3) ftServer Disk Management - CB5001 (normal list price $525); (4) ActiveServiceTM Manager (ASM) User Interface - CB0095 (normal list price $25); and (5) 25% discount coupon for future stand-up training.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS0015-10</td>
<td>Availability SmartPack and SmartPack Plus Service Activation Fee (Per ftServer)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal: $4,420.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Onsite Installation and Other Services Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Installation, Integration, Testing and Certification</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$1,320.00</td>
<td>$13,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services to Transfer the &quot;Old&quot; Journal’s Data to the &quot;New&quot; Journal Server</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,173.00</td>
<td>$5,173.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal: $18,373.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Consulting Services Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulting and Support Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal: $25,000.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Software Customization Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create and Install New TCP/IP Interfaces to Five Local Systems</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$11,000.00</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produce Local Client Mask and Server Scripts Sets</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$290.00</td>
<td>$29,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing Access to 5 New Local TCP/IP Interfaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal: $84,700.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Training (Train-the-Trainer Classes, Cost Per Class) Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS Client WS Install &amp; End User Suer Training Course (Level II)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS Administration and Operation Training Course (Level II)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal System Administrator &amp; End User Training Course (Level II)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$12,500.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### First Year Maintenance Service Cost After Warranty Period Costs

(Annual Escalation Rate Not to Exceed 5%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level II Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS Annual Maintenance Cost (1000 Clients)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$31,275.00</td>
<td>No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Annual Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,155.00</td>
<td>No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Journal Interface Annual Maintenance Cost (1 Client)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Statistical Reporting Annual Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$525.00</td>
<td>No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part No.</td>
<td>Qty</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratus Detail Component Description (Includes Managed Services)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5103R-2D fServer 3300, 4U, 2-way DMR, 3.08 GHz CPU, 512 KB Cache, Rack-mountable</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9,996.00</td>
<td>$9,996.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$51,242.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Second Year Maintenance Service Cost After Warranty Period Costs

(Annual Escalation Rate Not to Exceed 5%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level II Detail Component Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS Annual Maintenance Cost (1000 Clients)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$31,275.00</td>
<td>31,275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Annual Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,155.00</td>
<td>4,155.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Journal Interface Annual Maintenance Cost (1 Client)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Statistical Reporting Annual Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$525.00</td>
<td>525.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part No.</td>
<td>Qty</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratus Detail Component Description (Includes Managed Services)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5103R-2D fServer 3300, 4U, 2-way DMR, 3.08 GHz CPU, 512 KB Cache, Rack-mountable</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9,996.00</td>
<td>$9,996.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$48,812.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sum of all Cost Categories Above (not including 2nd year maintenance costs)**: $434,039.00

**Applicable State of California Sales Tax (8.5%) -- Hardware/Software**: 8.5%: $23,888.00

**Total Project Cost (Sum of all Cost Categories above) not including 2nd year maintenance costs**

Grand Total: $457,927.00
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulting Service Costs shall be $165 per hour for principle period of maintenance (PPM) time frame which is defined as Monday through Friday, from 0700 to 1800 Pacific Standard or Daylight time, excluding holidays or $240 per hour for none PPM time frame. All travel and other expenses shall be included in the specified hourly rates. All Consulting Services costs shall first be preapproved by SFPD.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 Should SFPD require more than 5 new TCP/IP interfaces, each subsequent interface customized by Contractor shall cost up to, but shall not exceed, $11,000 each. The total cost shall be mutually agreed to by the parties. Should SFPD require less than 5 new TCP/IP Interfaces there will be a reduction of $11,000 for each less interface.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Project Payment Plan

| 1st      | Hardware, MAGUS’ Standard CLETS Transactions & 3rd Party Software Installed on or about 6/30/05:                  | $251,957.00 |
| 2nd      | Interfaces and masks on or about 9/30/05:                                                                  | $84,700.00 |
| 3rd      | Final Acceptance Test Successfully Passed on or about 12/31/05:                                            | $96,270.00 |
| 4th      | Consulting Services paid on an as needed basis                                                              | $26,000.00 |
|          |                                                                                                           | $457,927.00 |
Appendix E

Acceptance Criteria, Process, Testing and Final Acceptance

**Installation Testing:** Upon installation by Contractor of the COTS MAGUS and Journal software and all third party software on the Stratus Computer System, Contractor will work with SFPD on a site-custom implementation phase, during which time Contractor will modify and install all software and hardware, serving to provide site-specific behavior to SFPD's MAGUS/Journal Message Switching System. Contractor will then locally test the Stratus Computer and each application of the Message Switching System with SFPD's project staff to ensure and demonstrate that the installation satisfies SFPD's fundamental requirements. Contractor shall provide written documentation and drawings, in both written and electronic form (suitable for SFPD maintenance) detailing the software and hardware installation and testing. The required documentation shall be updated as changes may be made so that final accurate and complete written and electronic documentation is left by Contractor with SFPD upon completion of Final Acceptance.

**Configuration Testing:** Upon satisfaction of Installation Testing above, Contractor will then configure the new System for SFPD's specific requirements. Configuration will entail, without limitation, adding the connections for all external interfaces and devices, adding Users and authentication, and adding transaction authorization. Upon completion of such configuration, Contractor and SFPD shall test the efficacy of the completed configuration. Contractor will locally and individually test all MAGUS and Journal connections for operational functionality and all interface connections to demonstrate their ability to exchange messages with their internal or external systems, as required. A test time period will be coordinated with each Administrator of the external system so that they can schedule any downtime, if needed, with the Users of the System.

**Acceptance Criteria:** As part of completing a detailed project plan, Contractor and SFPD shall develop an Acceptance Test Plan ("ATP"). The ATP shall have a checklist of functional requirements derived from Contractor working with SFPD on their specific requirements, the Software Documentation, Hardware Documentation, and third party products and software documentation. The ATP must include, but is not limited to, testing all screens (includes internal and external functions), standard and developed interfaces, mobile access and compliance with Appendix G, "SFPD’s Requirements Checklist", and any other CLETS requirements, Level II's software documentation, Stratus hardware documentation, and documentation of all third party products and software on the System.

**Final Acceptance:** After Contractor has successfully completed local Installation and Configuration Testing and developed the ATP, Contractor and SFPD will conduct functional Acceptance Testing, using the newly-developed ATP to demonstrate that the new System is ready to enter production operation. Final Acceptance shall have occurred upon successful testing using the ATP and when there is written verification provided by the SFPD that the project has been completed satisfactorily pursuant to this Agreement or, after going into production use, the System continues to meet the ATP requirements for an uninterrupted 30 days, whichever is earlier.
Appendix F

Level II, Inc.

Support Services Agreement ("SSA")

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This is a Support Services Agreement (the "SSA") between LEVEL II, Inc. ("Level II" or "Contractor") and the City and County of San Francisco (the "City") and is attached to the Agreement dated 25th March 2005, between Level II, Inc. and the City.

(a) City has licensed from Level II certain products listed in Appendix B of this Agreement entitled, "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products).

(b) City wishes to obtain and Level II wishes to provide maintenance and support services for the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" under the terms and conditions of this SSA.

2.0 SERVICES PROVIDED by LEVEL II

Level II shall provide the following "Support Services" to Customer for the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)":

(a) Nonconformities
For nonconformities which preclude the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" from substantially conforming in all material respects to the Specifications (see definition in 1.0 of the Agreement):

- Quick and direct access to Level II or 3rd Party software engineer having expert knowledge of the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)".
- Reasonable efforts to correct such nonconformities or provide an avoidance procedure. This service is provided at no additional charge provided the service is requested during the Principle Period of Maintenance (PPM) time frame.
- The PPM time frame is Monday through Friday, from 7:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Pacific Standard or Daylight Time) excluding holidays.
- Maintenance service available 24 hours a day, seven (7) days a week.

