City and County of San Francisco Micki Callahan Human Resources Director



Department of Human Resources Connecting People with Purpose www.sfdhr.org

MEMORANDUM

DATE: October 15, 2019

TO: Micki Callahan

Human Resources Director

FROM: Linda C. Simon

Director, EEO and Leave Programs

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2019/2020—First Quarter Report on Harassment Complaints

This memo contains the quarterly report on harassment within the City and County of San Francisco's (City) workforce, in accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code Section 16.9-27 (Harassment Prevention Training and Reporting). This report shows the total number of harassment complaints by City department filed with the Department of Human Resources (DHR) during the first quarter (July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019) of fiscal year 2019/2020.

DHR received 96 complaints alleging harassment from 22 City departments during the first quarter. (Table A). The status and disposition of each complaint is contained in Table D.

This report also provides information about the basis of the harassment complaint (i.e. the protected category the harassment is based on), by department. (Table B). This additional information is part of DHR's ongoing effort to identify "hotspots" that may need additional resources to prevent harassment, and to advise City departments of any trends, concerns, or areas in need of improvement.

DHR receives more harassment complaints based on sex than any other protected category. In the first quarter, 40% of the harassment complaints received by DHR, alleged sex or gender as the basis. Table C provides a breakdown of the sex-based harassment complaints (*i.e.* complaints of harassment based on gender (sex) and of sexual harassment). Sexual harassment and harassment based on gender may require different approaches in resolving a "hotspot." Thus, providing the total number of sex-based complaints, as well as a breakdown of those complaints into sexual harassment and harassment based on gender, allows the City to see the big picture when it comes to its most common basis in harassment complaints, while also providing data needed to tailor our harassment prevention strategies.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 557-4837.

Enclosure

Table A <u>Harassment Complaints by Department</u> <u>First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2019/2020</u> (July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019)

Department	Total ¹
Administrative Services	3
Adult Probation	1
Airport Commission	5
Board of Supervisors	1
Building Inspection	1
Community College District	1
Controller's Office	1
Emergency Management	1
Ethics Commission	1
Fine Arts Museum	4
Fire Department	4
Human Services Agency	7
Municipal Transportation Agency	12
Police Department	6
Port of San Francisco	1
Public Health	19
Public Library	3
Public Utilities Commission	9
Public Works	8
Recreation and Park	1
Sheriff's Department	6
Treasurer/Tax Collector	1
Total Complaints	96

¹ All complaints of harassment, including sexual harassment, are included in these figures. These complaints were filed pursuant to the City's internal process. Complaints filed externally with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) or the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) are not included.

Table B

<u>Harassment Complaints by Protected Category Alleged and by Department²</u>

<u>First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2019/2020</u>

(July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019)

Department	Age	Ancestry	Color	Disability/Medical Condition	Ethnicity	Gender Identity	Height	National Origin	Other - Not EEO	Other Non-Merit Factors	Parental Status	Political Affiliation	Race	Religion	Retaliation	Sex³	Sexual Orientation	Weight
Administrative Services													1			2		
Adult Probation									1						1			
Airport Commission				1					1				2		1	1		
Board of Supervisors												1						
Building Inspection				1					1				1					
Community College District														1				
Controller's Office									1									
Emergency Management						1							1					
Ethics Commission													1					
Fine Arts Museum				1				1					2	1				
Fire Department			1			1			1			1				2	1	
Human Services Agency				2					4				1			1		
Municipal Transportation Agency	1					1		2	3	1			2		2	5	1	
Police Department		1		1	1				1				2	1	2	1		
Port of San Francisco																1		
Public Health	2						1	2	1		1		5		1	15		2

FY 2019/2020—First Quarter Report on Harassment Complaints Page 4 of 7

Department	Age	Ancestry	Color	Disability/Medical Condition	Ethnicity	Gender Identity	Height	National Origin	Other - Not EEO	Other Non-Merit Factors	Parental Status	Political Affiliation	Race	Religion	Retaliation	Sex³	Sexual Orientation	Weight
Public Library				1	1				1								1	
Public Utilities Commission	1			5	1				3				4	1		6		
Public Works					1				1				1			5	1	
Recreation and Park									1									
Sheriff's Department	1				2								4	1	1	1		
Treasurer/Tax Collector															1			
Total ⁴	5	1	1	12	6	3	1	5	20	1	1	2	27	5	9	40	4	2

² The quarterly reports reflect the harassment allegations filed with DHR EEO as of the close of that quarter. However, complainants may choose to amend their complaints to include additional protected categories as a basis for the alleged harassment after the close of quarter. Those amended complaints will be reflected in the annual report only. As a result, the annual report may contain more complaints than the combined total of the quarterly reports.