- Service requested outside the PPM time frame is provided on a time and material (T/M) basis at the rates set forth in Section 6.0 of this SSA.
- For maintenance service requests for nonconformity that does not render the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" inoperative, Level II will respond to maintenance service requests within two (2) hours, meaning that a Level II employee will contact City and obtain information regarding the nonconformity. Within four (4) hours, Level II will assign a product technical specialist(s) to diagnose the nonconformity and to commence correction, immediately provide an avoidance procedure, and exercise all commercially reasonable efforts to correct the nonconformity.
- For maintenance service requests due to a nonconformity that renders the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" inoperative or causes the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" to fail catastrophically, within two (2) hours, Level II shall...
II will assign a product technical specialist to diagnose and correct the nonconformity; Level II shall proceed to immediately correct or provide an avoidance procedure. Level II will escalate resolution of the problem to personnel with successively higher levels of technical expertise until the nonconformity is corrected.

- The following maintenance service requests are not covered under this SSA:
  1) Service requests processed outside the PPM time frame or on holidays.
  2) Correction of nonconformities introduced by "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" adaptations applied by City or any party other than Level II.
  3) Service requests to diagnose problems other than "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" nonconformities.
  4) Requests to design/implement new "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" features.
  5) Any nonconformity resulting from an accident, misuse, or misapplication on the part of the City.

For maintenance service which Level II does not believe falls within the scope of this SSA, Level II shall advise City of this and its reasons for the determination. If the City agrees that the service requested is outside the scope of the SSA, Level II shall provide an estimate of costs for correcting the nonconformity, and City will advise Level II in writing that the services to be provided are not within the scope of this SSA.

For maintenance service not covered by this Agreement, the service is available on a T/M basis at the rate set forth in Section 6 of this SSA, with a two (2) hour minimum charge.

(b) "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)"

Enhancements:

- Enhanced releases of the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" and associated documentation will be provided to City during the term of this SSA.
- If City decides to use the new release, Level II will install the new release at no charge. If City does not use the new release, Level II reserves the right to discontinue support for the old release upon thirty (30) days' notice.
- Except as imposed by CLETS, the state or federal government, nothing herein shall oblige Level II to release Enhancements and Level II shall, in its sole discretion, determine the nature, content, timing and release level of Enhancements, if any.
- Modification of program statements to enable the System to programmatically accommodate changes imposed by CLETS, the state or federal government will be made free of charge to the City.

(c) Procedure for Support
1. City will designate a single employee who will be the initial point of contact for receiving and creating "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)" nonconformity reports.

2. City will use reasonable efforts to correct all reported nonconformities prior to contacting Level II.
3. If City determines the reported nonconformity is with the Level II “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” and Level II’s assistance is required to diagnose and correct the nonconformity, City will complete the nonconformity report with the following information:
   - Problem Report Number (Tracking Key), if applicable
   - Site ID
   - Reporting Party Name
   - Reporting Party Telephone Number
   - Date/Time Problem Reported
   - Date/Time Problem Occurred
   - Description of Problem
   - Problem Example (if applicable)
   - Diagnosis performed by City (if applicable)
   - Other Diagnostic Information (if applicable)

4. City will E-mail nonconformity report to Maint@LevelTwo.com, or Fax nonconformity report to Level II (fax number 425-462-9571).

5. City will use the following phone numbers to reach Level II:
   During PPM: (888) 232-9609, Inbound WATS, or (425) 454-3175
   Outside PPM: (206) 991-8695 [primary pager], or if you receive no call within 30 minutes, call (206) 991-3406 [backup pager]

   If you should still get no response (which should never occur) and you’ve been given a number under separate cover, call it…. it’s the personal off-hours number of a company officer, whom you should NEVER need call, who will be very glad to get your call, but not necessarily happy.

6. Problems reported to Level II during the PPM time frame will be reported to the company’s service coordinator who will assign the appropriate Level II engineer to the nonconformity report. Problems reported to Level II outside the PPM time frame will be assigned by the Level II employee responding to the “pager” call.

7. Using the faxed or emailed nonconformity report, the Level II engineer assigned to the nonconformity report will provide reasonable efforts to correct the reported nonconformity or provide an avoidance procedure, following the “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” nonconformity procedure set forth above.

8. All nonconformity reports will remain "open" until the reported nonconformity has been corrected or a permanent avoidance procedure has been provided. Upon closure, Level II will provide City the following disposition information:
   - Description of corrective action or avoidance procedure applied by Level II or disposition of nonconformity report
   - Date/Time closed
   - Level II engineer name assigned to the nonconformity report
   - (Written) E-mail or fax copy of Level II’s "Closed" nonconformity report to City
   - If service provided by Level II to City is outside the scope of this SSA, Level II will invoice City for services rendered per the terms set forth in this Agreement.
(d) **Relation to Warranty Provisions**

During the warranty period set forth in the Agreement, Level II will provide the standard maintenance services set forth in this Agreement at no additional fee (excluding services not covered by this SSA).

(e) **Scope of Maintenance**

Under this SSA, Level II provides support services for only the “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” enumerated in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)”. Further, Level II shall act as the "first responder" to every apparent operational nonconformity to the "Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)", whether for Level II’s proprietary or 3rd Party software or hardware as listed in Appendix B, “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)”.  

3.0 **PAYMENT by CUSTOMER**

(a) Customer shall pay annual support fees as set forth in Appendix D and Section 6 below. The entire annual support fee is due and payable immediately upon expiration of the applicable warranty period set forth in the License Agreement. For succeeding years, the then current annual support fee is due and payable immediately upon expiration of the prior annual support period. No portion of the annual support fee is refundable, except where the SSA is terminated for cause by the City.

(b) Level II may refuse to provide or delay the provision of any services or items if City becomes delinquent in payment of its obligations.

4.0 **TERM, RENEWAL, and TERMINATION**

(a) Maintenance and support under this SSA shall commence upon expiration of the warranty period stated in the Agreement.

(b) Thirty days prior to the expiration of the first year’s support services under this SSA, City shall have the right to renew support services for another year; and, thereafter, the City shall have the right to renew support services on an annual basis for up to five (5) years by exercising its right of renewal thirty days prior to the expiration of the then current year’s support services.

(c) City may terminate this SSA pursuant to the Termination provisions of the Agreement. Level II may terminate this SSA if City materially breaches any license or confidentiality provisions of the Agreement. If Level II terminates this Agreement due to City’s breach of license of confidentiality provisions, Level II may terminate any agreements with City, whether or not such agreements contemplate City’s use of the “Licensed Software and Hardware (including Third Party Products)” or Support Services delivered under this Agreement.

5.0 **COMMERCIAL ITEM SUBJECT TO LICENSE**

The Products are “commercial items,” as that term is defined in 48 C.F.R. 2.101 (Oct. 1995) consisting of “commercial computer software” and “commercial computer
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documentation," as such terms are used in 48 C.F.R. 12.212 (Sept. 1995). Consistent with 48 C.F.R. 12.212 and 48 C.F.R. 227.7202-1 through 227.7202-4 (June 1995), all U.S. Governmental users acquire the software with only those limited license rights set forth in the License Agreement. For purpose of any public disclosure provision under any federal, state or local law, it is agreed that the Products are trade secret and proprietary commercial products and not subject to disclosure. Manufacturer is Level II, Inc., 11033 NE 24th Street, Suite 100, Bellevue, WA 98004-2971. (425) 454-3175. The Products are copyright © 1984-1996 by Level II, Inc. UNPUBLISHED. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED UNDER THE COPYRIGHT LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES.

6.0. RATES/ ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COSTS

(a) Rates

Professional Engineering Rate: $165/hour standard (for PPM hours)
Premium Rate: $240/hour premium (for non-PPM hours)

Calls outside PPM are billed a minimum of 2 hours at premium rates.

LEVEL II ANSWERS AND WILL IMMEDIATELY WORK ON ANY EMERGENCY CALL, IRRESPECTIVE OF CONTRACT TYPE, THE TIME OF DAY OR DAY OF WEEK, HOLIDAYS NOT EXCEPTED.

(b) Annual Maintenance Fee

Year 1 after warranty: $48,612 (MAGUS, Journal and Stratus)
Appendix G

SFPD's Requirements Checklist:

1. Vendor's MSC has the capability and capacity to provide CLETS MSC service to all requesting CLETS subscriber agencies within San Francisco County.
2. Vendor's MSC tracks usage of the MSC by each subscribing agency to assist in cost recovery.
3. Vendor's product has an accounting feature to assist in cost recovery.
4. Vendor's MSC has the capability of receiving or transmitting (CJIS) database and administrative messages.
5. Vendor's MSC must programmatical ensure messages' lengths are limited to 100,000 characters and that all messages are properly acknowledged.
6. Vendor's MSC has the capability of increasing the message length due to expected future requirements (i.e., fingerprints and photographs).
7. Vendor's MSC sends and receives four character alpha/numeric mnemonics and group codes.
8. Vendor's MSC message priority handling conforms\(^1\) to CLETS priorities (priority 1, 2, 3 or lower).
9. Vendor's MSC has a Standard TCP/IP protocol, compliant with DOJ specifications, for sending and receiving messages.
10. Vendor's MSC must accommodate message headers expressed in binary; all subsequent data following the header are in standard 8-bit ASCII.
11. Vendor's MSC message acknowledgement (Ack ID) complies with the DOJ requirement that it not be a binary zero.
12. Vendor's MSC has a unique Ack ID for each outstanding message.
13. Vendor's MSC transmits the IP and Media Access Control (MAC) address or MAC address equivalent in the Terminal Address Field (TAF).
14. Vendor's MSC has message retrieval capability.
15. Vendor's MSC contains failure alternate routing\(^2\).
16. Vendor's MSC displays all messages, including those reporting errors\(^3\).

\(^1\) SFPD's installed MAGUS will comply.

\(^2\) In the DOJ's documents to which we have access, the only reference to 'failure alternate routing' is in the 'CLETS Technical Guide', dated 9/30/2004. That document does not define 'failure alternate routing'; it appears only as a bulleted item on page 7. We inquired of the DOJ for its definition. They responded by defining it as the failure to route a message received from CLETS owing to the message-borne mnemonic's failing to match a mnemonic recorded in the MSC's configuration tables. In such circumstances, the DOJ requires that MSC's must alternate route such messages to any of a printer, terminal or database to be subsequently examined by a System Operator. MAGUS, our MSC has this ability. Indeed, CLETS' messages that the MSC can't route to their indicated destinations for any reason it alternately routes to the "Undeliverable Interface" device associated with the CLETS device defined by the MSC's configuration tables. "Undeliverable Interfaces" associated with CLETS devices can be any other device defined in the MSC's configuration tables. The MSC's administrators can elect any alternative delivery device, by setting this field via the LTI Console.

\(^3\) CLETS emits two distinct error message types—those detected in the contents of either the header or body sections of the messages. Messages reporting errors found in the bodies of messages sent to CLETS or NLETNS/NCIC are routed to the flawed messages' originating devices, which are identified by their CLETS mnemonic (a datum in CLETS messages' headers). Error messages bearing diagnoses of CLETS' messages' bodies originating from the MSC's directly-connected workstations are returned to those workstations for display. If the flawed messages' header-borne CLETS mnemonic identifies devices under the control of a CAD, RMS or Level II Agreement
17. Vendor’s MSC provides a trace of the traffic in the system architecture.
18. Vendor’s MSC identifies each message by a unique number.
19. Vendor’s MSC places a time and date on each message/transaction.
20. Vendor’s MSC provides header fields beyond the supplemental header.
21. Vendor’s MSC guarantees delivery of a packet to the final destination (maximum number of retries is 16 with a 4 second interval).
22. Vendor’s MSC immediately queues messages received from CLETS for asynchronous processing.
23. Vendor’s MSC encrypts messages per DOJ/FBI guidelines (minimum 128-bit).
24. Encryption technology is offered by the MSC vendor and meets the minimum bit offered.
25. Vendor’s MSC meet the 98% availability and up-time measurement over a continuous 12 month period, including all scheduled and unscheduled downtime.
26. Vendor’s MSC records all transactions to and from CLETS in their entirety on an automated log or journal.
27. Vendor’s MSC has a facility to search and print all logs or journals for a three-year period.
28. Vendor’s MSC’s logs or journals identify the unique operator log on and the authorizing agency on all transactions.
29. Vendor’s MSC complies with DOJ audit requirements associated with criminal history transactions.
30. Vendor provides training manuals (MSC Switch, Administrator, User, etc.).
31. Training manuals are in print and electronic form.
32. Vendor provides all MSC masks and data fields in compliance with DOJ and FBI standards including DOJ approved NCIC2000 specifications.
33. Vendor provides written documentation of all MSC provided masks and capabilities.
34. Vendor’s MSC masks offered in a graphic user interface.
35. Vendor’s MSC operates within a browser.
36. Vendor’s MSC includes drop down boxes, check boxes containing approved values which can be maintained by a customer.

If the other external system connected to the MSC, then the error message is routed to the external system. It is the responsibility of the external system to display error messages.

The other error message form stems from errors detected in CLETS’ message headers. When CLETS detects an error in a message’s header, it generates an error message and routes it to the MSC. The CLETS mnemonic found in the header is the CLETS mnemonic that CLETS’s configuration tables associate with the source MSC. CLETS uniquely identifies every connected system with a CLETS mnemonic. When we install your MSC, the DOJ will tell us by what CLETS mnemonic it’s to be known to CLETS. An “Undeliverable” device is added to the MSC with the CLETS mnemonic associated with the MSC. This device can be of any type that can be configured in the MSC, e.g., it could be a printer, workstation or another device type. One should initially define it as an “Undeliverable” device type unless you direct us otherwise. “Undeliverable” devices hold messages in their queues until an authorized MSC Administrator explicitly purges them. The messages in that queue can be viewed by the MSC Administrator or by any so-authorized party from the LTI Console.

MAGUS journals all message-borne user ID’s. CLETS doesn’t strictly enforce the DOJ’s requirement that all message headers contain valid user ID’s. Thus, some interfacing “trusted systems” (e.g., CAD’s, RMS’s) that access CLETS via MAGUS mayn’t comply. Given, however, that user ID’s and device mnemonics are associated in MAGUS’ configuration tables, we can and do report transactions by user-terminal-agency combinations. Thus, they are logically, if not physically, journalized.
37. Vendor's MSC provides for context sensitive help.
38. Vendor's MSC provides on-line help.
39. Vendor's MSC hardware and software comply with DOJ CLETS PPP and FBI NCIC requirements/specifications.
40. Vendor's MSC provides security controls that can provide or limit a person or group access to a single or multiple workstation(s).
41. Vendor's MSC provides interface or integration capabilities or facilities to existing Police RMS systems or networks. (If so, provide details, names\(^5\), etc.)
42. Vendor's MSC provides interface or integration capabilities or facilities to existing criminal justice systems or networks. (If so, provide details, names\(^6\), etc.)

\(^5\) We (Level II) provide all MAGUS licensees a copy of program code as a model for interfacing their clients' applications to MAGUS. We neither control nor know to whom our licensees provide this software. Accordingly, hundreds of "downstream" systems, of which we are unaware, interface to MAGUS. Our interface has remained invariant for well over a decade. Accordingly, virtually all first-tier, most second-tier and many other CAD, RMS, etc., vendors' products already interface to MAGUS. In the SFPD's region, MAGUS interfaces to AWS and most interactive law enforcement systems in Santa Clara, Contra Costa and Solano Counties, as all data repositories accessible via CLETS. MAGUS features a direct interface to NLETS and NCIC, but those are useful only to state-level agencies, e.g., CLETS.

\(^6\) See footnote 5, above.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement on the day first mentioned above.