³ These figures reflect all allegations of sex-based harassment, including sexual harassment. The total number of sex-based harassment complaints is 38. There are two distinct forms of sex-based harassment—harassment based on gender and sexual harassment. Because some complainants alleged both types of sex-based harassment, the total number of sex-based harassment allegations is greater than the number of sex-based harassment complaints. Table C breaks down these figures to show the number of complaints of harassment based on gender and of sexual harassment by department.

⁴ The total for each column reflects the number of harassment complaints filed alleging harassment based on the protected category indicated. A complainant may file a complaint alleging harassment based on more than one protected category. As a result, the sum for each department and the sum of the totals may be greater than the total number of harassment complaints filed, as shown in Table A.

Table C
<u>Sex-Based Harassment Complaints by Department⁵</u>
<u>First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2019/2020</u>
(July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019)

Department	Sexual Harassment	Harassment Based on Gender
Administrative Services		2
Airport Commission	1	
Fire Department		2
Human Services Agency	1	
Municipal Transportation Agency	4	1
Police Department	1	
Port of San Francisco	1	
Public Health	8	7
Public Utilities Commission	2	4
Public Works	3	2
Sheriff's Department		1
Total	21	19

⁵ Sex-based harassment complaints may contain allegations of sexual harassment, harassment based on gender, or both. Sexual harassment is defined as:

- Any unwelcome sexual advance, request for sexual favors, and other physical, verbal, or visual conduct of a sexual nature; or
- Conditioning an employment benefit on the acceptance of sexual or romantic favors; or
- Making or threatening reprisals after a negative response to a sexual or romantic advance.

Sexual harassment is distinguished from harassment based on gender, as the latter is defined as physical, verbal, or visual conduct based on gender or sex, but is not of a sexual nature. For example, a sex-based harassment complaint resulting from a colleague stating "females are not qualified to be firefighters" would be included in the Harassment Based on Gender column.

Table D <u>Status and Disposition of Harassment Complaints⁶</u> <u>First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2019/2020</u> (July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019)

Closed

		Insufficient		Insufficient Allegations/ Immediate Preventative	No EEO Jurisdiction	
Department	Settled	Evidence	Sustained	Actions Taken	or Withdrawn	Open
Administrative Services						3
Adult Probation						1
Airport Commission						5
Board of Supervisors						1
Building Inspection						1
Community College District					1	
Controller's Office						1
Emergency Management						1
Ethics Commission						1
Fine Arts Museum				1		3
Fire Department					1	3
Human Services Agency				2	1	4
Municipal Transportation Agency					2	10
Police Department						6
Port of San Francisco						1
Public Health				2	1	16
Public Library				1		2
Public Utilities Commission				1		8

				Closed		
Department	Settled	Insufficient Evidence	Sustained	Insufficient Allegations/ Immediate Preventative Actions Taken	No EEO Jurisdiction or Withdrawn	Open
Public Works				1		7
Recreation and Park						1
Sheriff's Department				2		4
Treasurer/Tax Collector						1
Total	0	0	0	10	6	80

⁶ Definitions

Settled: Complaint was resolved, without any admission or acknowledgement of liability.

Insufficient A full investigation was conducted, and there was insufficient evidence to establish that conduct occurred in violation of City's or

Evidence: SFMTA's EEO Policy.

Sustained: A full investigation was conducted, and there was sufficient evidence to establish that the conduct occurred in violation of City's

or SFMTA's EEO Policy.

Insufficient Complaint alleged conduct that was within the purview of EEO's jurisdiction (i.e. alleged conduct was based on a protected category or protected activity), but did not raise an inference of harassment; nonetheless, where the complaint alleged conduct in Allegations/ violation of the City's or SFMTA's EEO Policy, immediate preventative and/or corrective actions were taken; in these cases, DHR **Immediate** Preventative instructs departments to take immediate preventative or corrective action (i.e. issue City's EEO Policy or Policy Regarding **Actions Taken:**

Treatment of Co-Workers and Members of the Public; obtain signed acknowledgement of receipt of policy; direct to take online Harassment Prevention Training/implicit bias training/cultural humility training/management or leadership training, etc.; direct to conduct mediation; conduct review of analysis of department recruitment efforts; etc.). These actions are the same actions that

DHR would recommend a department take if the allegation were sustained.

Jurisdiction or Withdrawn:

No EEO Complaint did not allege conduct based on a protected category or protected activity, or allege conduct in violation of the City's or SFMTA's EEO Policy; complainant was not a City employee, applicant, volunteer, unpaid intern, or contractor; complaint was not against a City department; the complaint was untimely; complainant's MOU prevents employees from filing both a grievance and an internal EEO complaint regarding the same issue, and complainant elected to pursue complaint as a grievance; or complainant declined to participate or withdrew complaint, and based on the information provided, DHR EEO was without sufficient detail to conduct a full investigation.