CITY

Recommended by:

\[Signature\]

Heather J. Fong
Chief of Police
Heather Fong, Chief of Police

Approved as to Form:

\[Signature\]

DENNIS I. HERRERA
City Attorney

By

\[Signature\]

NICOLE RHEE CHIAMAN
Deputy City Attorney

Approved:

\[Signature\]

NAOMI LITTLE
Director of Office of Contract Administration/Purchaser

CONTRACTOR

Level II, Inc.
Name

41412
City Vendor Number

11033 NE 24th Street, #100
Address

Bellevue, WA 98004-2971
City, State, ZIP

By

\[Signature\]

Fred Allen, President
Name, Title

(425) 454-3175
Phone Number

94-2608579
Federal Employer ID Number

\[Signature\]

[Signature]

4/14/05

Received by City
OK - Rec'd Aug 5th 6, 05
3-24-05 - 6:49
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Cost Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Web Workstation CAD Transactions</td>
<td>$24,364.00</td>
<td>$2,070.94</td>
<td>$26,434.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Web Workstation Opening Screen</td>
<td>$369.00</td>
<td>$31.37</td>
<td>$400.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Web Workstation ADMIN &amp; ABST Masks</td>
<td>$816.00</td>
<td>$62.36</td>
<td>$868.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Web Workstation String Search Mask</td>
<td>$20,860.00</td>
<td>$1,773.10</td>
<td>$22,633.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Web Workstation PDF Files</td>
<td>$11,440.00</td>
<td>$972.40</td>
<td>$12,412.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Web Workstation Super Query</td>
<td>$7,260.00</td>
<td>$617.10</td>
<td>$7,877.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>CORI</td>
<td>$5,808.00</td>
<td>$493.68</td>
<td>$6,301.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Command Line</td>
<td>$8,712.00</td>
<td>$740.52</td>
<td>$9,452.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Investigator</td>
<td>$1,848.00</td>
<td>$157.08</td>
<td>$2,005.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Web Workstation ADMIN Mask</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$425.00</td>
<td>$5,425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Contractor MSS Training</td>
<td>$41,136.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$41,136.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Contractor MSS Licenses (quantity 500)</td>
<td>$83,694.62</td>
<td>$7,114.04</td>
<td>$90,808.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Contractor Engineering &amp; Design Consulting at Contractor's prevailing hourly rate not to exceed this amount for the life of this Agreement</td>
<td>$83,694.62</td>
<td>$7,114.04</td>
<td>$90,808.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** | $211,107.52 | $14,447.59 | $225,555.21

Each item above, except item 10, shall be considered as a separate item of service to be provided by the Contractor to the San Francisco Police Department. Upon the completion and delivery of each service by the Contractor to, and with the written acceptance by the San Francisco Police Department, the Contractor may invoice for that completed service as indicated above and pursuant to the Agreement. Each service, if requested by the San Francisco Police Department, and provided by the Contractor pursuant to item 10, shall include costs and terms (not to exceed the cost of the total dollar amount of item 10 services, and in compliance with the terms of this Agreement).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Web Workstation CAD Transactions</td>
<td>$24,364.00</td>
<td>$2,070.94</td>
<td>$26,434.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Web Workstation Opening Screen</td>
<td>$369.00</td>
<td>$31.37</td>
<td>$400.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Web Workstation ADMIN &amp; ABST Masks</td>
<td>$816.00</td>
<td>$52.96</td>
<td>$868.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Web Workstation-String Search Mask</td>
<td>$20,860.00</td>
<td>$1,773.10</td>
<td>$22,633.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Web Workstation PDF Files</td>
<td>$11,440.00</td>
<td>$972.40</td>
<td>$12,412.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Web Workstation Super Query</td>
<td>$7,360.00</td>
<td>$617.10</td>
<td>$7,977.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>OCR1</td>
<td>$7,360.00</td>
<td>$617.10</td>
<td>$7,977.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Command Line</td>
<td>$5,808.00</td>
<td>$493.68</td>
<td>$6,301.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Investigator</td>
<td>$6,712.00</td>
<td>$740.52</td>
<td>$9,452.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Web Workstation ADMIN Mask</td>
<td>$1,848.00</td>
<td>$157.08</td>
<td>$2,005.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Contractor MSS Training</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$425.00</td>
<td>$5,425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Contractor MSS Licenses (quantity 500)</td>
<td>$41,136.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$41,136.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Contractor Engineering &amp; Design Consulting at Contractor’s prevailing hourly rate not to exceed this amount for the life of this Agreement</td>
<td>$83,694.62</td>
<td>$7,114.04</td>
<td>$90,808.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$211,107.62</td>
<td>$14,447.59</td>
<td>$225,555.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each item above, except item 10, shall be considered as a separate item of service to be provided by the Contractor to the San Francisco Police Department. Upon the completion and delivery of each service by the Contractor to, and with the written acceptance by the San Francisco Police Department, the Contractor may invoice for that completed service as indicated above and pursuant to the Agreement. Each service, if requested by the San Francisco Police Department and provided by the Contractor pursuant to Item 10 shall include costs and terms (not to exceed the cost of the total dollar amount of item 10 services and in compliance with the terms of this Agreement).
## APPENDIX G

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABST mask</td>
<td>LTI mask used to create a records warrant abstract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN mask</td>
<td>LTI mask used to create a CLETSNCIC administrative message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Acceptance Test Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS</td>
<td>Alameda County's Automated Warrant System (formerly PIN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABLE</td>
<td>Computer Assisted Bay Area Law Enforcement - SFPD legacy data system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>Computer Aided Dispatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CII</td>
<td>Criminal Information &amp; Identification number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLETS</td>
<td>California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLETS PPP</td>
<td>CLETS Policies, Practices and Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combo Box</td>
<td>field on a LTI mask with a drop down list (user selection and validates data entry for accuracy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor MSS</td>
<td>LTI's MAGUS message switching computer system (aka LTI MSS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORI</td>
<td>Criminal Offender Record Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV</td>
<td>Department of Motor Vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSP#</td>
<td>Disposition Record Number (CII alternative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTIS</td>
<td>Department of Telecommunications &amp; Information Services (now called Department of Technology)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DARTS</td>
<td>Domestic Violence Restraining Order System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Federal Bureau of Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTI</td>
<td>LTI product - archival and retrieval system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Devices</td>
<td>Terminals/printers accessing legacy data &amp; systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTI MSS</td>
<td>Level Two Incorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGUS</td>
<td>LTI Message Switch System used in the delivery of DOJ services including access to CLETS, NCIC, DMV as well as other network and systems like CABLE, PIN/AWS, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask(s)</td>
<td>LTI product - message switching system/computer product name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDC</td>
<td>a formatted computer screen for a user to initiate a message switch transaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDC Client</td>
<td>Mobile Data Computer - typically the computer in a police radio car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC</td>
<td>LTI product - PC client designed for MDC (supports wireless network)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>message switching computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSS &amp; Journal Administrator</td>
<td>message switching system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCIC</td>
<td>a trained and knowledgeable person responsible for the support and maintenance of the LTI MAGUS and Journal products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCIC Client</td>
<td>National Crime Information Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Clients</td>
<td>a personal computer with LTI software installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN</td>
<td>Police Information Network (predecessor system to AWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>Supervised Release File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRF</td>
<td>the manufacturer of the computer server housing the LTI MSS &amp; Journal applications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

November 17, 2008 - September 30, 2009
City and County of San Francisco

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY

DATE: September 21, 2010

DEPARTMENT NAME: Police

DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 38

TYPE OF APPROVAL: ☑ REGULAR (OMIT POSTING _____)

TYPE OF REQUEST: ☑ INITIAL REQUEST □ MODIFICATION (PSC# _____)

TYPE OF SERVICE: Design and Implementation of New Licensed Software Services, Maintenance and Consulting.

FUNDING SOURCE: General Fund

PSC AMOUNT: $347,200.00 PSC DURATION: 9-21-2010 – 3-8-2015

1. DESCRIPTION OF WORK
   A. Concise description of proposed work:
   Contractor will provide maintenance, as well as, design, engineering and consulting services on the Level II Message Switching Computer System (installed at the San Francisco Police Department) as required/requested by the Police Department.

   B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequences of denial:
   This service is necessary so the Police Department can comply with changes in law and policy in a timely and efficient manner. Consequences of denial would inhibit/restrict the Police Department’s ability to provide essential services and access to data systems to the Police Department members and all other law enforcement and criminal justice agencies located in the County of San Francisco.

   C. Explain how this service has been provided in the past (if this service was previously approved by the Civil Service Commission, indicate most recent personal services contract approval number):
   These services have not been provided in the past, resulting in loss of services and access to data systems to the Police Department members and all other law enforcement and criminal justice agencies located in the County of San Francisco.

   D. Will the contract(s) be renewed: Yes (continuing maintenance and services will be required)

2. UNION NOTIFICATION: Copy of this summary is to be sent to employee organizations as appropriate (refer to instructions for specific procedures):

   Local 21
   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form Date
   ___________________________ ___________________________

   Union Name
   Signature of person mailing/faxing form Date
   ___________________________ ___________________________

   RFP sent to Union Name, on Date Signature

**************************************************************************
FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# __________________

STAFF ANALYSIS/RECOMMENDATION:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION:

-259-

PSC FORM 1 (9/96)
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: POLICE – POL
Dept. Code: POL

Type of Request: ☑ Initial
☑ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC #)

Type of Approval: ☐ Expedited
☑ Regular
☑ Omit Posting

Type of Service: Design and Implementation of New Licensed Software Services, Maintenance and Consulting

Funding Source: General Fund
PSC Amount: $347,200
PSC Duration: 4 years 24 weeks
PSC Est. Start Date: 09/21/2010 PSC Est. End Date: 03/09/2015

1. Description of Work
A. Scope of Work:
Contractor will provide maintenance, as well as, design, engineering and consulting services on the Level II Message Switching Computer System (installed at the San Francisco Police Department) as required/requested by the Police Department.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:
This service is necessary so the Police Department can comply with changes in law and policy in a timely and efficient manner. Consequences of denial would inhibit/restrict the Police Department’s ability to provide essential services and access to data systems to the Police Department members and all other law enforcement and criminal justice agencies located in the City and County of San Francisco.

C. Has this service been provided in the past. If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC. These services have not been provided in the past, resulting in loss of services and access to data systems to the Police Department members and all other law enforcement and criminal justice agencies located in the City and County of San Francisco.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? Yes

2. Union Notification: On none, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: all unions were notified

******************************************************************************
FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 4054-10/11
DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Civil Service Commission Action:

-260-

July 2013
3. **Description of Required Skills/Expertise**
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Design, engineering, consulting and programming skills necessary to maintain and enhance a critical, necessary and proprietary Message Switching Computer System.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      none,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. **Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform**
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Civil Service classifications are not applicable because the system is proprietary to the Contractor.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      No. Only as-needed and less than full-time services are required.

5. **Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)**
   YES NO
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee? 
      
   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?
      This is a proprietary system that vendor maintains.
      
   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
      
   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services?
      
   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service?
      
   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department?
      
**THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON ________**

**BY:**

Name: Genie Wong

Phone: (415) 553-1736 Email: Genie.Wong@sfgov.org

Address: 850 Bryant Street #511  San Francisco, CA 94103

July 2013
December 10, 2010

NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT NUMBERS 4053-10/11 THROUGH 4058-10/11; 4101-07/08.

At its meeting of December 6, 2010 the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

PLEASE NOTE: It is important that a copy of this action be kept in the department files as you will need it in the future as proof of Civil Service Commission approval. Please share it with everyone responsible for follow-up.

It was the decision of the Commission to adopt the report; approve request for all remaining proposed personal services contracts. Notify the Office of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration.

If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.6.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

ANITA SANCHEZ
Executive Officer

Attachment

c: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
Marie de Vera, Department of Human Resources
Kendall Gary, Department of Technology
Lavena Holmes-Williams, Port
Shamica Jackson, Public Utilities Commission
Florence Kyann, Public Utilities Commission
Julian Low, Mayor's Office
Tajel Shah, Treasurer/Tax Collector
Peg Stevenson, Office of the Controller
Shawn Wallace, San Francisco Police Department
Commission File
Chron
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No</th>
<th>Dept No</th>
<th>Dept Name</th>
<th>Approval Type</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Description of Work</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4039-10/11</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>Provide lease purchase financing and/or refinancing of essential capital equipment to be used by City departments for governmental purposes.</td>
<td>1/1/2011</td>
<td>2/28/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4054-10/11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$347,200</td>
<td>Contractor will provide maintenance, as well as design, engineering and consulting services on the Level II Message Switching Computer System (installed at the San Francisco Police Department) as required/requested by the Police Department.</td>
<td>9/21/2010</td>
<td>3/9/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4055-10/11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Port Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>This work will be completed in four (4) phases to allow the greatest participation of city employees on this project. This project has complex variables such as historic structures in a marine environment with site contaminants. An integrated consultant team will prepare a site condition assessment that will facilitate work with city staff and the community stakeholders to develop a conceptual design plan with preliminary cost estimates and ultimately a detailed design for converting this seven (7) acre parcel within Pier 70 as a public park.</td>
<td>2/1/2011</td>
<td>12/31/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4056-10/11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Treasurer/Tax Collector</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Conduct audits of utility users tax and access line tax of service providers' records; provide legislative and technological updates and analysis; train City's audit staff to continue to audit and enforcement of UUT and ALT tax requirements; and provide tax revenue enhancement and detection services.</td>
<td>1/4/2011</td>
<td>12/31/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4057-10/11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>The purpose of this contract is to provide professional services to SFPUC for purging, collection and monitoring of groundwater samples from wells and to support the Groundwater Monitoring Program. Such services will include collection and transport to the testing lab of groundwater extracted from existing monitoring wells and production wells on a semi-annual basis to assist in the annual characterization of groundwater conditions and support of local and regional groundwater resource management throughout the SFPUC's water system including the Western Basin in San Francisco and San Mateo Counties,</td>
<td>2/1/2011</td>
<td>12/31/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City and County of San Francisco

Department of Human Resources

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Dept. Code: PUC

Type of Request: ☑ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC # 4072-07/08)

Type of Approval: ☑ Regular

Type of Service: Calaveras Dam Replacement Project Construction Management Service (CS-911R)

Funding Source: Project Funded

PSC Original Approved Amount: $13,000,000
PSC Mod#1 Amount: $25,000,000
PSC Mod#2 Amount: No amount added
PSC Mod#3 Amount: $32,000,000
PSC Mod#4 Amount: 

PSC Cumulative Amount Proposed: $70,000,000
PSC Original Approved Duration: 07/01/08 - 12/31/13 (5 years 26 weeks)
PSC Mod#1 Duration: 01/01/14-12/31/16 (2 years)
PSC Mod#2 Duration: 01/01/16-08/02/16 (30 weeks 4 days)
PSC Mod#3 Duration: 08/03/16-05/24/19 (2 years 42 weeks)
PSC Mod#4 Duration: 
PSC Cumulative Duration Proposed: 10 years 47 weeks

1. Description of Work

A. Scope of Work:

Provide professional construction management services to oversee the Water System Improvement Program (WSIP) construction project of the Calaveras Dam Replacement Project on behalf of the SFPUC. The work includes inspection oversight and enforcement of contract requirements for construction protocols, seismic safety design and environmental mitigation measures. See Supplemental Attachment A.

B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:

The SFPUC does not have the personnel resources to perform all of the required work, nor could enough current staff be transferred from other City departments or new hires be added to meet the timing or the requirements of the limited duration and specialized expertise for this proposed work effort. If denied, the project would not have adequate staff and the necessary CM expertise to manage the construction of the project, thus increasing the likelihood of inadequate performance on a $250,000,000 construction project.

C. Has this service been provided in the past? If so, how? If the service was provided via a PSC, provide the most recently approved PSC # and upload a copy of the PSC.

This service is currently being provided via PSC No. 4072-07/08.

D. Will the contract(s) be renewed? No.

2. Union Notification: On 11/05/14, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request: Teamsters, Local 856 Health Workers; Professional & Tech Engrs, Local 21; Municipal Executive Association; Architect

*****************************************************************************

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 4072-07/08

DHR Analysis/Recommendation:
Commission Approval Required
DHR Approved for 12/16/2014

Civil Service Commission Action:

July 2013
3. Description of Required Skills/Expertise
   A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:
      Construction management skills including contract administration, construction inspection, construction
      scheduling and cost estimating, expertise in water dam construction including typical contractor means and
      methods, safety regulation, water quality standards, system shutdown, start-up procedures, equipment and
      performance testing. See Supplemental Attachment A.

   B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work?
      2484,5177,5211,5241,6137,6138,6139,6319,5296,5299,0932,

   C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If yes, explain:
      No.

4. Why Classified Civil Service Cannot Perform
   A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:
      Many of the employees within current civil service classes are not applicable, because these employees do not
      have the specialized experience and knowledge to adequately manage the construction, environmental
      compliance, and air/water quality compliance monitoring of a large earth and rock-fill dam. See Supplemental
      Attachment A.

   B. Would it be practical to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain.
      The specialized needs of construction management, environmental compliance, and air/water quality compliance
      monitoring are not consistent or long enough in duration to make it feasible to adopt a new civil service class.

5. Additional Information (if “yes”, attach explanation)  
   A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee?  
      YES  NO
      ☑            ☐

   B. Will the contractor train City and County employee?  
      Explanation of training has not been provided by the department
      ☐            ☑

   C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?  
      YES  NO
      ☑            ☐

   D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of
      contractual services?  
      YES  NO
      ☑            ☐

   E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective
      way to provide this service?  
      YES  NO
      ☑            ☐

   F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC
      contract with your department? Black and Veatch  
      YES  NO
      ☑            ☐

☑ THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED AS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD
ON 11/05/14  BY:

Name:  Shamica Jackson  Phone: 415-554-0727  Email: SJackson@sfwater.org

Address: 525 Golden Gate Avenue, 8th Floor  San Francisco, CA 94102

-265-  July 2013
Receipt of Union Notification(s)
PSC RECEIPT of Modification notification sent to Unions and DHR

The PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION -- PUC has submitted a modification request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) for $32,000,000 for services for the period August 3, 2016 – May 24, 2019. For all Modification requests, there is a 7-Day noticed to the union(s) prior to DHR Review.

After logging into the system please select link below:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdrupal/node/4165
Email sent to the following addresses: L21PSCReview@lfpie21.org
staff@sfmea.com
camaguey@sfmea.com
Dear Tim,

I hope all is well. Please see the email below that was sent to the Teamsters, Local 856 Health Workers.

Best regards,
Shamica
415-554-0727

From: Jackson, Shamica
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2014 5:23 PM
To: jlanthier@ibt856.org; pfinn@ibt856.org; dlawson@ibt856.org
Cc: DHR-PSCCoordinator, DHR (DHR-PSCCoordinator@sfgov.org); Isen, Richard
Subject: 7-day Union Notice for PSC # 4072-07/08 - MODIFICATIONS (CS-911R)
Importance: High

Dear Teamsters, Local 856 Health Workers:

I hope all is well. Attached is the subject: Personal Services Contract (PSC) for your review.
You’re receiving this notice because the following position is listed on the PSC and your union notification email is not listed in the PSC Database. This item is scheduled to be heard at the December 15, 2014 Civil Service Commission meeting.

- 6139 Senior Industrial Hygienist

Best regards,
Shamica

San Francisco Water, Power and Sewer | Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Please consider the environment before printing this email.
Additional Attachment(s)
MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 7, 2014

TO: San Francisco Civil Service Commission

FROM: Alaric Degrafenried
Manager, Contract Administration Bureau

RE: Justification for duration 5 or more years
CS-911R, PSC No. 4072 -07/08
Calaveras Dam Replacement Project
Construction Management Services

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) is requesting Personal Service Contract (PSC) authority to continue providing professional construction management services to oversee the Water System Improvement Program construction of the Calaveras Dam Replacement Project on behalf of the SFPUC. The work includes inspection oversight and enforcement of contract requirements for construction protocols, seismic safety design and environmental mitigation measures. These services will now extend beyond five (5) years. Therefore, pursuant to the Civil Service Commission policies and procedures, below please find a justification for this extended PSC request.

The following two unanticipated geologic features found in June 2012: (1) the redesign of the different project components together with the additional excavation; and (2) the disposal of landslide materials have extended the project for another 34 months. Therefore, services from the construction management team would also need to be extended to allow the team to continue to provide construction management support through the end of construction, as indicated in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Duration</th>
<th>Amendment No. 1 Duration</th>
<th>Total Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 years, 6 months</td>
<td>2 years, 3 months</td>
<td>7 years, 9 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you for consideration and should you have any questions please do not hesitate contact me at 415-551-4788.
1A. Concise Description of Proposed Work:

Provide professional construction management services to oversee the Water System Improvement Program (WSIP) construction project of the Calaveras Dam Replacement Project on behalf of the SFPUC. The work includes inspection oversight and enforcement of contract requirements for construction protocols, seismic safety design and environmental mitigation measures. Please note that the original PSC submitted for this project was never used as the project schedule was delayed and new services added to accommodate two primary scope changes to the project: (1) the development of plans to address the presence and extent of Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA) at the project site; and (2) additional improvements to be added at Calaveras Dam and at the Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (ACDD) to address fishery enhancements within the Alameda Creek Watershed. New services that were not part of the original RFP in 2008 now include creation of new specialized positions on the Construction Management (CM) team to manage the implementation of the Comprehensive Air Monitoring Program (CAMP) to address the naturally occurring asbestos, silica and metals at the project site and to manage the construction and environmental compliance of the new fishery enhancements.

3A. Specify required skills and/or expertise:

Construction management skills including contract administration, construction inspection, construction scheduling and cost estimating, expertise in water dam construction including typical contractor means and methods, safety regulation, water quality standards, system shutdown, start-up procedures, equipment and performance testing, construction submittals and RFI review and approval, surveying and geotechnical engineering, contract change management and claims expertise; specialty services to provide environmental compliance monitoring and reporting for special-status species; specialty services to implement air and water quality monitoring and reporting for asbestos, silica and metals.

4A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable:

Many of the employees within current civil service classes are not applicable, because these employees do not have the specialized experience and knowledge to adequately manage the construction, environmental compliance, and air/water quality compliance monitoring of a large earth and rock-fill dam, which is not the kind of project these classes were set up to handle. Construction Engineers and Inspectors are needed to perform construction management work for WSIP projects, but this level of resources is not a long-term need warranting hiring personnel.
MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 03, 2011
TO: Maria Ryan, DHR-PSC Coordinator
Department of Human Resources (Dept. 33)
FROM: Shamica Jackson, PSC Coordinator
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (Dept. # 40)
RE: Request for Administrative Approval of PSC Modification (less than 50%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC No:</th>
<th>4072-07/08</th>
<th>CSC Approval Date:</th>
<th>01/07/2008 (Original)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of Service(s):</td>
<td>The SFPUC does not have the personnel resources to perform all of the required work, nor could enough current staff be transferred from other City departments or new hires be added to meet the timing or the requirements of the limited duration and specialized expertise for this proposed work effort. If denied, the project would not have adequate staff and the necessary CM expertise to manage the construction of the project, thus increasing the likelihood of inadequate performance on a $250,000,000 construction project. (CS-911R).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Approved Amount:</td>
<td>$13,000,000</td>
<td>Original Approved Duration:</td>
<td>07/01/2008 to 12/31/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification One Amount:</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>Modification of Duration:</td>
<td>03/15/2010 to 12/31/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification Two Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Modification of Duration:</td>
<td>08/10/2011 to 08/02/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Amount as Modified:</td>
<td>$38,000,000</td>
<td>Total Duration as Modified:</td>
<td>07/01/2010 to 08/02/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for the modification:
To extend the contracting authority and services through the end of the construction period.

Attachments: Copy of PSC Summary sent to DHR.

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

DHR ACTION: [ ] Approved
[ ] [ ]

Approval Date: 8/5/11

By: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

MINUTES

Regular Meeting
March 15, 2010

2:00 p.m.
ROOM 400, CITY HALL
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

CALL TO ORDER

2:19 p.m.

ROLL CALL

President Morgan R. Gorrono Present
Vice President E. Dennis Normandy Present (Left at 3:25 p.m. Missed Item #s 8-19)
Commissioner Donald A. Casper Present
Commissioner Mary Y. Jung Present

President Morgan R. Gorrono presided.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS APPEARING ON THE AGENDA

(1) Daniel Boreen requested to see the viewing file.
(2) Steve Piotocchi, SEIU Local 1021 requested that PSC #s 4092-09/10, 4096-09/10, 4097-09/10, 4100-09/10 and 4103-09/10 be severed.
(3) Wesley Wakeford, Attorney for Stephen Kloster commented on Item #12 and urged the Commission to objectively review all materials submitted.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Regular Meeting of February 1, 2010

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Action: Approve. (Vote of 4 to 0)

Regular Meeting of March 1, 2010

Action: Approve. (Vote of 4 to 0)
Civil Service Commission Meeting Minutes

Regular Meeting of March 15, 2010

0024-10-8 Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contracts. (Item No. 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4082-09/10</td>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency</td>
<td>$17,400,000</td>
<td>Provide separate professional parking garage management services at the Moscone Center Garage, North Beach/Vallejo Garage, Performing Arts Garage, and Polk/Bush Garage. Services include: oversight of collection, reconciliation and deposit of all parking &amp; non-parking revenue; repair &amp; maintenance of facilities and revenue control equipment; compliance with insurance &amp; bond requirements; providing valet or valet-assisted parking services during special events; providing personnel for cashiering, janitorial &amp; security.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>12/31/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4085-09/10</td>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>$81,840</td>
<td>Provide services for elder abuse victims that include: outreach &amp; support to victims of elder abuse; community presentations to educate regarding elder abuse and legal resources; consultation to prosecution team regarding legal issues in prosecuting financial, physical, emotional, or verbal abuse of elders.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

February 1, 2010:
(1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4082-09/10. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 2 to 1; Commissioner Gorrono dissents.) Continue to the meeting of March 1, 2010. (Three (3) votes are needed for Commission action.)
(2) Postpone PSC #4085-09/10 to the meeting of March 1, 2010.

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers: Sheila Arcelona and Allen Kennedy, District Attorney’s Office spoke on PSC #4085-09/10.

Action: Approve request for proposed personal services contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

0098-10-8 Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract. (Item No. 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4093-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>Provide pre-employment polygraph screening on an as-needed basis for entry level police officers, police reserve officers, police cadets and police service aides. Such screening shall include questions regarding prior police history, criminal activity, illegal drug use, drinking habits and incidents of domestic violence. Services also include providing a business site with adequate interrogation facilities divided by one-way mirrors, and equipped with one way sound devices, video and audio recording equipment.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Approval Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4094-09/10</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>Provide as-needed and after-hours security guard services, and as-needed guard/driver services for the Community Health Network, specifically for the Substance Abuse Services Unit of Ward 93, Opiate Treatment Outpatient Program (OTOP) at San Francisco General Hospital, the Silver Avenue Health Center Methadone/Opiate treatment program, Building 80/90 adjacent to the OTOP program, and armed guard/driver services for the operation of the Mobile Methadone Van(s).</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4095-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>Provide architectural services on an as-needed basis, for public building projects including but not limited to master plans, environmental reviews, programming and planning studies, assessments of existing facilities, green building evaluations, utility studies, design drawings, specifications, cost estimates, project schedules, adaptive re-use of existing facilities, modifications to life safety systems and other infrastructure, and new facilities.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>08/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4096-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>The Materials Testing &amp; Special Inspection (MTSI) Team will provide specialized materials testing &amp; special inspection services for the SFPUC Headquarters Building Project located at 525 Golden Gate Ave. Consultant will be City's representative leading &amp; coordinating the materials testing &amp; special inspection activities for the Project to ensure compliance with all requirements of state &amp; local regulatory agencies including the International Building Code, California Building Code, SF Dept. of Building Inspection, Special Inspection &amp; Structural Observation, and Contract Documents.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>08/01/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4097-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Provide additional services to continue as Executive Preservation Architect, &amp; provide architectural &amp; engineering design services for the final phase of the Palace of Fine Arts, which includes full consultant coordination. The scope of work includes lagoon stabilization on the western portion of the lagoon, coordinating new paving along the colonnade pathway &amp; Rotunda, new lighting design, re-grading of the site, new sidewalk curbs, installation of new metal doors, landscaping &amp; irrigation.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>07/01/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4098-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$12,500,000</td>
<td>Provide financial advisory services to manage San Francisco International Airport's capital financing program, including a $4.1 billion debt portfolio. Services include but are not limited to, financial, investment, swap and rebate advisory services.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4099-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>Provide design &amp; integration services for 1) Baggage Handling System (BHS) &amp; inline explosive detection screening (EDS) systems at Terminal 3, Boarding Area E, International Terminal Building &amp; other Airport BHS locations; services include 100% construction documents, full-service construction administration, quality control for BHS additions &amp; modifications, 100% design &amp; integration of programmable logic controls (PLC), pre-construction simulations, acceptance testing &amp; integration; 2) Passenger Boarding Bridges (PBB); services include 100% construction documents, construction administration, quality control for complete PBB replacements, relocations and/or modifications, PBB integration with aircraft parking, fuel hydrant system, preconditioned air &amp; ground power, acceptance testing with aircraft for a complete operating system.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>05/31/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Number</td>
<td>Committee</td>
<td>Proposal Amount</td>
<td>Proposal Details</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Decision Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4100-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$26,000,000</td>
<td>Contractor will operate the Airport's Curbside Management Program for on-demand door-to-door van, taxi, and limousine operations, provide customer services for air passengers seeking door-to-door transportation to leave the Airport, and facilitate services for people with special transportation needs. The contractor will monitor, coordinate and dispatch door-to-door vans, taxicabs and limousines in the holding/staging/waiting areas and curbside loading zones, as well as manage various systems supporting said operations at SFO. Airport ground transportation vehicle trip fees support the direct costs of the Program.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4101-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>Provide customer survey data regarding the quality of the Airport's Food and Beverage and Retail Services, Parking, and other facilities and services. The surveys have consistently taken place in May for the past 19 years of at least 1,400 valid respondents to reflect the summer travel season. The preliminary results need to be analyzed and submitted to SFO within 30 days from the last interview. Vendor will produce, execute, and analyze the data and present results and recommendations to SFO.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4092-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Increase Amount $60,000 New Amount $90,000</td>
<td>The Veterinarian will provide routine and emergency medical care for up to twenty horses that are part of the San Francisco Police Department Mounted Unit. Routine services average fifteen visits per year. The Veterinarian will also perform pre-purchase horse examinations.</td>
<td>Modification</td>
<td>06/30/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4102-07/08</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Increase Amount $4,000,000 New Amount $6,000,000</td>
<td>Will provide medical transportation services between medical facilities or a patient's home on an on-call basis, available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Contractor(s) may transport ambulatory or non-ambulatory patients to and from medical facilities utilizing specialized vehicles. Transportation services include patient discharges from San Francisco General Hospital, Public Health Centers, or Laguna Honda Hospital; transporting voluntary mental health cases to appropriate psychiatric centers; providing Department with taxi vouchers or scripts for nonemergency services.</td>
<td>Modification</td>
<td>12/31/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers:  
Sgt. Michael Zurcher, San Francisco Police Department spoke on PSC #4093-09/10.  
Jacquie Hale, Department of Public Health and Sgt. Michael Zurcher, San Francisco Police Department spoke on PSC #4094-09/10.  
Mark Dorian, Department of Public Works spoke on PSC #4095-09/10.  
Brook Mebrahtu, Department of Public Works and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4096-09/10.  
Toks Ajike, Recreation and Parks Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4097-09/10.
Speakers:

Cynthia Avakian, Airport Commission and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4100-09/10.
Sgt. Robert Totah and Tom Newland, San Francisco Police Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4092-09/10.
Sharon Kwong, Department of Public Health spoke on PSC #4102-07/08.

Action:

(1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4096-09/10 on the condition that the Department of Public Works engage in discussions with SEIU Local 1021. Should SEIU continue to have concerns about the contract, it may be put back on calendar for further discussion and action at the next regular meeting of April 5, 2010. In no case will the approval of the contract be delayed beyond the April 5, 2010 meeting. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(2) Approve request for proposed personal services contract #4097-09/10 on the condition that contact be made with SEIU Local 1021 within 24 hours to address concerns they have with the PSC. In addition, that a response be provided to Local 1021 by the Recreation and Parks Department within the following 24 hours addressing their concerns after which the conditions of the Civil Service Commission approval would have been met. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(3) Approve request for proposed personal services contracts on all remaining contracts. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

0130-10-8 Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract.
(Item No. 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4103-09/10</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>Provide court reporting services and transcription as needed for disciplinary hearings and Police Commission meetings, along with transcription of tapes from interviews done with the Police Department's Management Control Division and other investigative units within the Police Department.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4104-09/10</td>
<td>Public Utilities Commission $3,750,000 Provide analytical and technical support for SFPUC's key transmission, distribution, energy banking, and energy services contracts and agreements. Work will consist of performing qualitative and quantitative support and analysis in evaluating our existing agreements and services, individually and as a whole. Alternatives for each contract agreement will be evaluated to ensure we secure the best overall level of replacement services, at the lowest cost and minimal impact to our operations consistent with our goals and objectives.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4105-09/10</td>
<td>Emergency Management $200,000 Consultant will develop a Disaster Debris Management Program, which will include the development of a local Debris Management Plan, and providing Debris Management Plan training to stakeholders. This plan is critical to any major response and is required by FEMA. Failure to remove and manage debris can hinder response and recovery efforts which will impact public health and safety.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>02/01/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4106-09/10</td>
<td>Airport Commission $2,400,000 Work will include: Project controls and reporting, project scheduling, project budgeting, document control, project coordination, project planning, design management, and construction management services for Airport Terminal and Facility projects. This request is for all Airport Capital Improvement Project work excluding Terminal 2.</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015-08/09</td>
<td>Art Commission Increase Amount $2,061,095 New Amount $3,799,020 Will perform concerts at the San Francisco Davies Symphony Hall in July-August 2009 with a free concert at a public park. This is the 58th year for Summer POPS concerts. Modification includes additional concerts from October, 2009 through July, 2010; and 2 free concerts at a public park - 59th year for the Summer POPS concerts.</td>
<td>Modification</td>
<td>01/31/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4072-07/08</td>
<td>Public Utilities Commission Increase Amount $25,000,000 New Amount $38,000,000 Provide professional construction management services to oversee a specific WSIP construction project, the Calaveras Dam Replacement, on behalf of the SFPUC. Work includes inspection oversight &amp; enforcement of contract requirements for construction protocols, seismic safety design &amp; environmental mitigation measure. Please note that the original PSC submitted for this project was never used as the project schedule was delayed and new services added to accommodate two primary scope changes to the project: 1) development of plans to address the presence and extent of Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA) at project site; and 2) additional improvements to be added at Calaveras Dam and at the Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (ACDD) to address fishery enhancements within the Alameda Creek Watershed. New services now include creation of new specialized positions on the Construction Management team to manage the implementation of the Comprehensive Air Monitoring Program (CAMP) to address the naturally occurring asbestos, silicea and metals at the project site and to manage the construction and environmental compliance of the new fishery enhancements.</td>
<td>Modification</td>
<td>12/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4102-09/10  Public Utilities Commission  Increase Amount $30,000
New Amount $75,000  Contract work consists of the following: 1. Sorting benthic invertebrate samples into major
taxonomic groups in preparation for identification;
2. Performing quality control on staff taxonomists by re-identifying random samples and working with
staff taxonomists to resolve differences; 3. Performing taxonomic identification on samples as needed;
4. Providing training to identify freshwater aquatic invertebrates as needed.

Modification  07/30/12

Speakers:  Lt. Joe Reilly, San Francisco Police Department and Steve Pitocchi, SEIU Local 1021 spoke on PSC #4103-09/10.
Rob Dudgeon, Emergency Management spoke on PSC #4105-09/10.
Cynthia Avakian and Geri Rayca, Airport Commission spoke on PSC #4106-09/10.

Action:  (1) Approve request for proposed personal services contract 4103-09/10 on the condition that contact be made with
SEIU Local 1021 within 24 hours to address concerns they have with the PSC. In addition, that a response be
provided to Local 1021 by the San Francisco Police Department within the following 24 hours addressing their
concerns after which the conditions of the Civil Service Commission approval would have been met. Notify the
offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

(2) Approve request for proposed personal services contracts on all remaining contracts. Notify the offices of the
Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 4 to 0)

0099-10-1  Municipal Transportation Agency’s Report on Appointments Exempt from Civil
Service under Charter Section 10.104.16 through 10.104.18. (Item No. 8)

March 1, 2010:  Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers:  None.

Action:  Accept and file the report. (Vote of 3 to 0)

0100-10-1  Municipal Transportation Agency’s Report on Provisional Appointments.
(Item No. 9)

March 1, 2010:  Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers:  None.

Action:  Accept and file the report. (Vote of 3 to 0)
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0123-10-1 Municipal Transportation Agency's 2009 Workforce Utilization Analysis Report. (Item No. 10)

Speakers: None.

Action: Accept and file report. (Vote of 4 to 0)

0131-10-8 Review of request for approval of proposed personal services contract. (Item No. 11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC#</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>Type of Approval</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4107-09/10</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Additional architecture and related design services are needed to complete the</td>
<td>Modification</td>
<td>09/30/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Portola Branch Library project. A professional design firm authorized by PSC #4005-02/03 has already performed similar services; however, the project has experienced delays and budget escalation, and the PSC duration has expired and cannot be modified. Therefore, the DPW is requesting a new PSC for additional fees and new contract duration for the Portola project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Speakers: Mindy Linetzky, Department of Public Works

Action: Approve request for proposed personal services contract. Notify the offices of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration. (Vote of 3 to 0)

0553-09-6 Appeal by Stephen Kloster of the Human Resources Director's determination of insufficient evidence to support his claim of harassment and disparate treatment discrimination. (Item No. 12)

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers: Janie White, Department of Human Resources
Wesley Wakeford, Attorney

Action: Continue to a Special meeting after May 11, 2010. The Executive Officer to make arrangements to schedule the Special meeting based on the availability of all parties and City Hall hearing rooms. (Vote of 3 to 0)
Civil Service Commission Meeting Minutes

Regular Meeting of March 15, 2010

0347-09-6  Appeal by Alfred Lam of the Human Resources Director's decision to close his complaint of discrimination (EEO File #1360) due to insufficient evidence to support his claim of retaliation. (Item No. 13)

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers: Silvia Castellanos, Department of Human Resources
          Alfred Lam, Appellant

Action: Adopt the report. Sustain the decision of the Human Resources Director; deny the appeal by Alfred Lam. (Vote of 3 to 0)

0925-07-4  Appeal by Shauna O'Donnell on her background rejection as a Public Safety Communications Dispatcher. (Item No. 14)

March 1, 2010: Postpone to the meeting of March 15, 2010.

Speakers: Terrence Daniels, Emergency Management Department

Action: Adopt the report. Sustain the decision of the Human Resources Director; deny the appeal by Shauna O'Donnell. (Vote of 3 to 0) Ms. O'Donnell failed to appear.

0133-10-1  Department of Human Resources' Report on Provisional Appointments. (Item No. 15)

Speakers: John Kraus, Department of Human Resources

Action: Accept and file report. (Vote of 3 to 0)

0134-10-1  Department of Human Resources' Report on the Position-Based Testing Program. (Item No. 16)

Speakers: None.

Action: Accept and file report. (Vote of 3 to 0)

REQUEST TO SPEAK ON ANY MATTER WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (Item No. 17)

None.

COMMISSIONERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS/REQUESTS (Item No. 18)

None

ADJOURNMENT (Item No. 19)

5:39 p.m.